1985

The Relationship Between Selected Demographic, Socioeconomic and Geo-Ecological Factors and Age-Specific Net Migration in South Dakota for 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-1980

John W. Meyer

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC, SOCIOECONOMIC AND GEO-ECOLOGICAL FACTORS AND AGE-SPECIFIC NET MIGRATION IN SOUTH DAKOTA FOR 1950-60, 1960-70 AND 1970-1980

BY

JOHN W. MEYER

A dissertation submitted
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
degree Doctor of Philosophy
Major in Sociology
South Dakota State University
1985

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SELECTED

DEMOGRAPHIC, SOCIOECONOMIC AND GEO-ECOLOGICAL FACTORS

AND AGE-SPECIFIC NET MIGRATION IN SOUTH DAKOTA

FOR 1950-60, 1960-70 AND 1970-1980

This thesis is approved as a creditable and independent investigation by a candidate for the degree, Doctor of Philosophy, and is acceptable for meeting the thesis requirements for this degree. Acceptance of this thesis does not imply that the conclusions reached by the candidate are necessarily the conclusions of the major department.

Dr. Linda Baer
Thesis Advisor Date

Dr. James Satterlee
Head, Rural Sociology Dept. Date

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC, SOCIOECONOMIC AND GEO-ECOLOGICAL FACTORS AND AGE-SPECIFIC NET MIGRATION IN SOUTH DAKOTA FOR 1950-60, 1960-70 AND 1970-80.

Abstract

John W. Meyer

This study investigated the relationship between various demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological factors and the process of age-specific net migration in South Dakota for the decades of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80. Census Bureau, Department of Education, Soil Conservation Service and vital statistics data were employed to answer the basic question of how demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological characteristics of counties of South Dakota were related to the patterns of age-specific net migration.

For this study, four descriptive activities were completed. More specifically, mid-decade survival rates were calculated, age-specific net migration rates were determined, patterns of age-specific net migration for the past three decades were illustrated and selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological factors were presented. Also, selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological variables were tested to examine the extent

to which patterns of age-specific net migration, with the age-specific age categories reflecting life cycle stages, were related.

In terms of the contributions made by this study, the research illustrated the importance of utilizing mid-decade survival rates in determining the level and subsequent pattern of migration, the pertinence of selecting age categories for analysis based on life cycle stages, the value of a multi-decade approach to studying migration levels and patterns, and the significance of the contribution made by a mixed analytic approach utilized in this research to Lee's Theory of Migration. Further, the research also revealed a potential explanator of the decline in the net out-migration rate experienced by the state of South Dakota during the 1970-80 decade, the significance of the relationship between selected variables and age-specific net migration and the contribution made by the significant variables of this research to previous research on migration.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my appreciation to the many people whose expertise, guidance and support helped make this study possible.

The consultation and assistance of Dr. Linda Baer and the late Dr. Marvin P. Riley as thesis advisors has been invaluable. I thank them for their support and encouragement. I also wish to thank Dr. James Satterlee, Head of the Department of Rural Sociology, for his encouragement, Dr. Robert Mendelsohn and Dr. Lee Tucker for their assistance with the statistical portion of the study and Warren Hovland for his computer assistance.

Thank you to Bonnie Merritt and Linda Blackwelder for their patience, enthusiasm and the typing of the dissertation.

JWM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER I

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

Introduction

Migration is geographic mobility that involves a change of usual residence between political areas. From a macroperspective on time and space, migration has been a regular and vital part of the human experience. This migration process has been going on since the genus "homo" took its first tentative steps in shaping the map of the world population as we know it today. Nomads sweeping out of Central Asia, Polynesians Island hopping across the Pacific Ocean, and the waves of European peoples crossing the Atlantic to the Americas are only the more dramatic examples of a general phenomena. Focusing the view at a more microscale, migration often outweighs births and deaths on a determinant of local population change. It can increase or decrease the size of a place suddenly whereas changes in vital rates take time to exert their influences; and it can alter the characteristics of a given population in very important ways (Lee et al, 1973:1).

The implications of the gains and losses are even greater than the numbers imply because migrants are by no

means a random sample of the population. Instead, they are highly selected and their movement changes the character as well as the quantity of people at both origin and destination. Thus a large out-migration of young adults or a large in-migration of the elderly has a profound effect on the age structure and the resulting social structure of any given area as noted in the examples just cited. The type of selection has been a matter of controversy and the effects have sometimes been exaggerated. E.A. Ross, early American sociologist, for example, referred to New England as a "fished-out millpond, in which only carps and suckers are left," and others have computed the economics which accrue to the cities through the importation of a labor force which was raised and educated at the cost of smaller communities (Lee et al, 1973:2).

Migration Trends in South Dakota

The state of South Dakota has been influenced by the migration process. Until 1910, the state of South Dakota experienced in-migration. Since 1910, the state of South Dakota experienced out-migration at the rate of approximately 14% per decade until 1970. In the decade of 1970-80, the rate of out-migration dropped to 4%.

Recent research completed on South Dakota regarding the rate and pattern of migration has illustrated that out-migration is not necessarily a predominant pattern for all counties in the state. More specifically, one county experienced in-migration for the decades of 1970 and 1980, twelve counties that experienced an out-migration during the 1970's decade experienced a turnaround or in-migration during the 1970's, two counties that experienced an in-migration during the 1960's but experienced a reverse turn around or out-migration during the 1970's, and fifty-one counties continued to experience out-migration during the period of 1960-1980 (Baer, 1983:107).

Migration was found to be a function of employment. More specifically, increased employment was illustrated in turnaround counties (Baer, 1983:121). In terms of the type of employment, counties that had a high proportion of persons engaged in farming and professional occupations experienced out-migration and counties that had a high proportion of persons engaged in manufacturing and service related occupations experienced an in-migration for the period of 1970-80 (Goss, 1983:72-73, Baer, 1983:121). Migration was also found to be highly age selective for the young adults during the period of

1970-80 (Baer, 1983:118, Goss, 1983:65).

In addition to the patterns of migration, the consequences of migration were also addressed in recent research. Riley and Wagner (1971) conducted research on South Dakota and determined that the continued net out-migration over the past several decades has important ramifications for the state's future population structure.

Age-Specific Migration

As illustrated in recent research, migration is age selective. Migration is almost as closely associated with age as is death, but the relationship is more complex. At the youngest ages, migration rates are quite high, but they fall rapidly through the early teens. The rate then rises sharply to a peak at age eighteen, and high rates are maintained through the early twenties. In later years, however, the rates fall sharply and without interruption until the mid-fifties, when retirement, the breaking up of homes or institutionalization cause them to rise again slightly (Lee et al, 1973:2).

It is easy to see why the pattern of rates by age should assume this form. The high rates for the youngest children reflect the high rates of migration of the parents, and the decline in the rates for children parallels the decline for adults some twenty-five to

thirty years, or a generation, older. After age sixteen the rate of migration rises sharply because of entry into the labor force, armed forces, or educational institutions, and because of marriage. At later ages, the rate falls as adjustment is made between aspiration and accomplishment (Lee et al, 1973:2). These different age-specific migration rates coincide directly with a life cycle pattern.

Study Focus

This research study focuses on age-specific population changes that have been occurring in counties within the state of South Dakota. More specifically, it examines the role that selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological factors have on influencing age-specific net migration patterns of age categories related to a life cycle.

This study expands on recent research completed by Baer, Parpia, Goss, Miller and McMurray. In these studies on migration, it was found that migration occurs at any age, and that there are particular patterns of net migration at different age categories. These patterns are influenced by demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological factors. These patterns and related factors are the focus of this research study.

Statement of the Problem

This study investigated the following problem:

What affect does selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological factors have on age-specific net migration patterns in South Dakota counties for the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80?

Importance of the Problem

Traditional human migration research has made numerous attempts to identify the key determinants of migration. The determinants of migration include such things as: ecological factors, employment patterns, income levels, climate, family, quality of life, social economic status and government services. Increasingly, researchers insist that traditional determinants of migration--particularly the economic--are decreasing in importance (Beale, 1977; DeJong and Humphrey, 1976; Zelinsky, 1977; Williams and Sofranko, 1979). Thus, an increasing amount of research suggests that new explanations may be necessary to explain migration and the net migration pattern of specific groups of people with different characteristics such as age, race, sex and educational attainment (Parpia et al, 1982).

At the present time, research has been completed on the 20-29 age category and various demographic and socioeconomic determinants (Goss, 1983) and on

ten-year-interval age categories and demographics (Parpia et al, 1982) but no research has examined the life cycle stage age categories and selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological determinants related to patterns of age-specific net migration.

In addition, previous research completed on age-specific net migration, has been limited for 3 reasons:

1. The state life tables used, in these studies, were prepared by the National Center for Health Statistics for the period of 1969-1971. Consequently, they do not reflect the increased survival rates of various age categories. New state life tables have been released for the period of 1979-1981 that allow, when blended with the 1969-1971 life tables, a more accurate determination of survival rates.

2. Present research has used 10 year age category increments which resulted in mixing quite different life-cycle stages. For example, the age group of 20-29 combines persons in their educational years (16-24) with those in the beginning stages of career development (25-34).

3. And finally, it is clear that any analysis of determinants of migration that presumes to examine the relative continuation of, or shifts in, the importance of various types of migration determinants must be longitudinal. Thus, this analysis must be further supplemented by comparisons of the effects of economic, demographic, governmental and public service, and socioeconomic factors on net migration in other periods (such as 1950-60 and 1960-70) in addition to 1970-80. An example of this, would be to compare the

percent of population within the 55 year old and older age category during the time periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80. With the completion of such longitudinal analysis, it will be possible to more definitively attest both to the continuation of past trends, and the emergence of new trends and determinants of migration (Parpia et al, 1982).

Objectives of the Study

The central objective of this study is to analyze the relationship between counties categorized by their selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological characteristics and changes in age-specific net migration patterns as they relate to life cycle stages in counties in South Dakota. There are six specific objectives.

1. To determine age-specific mid-decade survival rates from life tables for South Dakota for the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

2. To determine age-specific net migration levels as they relate to life cycle age categories for counties of the state of South Dakota for the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

3. To classify counties of the state of South Dakota by age-specific net migration patterns as they relate to life cycle age categories for the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

4. To identify counties by selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological characteristics in South Dakota. The selected characteristics for this study include indicators

of educational opportunities, employment opportunities and natural amenity opportunities.

5. To determine the selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological factors that significantly explain the age-specific net migration as they relate to selected age categories of life cycle stages for the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

6. To determine the contribution made by variables found significant in this research study to the traditional predictors of age-specific net migration.

Organization of the Dissertation

The remainder of the dissertation was organized as follows:

1. Chapter II reviews the selected literature pertinent to the subject.
2. Chapter III includes the theoretical framework and research propositions.
3. Chapter IV presents the research design and methodology.
4. Chapter V presents the findings of the research.
5. Chapter VI presents the summary, conclusions, and implications of the study, and suggestions for future research.
6. Chapter VII includes the bibliography and appendix.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

This chapter presents an introduction to migration, an overview of early and contemporary research and a detailed investigation of research that relates to the research problem of this study. More specifically, the research cited in the detailed review analyzes the relationship between migration and selectivity factors.

Migration

Migration is geographic mobility that involves a change of usual residence between political areas. There are two subclassifications of migration. Internal migration is one that takes place within a country and whose involvement in such moves are called in-migrants and out-migrants, depending on the perspective from which they are being viewed. International migration involves crossing an international political boundary and the corresponding terms for the movers are immigrants or emigrants. Each migration has an area of origin and one of destination and it is fairly common to refer to migrants as "movers" and to those who remain behind as "stayers". Migration in which the individual has some choice in the decision are termed "free" whereas those

over which the individual has no contact are termed "forced". In general usage, migration has been restricted to relatively permanent changes.

Factors Associated with Migration

Historical Perspective

Migration is influenced by various factors. Early literature on factors associated with migration were completed by Ravenstein, Herbele, Lee and Bogue. Ravenstein, in 1896, developed what he termed "the laws of migration". These so called laws associated distance, steps, streams, urban-rural difference, and sex with the migration process.

Herbele, in 1938, argued that migration was influenced by "push" and "pull" factors. These "push" and "pull" factors were criticized and, in response to this criticism, Lee, in 1966, introduced the concept of "intervening obstacles" in his theory of migration. In 1969, Bogue linked Herbele's "push" and "pull" and Lee's "intervening" factors. This early research has served as the basis for much of the contemporary literature reviewed in this study as well as a basis for the theoretical orientation presented in Chapter III of this study.

Contemporary Literature

Contemporary literature has made numerous attempts

to identify the key determinants of migration (see Shaw, 1975; Ritchey, 1976; Greenwood, 1975; DeJong and Gardner, 1981; Baer, 1983; Goss, 1983; Parpia et al, 1982), the list of possible determinants of migration continues to grow. Ecological factors (Sly, 1972; Sly and Taman, 1977), employment patterns (Lansing and Mueller, 1967), income levels (Sjaastad, 1962), climate (Bass and Alexander, 1972), family and kinship (Schwarzweller et al., 1971), characteristics of, or attachments to, areas of origin and residence (Speare, 1974: Brown and Longbrake, 1970), quality of life (Cebula and Vedder, 1973), socioeconomic status (Ritchey, 1976), physical distance (Zipf, 1946), or perceptions of it (Stouffer, 1960; Wolpert, 1966), governmental services (DeJong and Donnelly, 1973), life cycle factors (Miller, 1967; Lee et al, 1973; Stone, 1971; McMurray, 1982), psychological factors (DeJong and Gardner, 1981) and numerous dimensions are seen as affecting migration. One dimension of literature on migration that is of particular to this study relates to the selectivity of migration.

Selectivity of Migration

Migration is a very selective process. In other words, "migration tends to characterize a limited segment of a population who make frequent and repeated moves

rather than the entire population" (Lind, 1969:76). Essentially, this mover-stayer dichotomy suggests that the inducements to migrate do not exert their force equally and, hence, the tendency for some individuals to be more prone to migrate than others. In other words, the personal attributes of migrants differ significantly from those of the sedentary population.

Studies have been carried out on the self-selection by which migrants differentiate themselves from the sedentary population. The prime purpose of such studies has been to establish migration differentials which apply in all countries at all times. If such universal differentials were established it would not only aid our understanding of the migration process but also provide a sounder basis for predicting future migrations. Potential differentials evaluated in this study relate to age, education, life cycle stages and natural amenities.

Age

Migration tends to be selective by age, sex, education and occupation (Peterson, 1975:175). Age is one of the primary factors associated with migration (Long, 1973:243). Migration is almost as closely associated with age as is death (Lee et al, 1973:2). With respect to age differentiation, all migration is one in which adolescents

and young adults usually predominate. Between two-thirds and four-fifths of the migrants fall within this age category (Peterson, 1975:175). This does not mean that other persons never migrate. Families with young children and elderly persons also tend to migrate (Shyrock, 1964:352).

In general, there is plenty of evidence to support the hypothesis that persons in their twenties and early thirties are the most mobile. The reasons often advanced as to why young adults are the most mobile of all age groups revolve around the fact that they are often beginning their working life and, therefore, are prepared to take advantage of new opportunities as they arise without the economic and social ties which constrains older groups to their place of residence. Research evidence suggests that age-specific migration rates do not taper off uniformly with movement along the age continuum, since between the ages of 60 and 70 years there is a slight increase in the rate of migration. According to Allon-Smith (1978) this includes moves upon retirement as well as those related to widowhood and institutionalization, the latter involves short-distance moves, and the former longer distances, often to new locations at or near the coast. This movement to the

coast upon retirement has, during the past two decades, became an increasingly significant element in the migration process of advanced economies such as the United States (Lewis, 1982:84).

Education

The dominant variables with regard to socioeconomic selectivity include, of course, education, social class and occupation, yet the exact role of education and socioeconomic status as a factor of selectivity remains in debate (McInnis, 1971). One of the major early studies on migration differentials concluded that "the findings of most ... investigations suggest that the better educated are selected in cityward migration" (Thomas, 1938:38) and Bogue observed that "the rate of migration from an area tends to vary inversely with the general level of educational attainment in that area" (Bogue, 1959:504). Data examined in Britain for 1935-50 by Shryock and Nam (1965) illustrated an essentially direct association between the migration rate and the number of years of schooling completed by adults. However, in their concluding remarks they suggest that:

"there may be less interest in selection in the sense of the relationship between education and the propensity to migrate for the general population, than in selection in the sense of education of migrants from particular areas or types of areas as compared with the education of the

non-migrants in these same areas or than in the effect of net migration upon the educational level of sending and receiving areas" (Shryock and Nam, 1965:299).

It was suggested by Hofstee (1952) that migration is not necessarily selective with respect to intelligence and education and, though it might seem that empirically the more intelligent and better educated are more inclined to migrate, it is rather that the circumstances are such that they more often have a motive to migrate than those with less intelligence or education.

Natural Amenities

Natural amenities often influence migration patterns. In a effort to tap this quality of life dimension of migration, Beale (1976) incorporated a variable known as "tree" that measured the amount of forest area located within an area. These forested areas tend to be the same areas that include lakes and hills as well as being identified as recreation-retirement areas and represent a major attractive characteristic for migrants (Beale, 1977:9).

It is hypothesized that these natural amenities have a positive influence on in-migration directly, and to operate on in-migration indirectly by being a positive influence on employment growth. Confirmation of the latter would suggest that the kinds of industries

experiencing growth in the nonmetropolitan Midwest are linked to scenic amenities perhaps as a function of recreation orientation. Alternatively, the scenic areas may be attracting light industries because decision-makers for industrial location prefer amenity areas over more economically-based locational considerations (Williams, 1981:190).

Life Cycle Stages

Migration selectivity has often been studied in relation to life cycle stages. In a study of individual migration histories, Sabagh and Butler (1968) noted that an individual's migratory potential changed with his or her position in the family life cycle. From the formation to the dissolution of the cycle, critical events can be identified which increase or decrease the propensity to migrate, which, at an intra-urban scale, involves outward shifts of families towards the suburbs until old age is reached when a reversal in direction begins. Among the critical events which commonly increase the probability to migrate are marriage and child-bearing; by the time the last child has been born a phase of reduced mobility is reached since suitable housing has usually been found to accommodate the family at its maximum size (Michelson, 1977:198). In support of this suggestion Long (1972:380)

has revealed that in the United States the largest families have the lowest rate of inter-county movements. This stability continues until the phase of family dissolution is reached when the probability to migrate increases again. As the children leave home the parents begin to reconsider their residential needs, and retirement provides a further opportunity to re-evaluate not only their housing but also their environmental circumstances. Finally, infirmity caused by age and the death of the spouse can also precipitate moves as the home becomes more difficult to manage. According to Golant (1972) and Wiseman and Virden (1977), in their studies in Toronto and Kansas City respectively, the major movement of the elderly was away from the central city into suburban areas with only a small counter-flow toward the city center. Therefore, demographic factors such as an individual's age, sex and marital status in combination with such conditions as family size, home ownership, status, education, are usually conceived as indicators of the family life cycle.

This association between a family life cycle and migration patterns can be further analyzed in the sense of age-specific migration (Lee, 1966:52). Sheehy (1976:25) identified four life cycle stages that occur throughout

the maturation process. These life cycle stages can be identified by an age category (Sheehy, 1976:24). More specifically, the first life cycle stage that correlates with an age-specific migration pattern is between the ages of 16-24. This first life cycle actually illustrates two characteristics. During the first four years of this life cycle stage, young adults complete their high school education and migrate for educational and/or military opportunities (Sheehy, 1976:25, McMurray, 1982:7, Lee, 1966:56). The primary reason for the high proportion of young adults would seem to be that any migration involves a certain amount of adjustment at the destination and youth connotes a better ability to adapt to new circumstances (Shyrock, 1964:353). These young adult migrants do not migrate for economic factors (Parpia et al, 1982:22). During the second part of this life cycle stage, young adults migrate for advanced education and beginning employment activity (McMurray, 1982:7).

The second life cycle stage that correlates with an age-specific migration pattern is between the ages of 25-34. This life cycle stage is referred to as career development (Sheehy, 1976:25). It is at these ages that persons in this age category migrate from places with educational institutions but no job opportunities to

places providing economic opportunities (McMurray, 1982:8; Parpia et al, 1982:22). This migration from places with educational institutions but no job opportunities is attributed to educational attainment. More specifically, education is said to facilitate migration because it increases employment opportunities, expand an awareness of alternative opportunities in other geographic places and inculcates skills which ease the severing existing relationships (Sandefur and Scott, 1981:357).

The third life cycle stage that correlates with an age-specific migration pattern involves persons between the ages of 35-54. In this life cycle stage, persons are involved in career participation and tend not to migrate (Sheehy, 1976:26). In contrast to persons in the second life cycle stage, these people have resources that can not be readily transferred (Becker, 1975:83). Individuals in this age category, who are self-employed, generally rely on an established clientele for their livelihood. Moving usually means giving up or losing this clientele. Consequently, the rates of intercounty migration tend to be low. Likewise, individuals in this age category, who typically have a history of employment with one employer also tend to have low rates of intercounty migration (Sandefur and Scott, 1981:357). In addition to employment

being a deterrent of intercounty migration for persons in this age category, length of residence also influences the patterns of intercounty migration. Length of residence is a measure of the extent of local ties and satisfaction with a community. Research illustrates that satisfaction with a community is one of the most important deterrents of migration for persons in this age category (Toney, 1976:73).

The fourth life cycle stage that correlates with an age-specific migration pattern involves persons 55 years old and older. In this life cycle stage, persons are retiring from their job and tend to migrate to areas with natural amenities, more precisely, to areas with a substantial body of water (Sheehy, 1976:32 and McMurray, 1982:6). These natural amenity areas often serve as retirement areas and have illustrated strong increases in population (Beale, 1977:9).

Summary of Literature Review

Migration involves a change of usual residence between defined political areas. Internal migration takes place within a country and such moves are called in-migration or out-migration depending on the perspective from which they are viewed. Migration is influenced by distance, sex, streams and density. There are push and

pull factors that influence a migrant but also influencing a potential geographic location are intervening factors. These factors are often referred to as obstacles because of the barriers they present.

Migration tends to be selective especially as it relates to age, education, employment and natural amenities. The propensity to migrate relates to a life cycle stage. Persons in the young adult life cycle stage (16-24) migrate to places offering new opportunities (educational and economic). Persons in the career development life cycle stage (25-34) migrate to places offering economic opportunities and away from areas offering just educational opportunities. Persons in the career participation life cycle stage (35-54) tend not to migrate because of the investment they have in their present location. Persons in the retiring life cycle stage (55 years old and over) migrate to places with natural amenities, especially water.

CHAPTER III

THEORETICAL ORIENTATION

Introduction

The ultimate aim of science is theory (Kerlinger, 1973:9). But to what extent migration theory has been formulated is ambiguous. In the late 1880's Ravenstein formulated "laws of migration" which attempted to explain the migration phenomena. These "laws of migration" appeared to be quite inadequate and attempts to further develop a theory of migration have been completed by Lee, Herbele, Bogue, etc. The purpose of this chapter is to develop a theoretical framework within which this study can be conducted and knowledge increased. This chapter will consist of sections related to a further examination of migration as a theory, an examination of an analytic systems model to study population dynamics, an examination of a theoretical migration model and propositions for this study.

The theoretical orientation of this study will be based on Ford and DeJong's (1970) theoretical framework for the study of population and a theory of migration as developed by Everett Lee in 1966. Lee's theory draws extensively from Ravenstein's Law of migration and

Herbele's "push" and "pull" factors.

Migration as Theory

As previously noted, there have been attempts made to develop migration theory. A major problem in migration theory development is the lack of a sound theoretical basis upon which to frame a study (Willis, 1974). According to Goldscheider (1971:274), "without adequate theories it is not clear what guidelines would be involved to determine the types of migration and social and economic data to be collected or how such information would contribute to the cumulative undertaking of migration processes". Stemming from Ravenstein's (1885, 1889) descriptive "laws of migration" several efforts have been made to develop such theories, but their efficacy cannot be fully assessed because of a lack of adequate data. This, along with the needs of the policy-makers, has led several researchers to call for a diversion of interest from theoretical work so "the emphasis in migration (should)...be placed on the design of studies to collect data not available from census and other administrative sources" (Haenszel, 1967:261).

The difficulties in migration theory development were further analyzed by Shaw, Stone, Mangalam and Schwarzweller. Shaw stated that there was a "surprising

lack of systematically accumulated knowledge on the subject." What knowledge was available dealt with characteristics of migrants and the factors that influence migration. Yet this information remains fragmented and largely unintegrated (Shaw, 1975). Stone felt the major difficulty in migration theory is that there has been no attempt to formulate general causal models on migration (Stone, 1971:76). Mangalam and Schwarzweller believed that, despite a long history of empirical inquiry, researchers are only beginning to do the hard work of conceptualizing the phenomenon, systematically positing causal sequences and testing relevant hypotheses, all of which must necessarily precede a formal statement of theory (Mangalam and Schwarzweller, 1970:6).

This research specifically addressed the question of the relationship between age-specific net migration, a demographic characteristic, as it relates to life cycle stages and influences from demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological characteristics.

Analytical Systems Approach - Population Model

Numerous researchers have attempted to interlock the relationship between population and social variables to develop a theoretical framework. Broom and Selznick (1963) developed a cross-classifying taxonomy of

population and social variables for sociological analysis. Ryder (1964) presented the notion that the concept of a population and the concept of a society were allied when viewed on aggregate levels. Goldscheider (1971) also presented the notion that populations and societies are systems which are interlocked and changes in one system impact the other system. The relationship that this research will be using in its theoretical orientation is a relationship developed by Ford and DeJong (1970). Ford and DeJong emphasized element and system traits and processes which involved population, social and cultural variables and suggested identifying these particular demographic situations.

The theoretical link between a demographic event such as migration and other demographic, socioeconomic, geo-ecological factors have been made under numerous approaches. Kingley Davis use the term "social demography" to illustrate an interest in investigating the motivational linkages between changing conditions in society and demographic behavior and population trends. Social morphology as conceived by Durkheim and Halbwachs attempted to illustrate that the "morphological structure of groups, and this alone, sometimes allows us to explain their internal states and changes, their institutions and

their way of life" (Halbwachs, 1946:244). This analytic approach was further delineated by Duncan who developed a concept of human ecological complex. See Figure 1.

This illustration portrays interdependent relations among the components of population, environment, social organization and technology. In the analyses of the relational system, demographic variables may be treated as independent variables operating to influence or limit the system; as dependent variables responding to the influences or limitations of other aspects of the system, or as indicators of one or another aspect of the system.

These previous approaches illustrate a lack of integrated approach but do illustrate the possibility of formulating a specific logical relationship between demography and sociology. This systematic relationship was developed by Ford and DeJong. More specifically, Ford and DeJong developed an analytical model which consisted of a set of elements related to each other in a specified manner. See Figure 2.

Each element may be described in terms of certain properties of analytical interest. For instance, an "aggregate system", viewed as a total unit, may be described in terms of system properties. Two properties of basic interest are the structural traits and the

Figure 1

The Ecological Complex



Source: Adapted from Duncan, 1946.

FIGURE 2

TRAITS AND PROCESSES OF A DEMOGRAPHIC SYSTEM

Element trait	Element process	System trait	System process
Membership	Birth, death and migration	Size	Growth: gains through natality and in-migration minus loss through mortality and out-migration
Age	Aging	Age composition	Recomposition by Age
Sex and Race	---	Sex and Race composition	Restructuring by Sex and Race
Residence	Internal migration	Residential distribution	Redistribution by residence

(Ford and DeJong 1970:11)

processes--the composition and change features of the system. The definition of any analytical system, its elements, traits, and processes, is largely arbitrary, although for purposes of scientific analysis the various properties are expected to have empirical referents.

Within this model, three analytical systems existed. The demographic system includes the elements of population size, composition, distribution, fertility, mortality and migration. The social action system included the elements of informal groups, associations, communities, marriage and family institutions, economic institutions, health and welfare institutions, educational institutions and religious institutions. The final analytic system was termed the social aggregate system. This social aggregate system contained the elements of marital status, residential distribution, race, labor force participation, occupation, education and income. These analytical systems were defined based on a set of elements which were related to each other in a specified manner.

The systems approach developed the idea of interrelationships between the components themselves. The demographic system included elements which impact other demographic variables. For example, migration affects the

age and sex composition which is related to births and deaths. Further, a basic conceptual framework emerged from the realization that the principal elements of the demographic system, which for purpose of this paper were the in-migration and out-migration elements, were directly related to the social aggregate system. Those elements included marital status, labor force participation, occupation, education and income. Finally, these components were further related to the social action system in terms of the communities, and various institutions which were established to meet the needs of the aggregate population (Ford and DeJong, 1970:13). See Figure 3.

In addition to the particular element traits and processes discussed, Ford and DeJong continued by discussing the system traits and system processes which emerged in the social system. Within the demographic system were the system traits of population size affected by fertility, mortality, migration and also the sex and age composition. The social aggregate system included the system traits of residential distribution, racial composition, composition by labor force participation, composition by occupation, education and composition by income. The social action system traits included those

Principal Elements:

Population Size
Composition
Distribution
Fertility
Mortality
Migration



Principal Elements:

Informal Groups
Associations
Communities
Marriage and Family Institutions
Economic Institutions
Health and Welfare Institutions
Educational Institutions
Religious Institutions

Principal Elements:

Marital Status
Residential Distribution
Race
Labor Force Participation
Occupation
Education
Income

Figure 3. Major analysis systems in social demography. Ford and DeJong 1970:13.

sub-systems that developed to meet basic human needs and are the institutional systems such as schools, hospitals, welfare systems, the family and marriage, and the churches (Ford and DeJong, 1970:13).

This was the overall conceptual model used to explain the relationship between migration patterns and selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological factors. But to address the specific ideas of the consequences of migration an additional model was required.

Migration Model

As long ago as 1876, Farr argued that migration appeared without definite pattern. As a reaction to this study, Ravenstein (1885:198) developed the "seven" laws of migration. These laws of migration were complemented by Herbele (1938) study illustrating a series of forces which encourage an individual to leave one place (push) and attract him to another (pull). In other words, if an individual's needs cannot be satisfied at his present location, then a move elsewhere may be considered. On the other hand, despite being satisfied with his present situation, information about greater opportunities elsewhere may persuade the individual to move. For each migration, however, several push and pull forces may be

operating and interacting, so that the move cannot be attributed wholly to either force. However, by examining large migration flows, the common stimulants to movement may be established.

Despite the push-pull theory's elegant abstraction of the specific forces generating migration, a number of researchers have criticized it as an oversimplification of a highly complex process. For example, Brinley Thomas (1954:26) has cogently argued that:

"all sorts of promptings may lie behind the decision of an individual or family to leave one country in order to live in another...It is not by making a catalogue of such reasons that one can hope to understand the phenomenon of migration any more than an attempt to describe the manifold motives leading people to want to buy a commodity would constitute analysis of demand. Nothing is easier than to draw up a list of factors labelled 'push' and 'pull' and then write a descriptive account in terms of these two sets of influences".

In response to such comments, Lee (1966) has suggested that migration causation needs to be viewed within a framework of factors associated with area of origin, area of destination, intervening obstacles, and the migrants themselves. What is suggested is that each individual is exposed to a set of positive and negative factors at both the place of origin and different potential destinations. The decision whether to move will depend, therefore, upon an evaluation of all these forces

within the context of various group and societal norms or biases. Such decisions may be modified by a set of intervening obstacles, such as legal restrictions, family attachments, personal anxiety, costs of the move, etc. Many of these obstacles may be slight in some instances and insurmountable in others and what may be trivial to some people may be prohibitive to others. Lee's theory of migration serves as the migration model for this study.

Lee defines migration as "a permanent or semi-permanent change of residence" with no restriction on distance of move, voluntary or involuntary nature of move or distinction between external and internal migration. Every move, no matter how short or long, easy or difficult involves an origin, a destination and a set of intervening obstacles.

Factors that enter into the decision to migrate include:

1. Factors associated with the area of origin.
2. Factors associated with the area of destination.
3. Intervening obstacles.
4. Personal factors.

Lee's conceptual framework is built around these factors and is schematically illustrated on Figure 4.

Figure 4: Origin and Destination Factors and Intervening Obstacles in Migration



Source: Adapted from Lee, 1966:47-57

In examining this illustration, it is apparent that in every area there are countless factors which act to hold people within the area or attract people to it, and there are others which tend to repel them. These are shown in the diagram as + and - signs. There are others, shown as 0's, to which people are essentially indifferent. Some of these factors affect most people in much the same way, while others affect different people in different ways. Thus a good climate is attractive and a bad climate is repulsive to nearly everyone; but a good school system may be counted as a + by a parent with young children and a - by a house-owner with no children because of the high real estate taxes engendered, while an unmarried male without taxable property is indifferent to the situation

(Lee, 1966:50).

Clearly the set of +'s and -'s at both origin and destination is differently defined for every migrant or prospective migrant. Nevertheless, we may distinguish classes of people who react in similar fashion to the same general sets of factors at origin and destination. Indeed, since we can never specify the exact set of factors which impels or prohibits migration for a given person, we can, in general, only set forth a few which seem of special importance and note the general or average reaction of a group. Needless to say, the factors that hold and attract or repel people are precisely understood neither by the social scientist nor the persons directly affected. Like Bentham's calculus of pleasure and pain, the calculus of +'s and -'s at origin and destination is always inexact (Lee, 1966:50).

There are, however, important differences between the factors associated with the area of origin and those associated with the area of destination. Persons living in an area have an immediate and often long-term acquaintance with the area and are usually able to make considered and unhurried judgments regarding them. This is not necessarily true of the factors associated with the area of destination. Knowledge of the area of destination

is seldom exact, and indeed some of the advantages and disadvantages of an area can only be perceived by living there. Thus there is always an element of ignorance or even mystery about the area of destination, and there must always be some uncertainty with regard to the reception of a migrant in a new area (Lee, 1966:51).

Another important difference between the factors associated with the area of origin and area of destination is related to stages of the life cycle. For many migrants the area of origin is that in which the formative years have been spent and for which the general good health of youth and the absence of annoying responsibilities create in retrospect an overevaluation of the positive elements in the environment and an underevaluation of the negative elements. On the other hand, the difficulties associated with assimilation in a new environment may create in the newly arrived a contrary but equally erroneous evaluation of the positive and negative factors at destination (Lee, 1966:51).

While migration may result from a comparison of factors at origin and destination, a simple calculus of +'s and -'s does not decide the act of migration. The balance in favor of the move must be enough to overcome the natural inertia which always exists. Furthermore,

between every two points there stands a set of intervening obstacles which may be slight in some instances and insurmountable in others. The most substantial of these obstacles is distance, which, while omni-present, is by no means the most important. Actual physical barriers like the Berlin Wall may be interposed, or immigration laws may restrict the movement. Different people are, of course, affected in different ways by the same set of obstacles. What may be trivial to some people--the cost of transporting household goods, for example--may be prohibitive to others (Lee, 1966:51).

The effect of a given set of obstacles depends also upon the impediments with which the migrant is encumbered. For some migrants these are relatively unimportant and the difficulty of surmounting the intervening obstacles is consequently minimal; but for others, making the same move, the impediments, among which we must reckon children and other dependents, greatly increase the difficulties posed by intervening obstacles (Ibid).

Finally, there are many personal factors which affect individual thresholds and facilitate or retard migration. Some of these are more or less constant throughout the life of the individual, while others are

associated with stages in the life cycle and in particular with the sharp breaks that denote passage from one stage to another (Lee, 1966:51).

From this conceptualization, Lee formulated 18 hypothesis dealing with the volume of migration, the stream and counterstream of migration and the characteristics of migrants. This research study is specifically interested in the characteristics of migrants as they relate to the age selective nature of migration and the heightened propensity to migrate at certain stages of the life cycle.

Using Ford and DeJong's population and societal linkage and Lee's theory and his hypotheses related to the characteristics of migrants, especially his hypothesis on the heighten propensity to migrate at certain stages of the life cycle is important in the selection of migrants, counties in South Dakota will be examined to determine whether the historic patterns of age-specific net migration are influenced by various demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological factors.

Specific Conceptual Model

A specific conceptual model emerged from this theoretical orientation and is illustrated on Figure 5.

Figure 5 CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK



Propositions

From the literature review and theoretical orientation, the following propositions were derived:

1. The higher the proportion of negative factors at the place of origin the greater the tendency for out-migration.
2. The greater the proportion of positive factors at the places of destination the greater the tendency for out-migration.
3. The easier to overcome the intervening obstacles between the places of origin and destination are, the greater the tendency for out-migration.
4. The fewer the number of intervening obstacles, the greater the tendency for out-migration.
5. Factors positively associated with age-specific net migration include educational opportunities for persons 16-19, advanced educational and beginning employment opportunities for persons 20-24, advanced employment opportunities for persons 25-34 and natural amenity opportunities for persons 55 and over.
6. Factors negatively associated with age-specific net migration include a lack of educational opportunities for persons 16-19, a lack of advanced educational opportunities and beginning employment opportunities for persons 20-24, a lack of employment opportunities for persons 25-34 and a lack of natural amenity opportunities for persons 55 years old and over.

Therefore,

1. Counties identified as having educational opportunities tend to have a net in-migration of persons 16-19 years old.

2. Counties identified as not having educational opportunities, tend to have a net out-migration of persons 16-19 years old.

3. Counties identified as having advanced educational opportunities tend to have a net in-migration of persons 20-24 years old.

4. Counties identified as not having advanced educational opportunities tend to have a net out-migration of persons 20-24 years old.

5. Counties identified as having employment opportunities tend to have a net in-migration of persons 20-24 years old.

6. Counties identified as not having employment opportunities tend to have a net out-migration of persons 20-24 years old.

7. Counties identified as having employment opportunities tend to have a net in-migration of persons 25-29 years old.

8. Counties identified as not having employment opportunities tend to have a net out-migration of persons 25-29 years old.

9. Counties identified as having employment opportunities tend to have a net in-migration of persons 30-34 years old.

10. Counties identified as not having employment opportunities tend to have a net out-migration of persons 30-34 years old.

11. Counties identified as having natural amenities tend to have a net in-migration

of persons 55 years old and older.

12. Counties identified as not having natural amenities tend to have a net out-migration of persons 55 years old and older.

CHAPTER IV

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

This chapter presents the methodology implemented in the research of the dissertation. More specifically, this chapter includes the definition of the unit of analysis, the operational definitions of the independent and dependent variables, the definitions of basic concepts and terms pertinent to this research and the mode of analysis employed in the research design.

Unit of Analysis

The unit of analysis employed in this study was the governmental unit known as the "county". The county was selected as the unit of analysis because of the "functional coherence it possesses" (Frisbie & Poston, 1978:21) and because of reliable secondary sources of data available on a county level from the U.S. Census, the South Dakota Bureau of Vital Statistics and other appropriate state and federal agencies.

There are currently sixty-six counties in the state of South Dakota. This reflects the change in the status of Armstrong County which merged with Dewey County on July 1, 1951 and Washabaugh County which merged with Jackson on January 1, 1979. Demographic, socioeconomic

and geo-ecological characteristics of all counties of South Dakota are presented for 1950, 1960, 1970 and 1980. These characteristics were compared for the extent of change occurring between the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

Independent and Dependent Variables

The independent variables in this study were the existence of various demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological characteristics. More specifically, these characteristics include educational opportunities, employment opportunities and natural amenity opportunities. These characteristics were selected because they parallel a study conducted by the State Demographer's Office of the State of Minnesota on Minnesota counties in 1984. Further justification for the selection of these variables comes from Ford and DeJong model in Chapter III whereby selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological variables are incorporated. In addition, other studies have used these variables as key predictors in the social system.

The independent variables were operationalized as follows:

1. Educational Opportunities. This variable refers to the number of education grades available within a county. All counties had a minimum of twelve grades. Counties that had business schools, cosmetology schools, community colleges, special Indian schools and vocational-technical schools were classified as having fourteen grades. Counties that had an undergraduate Bible school, college and/or university were classified as having sixteen grades. Counties that had graduate universities and/or professional degree schools were classified as having twenty grades. This information was obtained from the Department of Education of the State of South Dakota.

2. Economic Opportunities. This variable refers to the number change associated with total employment between 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80. This material was obtained from the 1950, 1960, 1970 and 1980 census.

3. Natural Amenity Opportunities. This concept refers to the amount of water, forest and slope, in acres, located within a county. This information was obtained from the Soil Conservation Service of the United States Department of Agriculture.

The dependent variables in this study surfaced from an interest in the relationship between demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological variables and age-specific patterns of net migration. More specifically, the relationship between existence of

education opportunities, economic opportunities, natural amenity opportunities within a county and the patterns of net migration for the age categories of 16-24, 25-34 and 55+ over which relate to life cycle periods. The concept of net migration was estimated in the following way:

> Census counts of the total county population were first employed to obtain the net change in the population for the decade. Estimates of births and deaths were reported through the vital statistics office. Net migration was then estimated by subtracting births and adding deaths to the net population change. The net migration estimates reflect the number of net migrants (positive or negative) for each county between 1970 and 1980. To standardize for size, percentage net migration change scores were obtained for each county by dividing the net migration estimate by the county's 1970 population for the 1980 percent change (Frisbie and Poston, 1978:20).

The following formula is of the residual method which uses the vital statistics process.

Net Migration = ($P_{} - P_{}$) - (Births - Deaths) (Bogue, 1969:759).

Net migration equals population change during the intercensal period where $P_{}$ is the censal period just ended and $P_{}$ is the censal year where measurement begins minus the natural increase or births minus deaths during the time period. The result is the difference between the total number of persons moving into an area during a given

intercensal period and the number moving out. Thus it reflects both the in-migrants and the out-migrants (Bogue, 1969:759).

Several words of caution are in order when using migration data. First net migration was determined by a residual method in this study. This means that the figures were obtained by employing population counts from 1950, 1960, 1970 and 1980 and also the number of births and deaths in the same time frame. These migration calculations thus "incorporate any errors resulting from differential underenumeration in the censuses and any errors in the birth and death components. In spite of this, the Census Bureau has shown that the migration estimates are fair approximations of the actual level and pattern of migration" (Bogue, 1969:759).

Further, in interpreting the net migration numbers, these did not reflect the volume of the in-migration or the volume of out-migration, but only the balance or difference between the two migration streams. Migration data of movement in each direction would have been preferred, but these data were difficult to obtain. Using the net migration rates, counties were classified the following:

Net Migration 1950-60

1. Net in-migration of persons 16-19 during the period of 1950-60.

2. Net out-migration of persons 16-19 during the period of 1950-60.

3. Net in-migration of persons 20-24 during the period of 1950-60.

4. Net out-migration of persons 20-24 during the period of 1950-60.

5. Net in-migration of persons 25-29 during the period of 1950-60.

6. Net out-migration of persons 25-29 during the period of 1950-60.

7. Net in-migration of persons 30-34 during the period of 1950-60.

8. Net out-migration of persons 30-34 during the period of 1950-60.

9. Net in-migration of persons 55 years old and over during the period of 1950-60.

10. Net out-migration of persons 55 years old and over during the period of 1950-60.

Net Migration 1960-70

11. Net in-migration of persons 16-19 during the period of 1960-70.

12. Net out-migration of persons 16-19 during the period of 1960-70.

13. Net in-migration of persons 20-24 during the period of 1960-70.

14. Net out-migration of persons 20-24 during the period of 1960-70.

15. Net in-migration of persons 25-29 during the period of 1960-70.

16. Net out-migration of persons 25-29 during the period of 1960-70.

17. Net in-migration of persons 30-34 during the period of 1960-70.

18. Net out-migration of persons 30-34 during the period of 1960-70.

19. Net in-migration of persons 55 years old and over during the period of 1960-70.

20. Net out-migration of persons 55 years old and over during the period of 1960-70.

Net Migration 1970-80

21. Net in-migration of persons 16-19 during the period of 1970-80.

22. Net out-migration of persons 16-19 during the period of 1970-80.

23. Net in-migration of persons 20-24 during the period of 1970-80.

24. Net out-migration of persons 20-24 during the period of 1970-80.

25. Net in-migration of persons 25-29 during the period of 1970-80.

26. Net out-migration of persons 25-29 during the period of 1970-80.

25. Net in-migration of persons 30-34 during the period of 1970-80.

26. Net out-migration of persons 30-34 during the period of 1970-80.

27. Net in-migration of persons 55 years old and over during the period of 1970-80.

28. Net out-migration of persons 55 years old and over during the period of 1970-80.

Null Hypothesis

The following null hypothesis was tested at the 0.05 level of significance.

> The selected independent demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological variables will not contribute significantly to the explanation of observed changes in the patterns of age-specific net migration in South Dakota counties, when the variables are defined as specified above.

Mode of Analysis

The following discussion specifies how each of the objectives were accomplished. There were six objectives employed to answer the basic research question of what effect does demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological factors have on age-specific migration patterns in South Dakota counties for the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

Statistical methods employed included description tables which reported a survey of the data on migration patterns by age category by county in South Dakota as well as descriptive tables illustrating the extent of educational, employment and natural amenity opportunities. Stepwise multiple regression analysis was used to determine relationship and the degree of relationship between dependent and independent variables. This method of analysis accounts for the variability in the dependent

variable as it relates to the changes in the independent variables. Consequently, this process allows the researcher to test for multiple effects by assessing the relative importance of each of the independent variables as they are added or deleted. This allows some measure of the extent to which each of the independent variables contributes to the explained variation in the dependent variable when a given level of significance is specified. Finally, an F ratio was used to determine the contribution that variables found significant in this study have to the regression models developed in the Goss study on the age category of 20-29.

Following the collection of data for all variables for each county in South Dakota, the data were analyzed by computer. The 0.05 level of significance was to test "R^2" and "F" Values.

Objective One

Objective one was to determine the age-specific mid-decade survival rates from life tables for South Dakota for the periods of 1950, 1960 and 1970.

This objective was accomplished using material from the National Center for Health Statistics and developing the mid-decade survival rates. The actual process in developing these mid-decade survival rates

involved a mathematical blending of the decade benchmarks. Currently, studies (i.e. Goss etc.) completed in South Dakota use health statistics for the period of 1969-71. Consequently, Table 4 in the appendix illustrates mid-decade survival rates.

Objective Two

Objective two was to determine age-specific net migration levels as they relate to life cycle age categories for the counties of the state of South Dakota of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

This objective was accomplished in this study and the results are illustrated on Table 5 located in the appendix. These age-specific migration levels were generated using a mathematical process that compared the surviving population to the ending period census population counts.

Objective Three

Objective three was to classify counties of the state of South Dakota by age-specific net migration patterns as they relate to life cycle age categories for the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

This objective was accomplished in this study and the results are illustrated on Table 6 in the appendix. The process involved in completing this objective was a

simple examination of age-specific net migration levels accomplished in objective 2.

Objective Four

Objective four was to identify selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological characteristics for counties in South Dakota. This objective was accomplished in this study and the results are illustrated on Table 1.

Objective Five

Objective five was to determine the demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological factors that significantly explain the age-specific net migration as they relate to life cycle stages for the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80. The selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological characteristics were listed as the independent variables. The variables used in this study were selected because they parallel many of the variables used in a similar study completed by the State Demographer's Office of the State of Minnesota.

The data for this study was collected from various sources from 1950, 1960, 1970 and 1980 information. A number change was calculated for each of the selected variables.

The demographic data were obtained from the U.S.

Table 1

	Educational Opportunities			Employment Opportunities				Natural Amenity Opportunities		
	1960	1970	1980	1960	1970	1980	1950-80	Water	Slope	Forest
Aurora	12	12	12	-365	-196	-96	-657	3,882	1,500	500
Beadle	16	16	16	-143	-98	548	307	10,778	1,500	8,000
Bennett	12	12	12	-206	76	115	-15	1,081	18,900	105,000
Brookings	20	20	20	1,464	938	2,993	5,395	5,768	9,000	2,900
Bon Homme	16	16	16	-49	-278	297	-30	227	24,000	3,877
Brown	16	16	16	27	6,624	3,338	9,989	792	0	7,400
Brule	12	12	12	-246	-21	157	-110	10,020	14,000	400
Buffalo	12	12	12	-51	4	75	28	3,902	31,000	1,900
Butte	12	12	12	65	-39	371	397	6,200	63,000	9,467
Campbell	12	12	12	-254	-182	48	-388	136	23,000	1,700
Charles Mix	12	12	12	-1,508	-975	468	-2,015	2,800	68,000	3,400
Clark	12	12	12	-666	-378	-150	-1,194	4,600	1,000	1,000
Clay	20	20	20	423	820	1,029	2,272	75	3,000	4,000
Codington	12	14	14	142	210	2,075	2,427	2,533	6,000	1,000
Corson	12	12	12	-172	-338	119	-391	7,200	38,000	13,700
Custer	12	12	12	-61	-229	813	523	490	542,000	116,538
Davison	16	16	16	-388	1,098	1,062	1,772	422	1,130	7,000
Day	12	12	12	-1,266	-480	493	-1,253	5,057	10,000	1,500
Deuel	12	12	12	-120	-643	126	-637	690	8,000	3,800
Dewey	12	12	12	-180	102	494	416	2,400	145,000	2,200
Douglas	12	12	12	-92	-344	28	-408	145	1,500	400
Edmunds	12	12	12	-573	-38	354	-257	7,739	0	300

Table 1 (cont.)

	Educational Opportunities			Employment Opportunities				Natural Amenity Opportunities		
	1960	1970	1980	1960	1970	1980	1950-80	Water	Slope	Forest
Fall River	12	12	12	23	-1,051	714	-314	6,720	180,000	66,832
Faulk	12	12	12	-443	-25	2,014	1,546	663	3,900	400
Grant	12	12	12	-182	-221	510	107	4,925	15,000	4,900
Gregory	12	12	12	-731	158	27	-546	1,683	200,000	17,800
Haakon	12	12	12	44	-168	117	-7	6,500	100,000	4,000
Hamlin	12	12	12	-337	-301	218	-420	13,908	0	1,000
Hand	12	12	12	-187	-421	-8	-616	874	0	1,600
Hanson	12	12	12	-122	-333	220	-235	720	11,000	1,900
Harding	12	12	12	-193	-230	91	-332	12,188	217,000	7,148
Hughes	12	12	12	1,646	283	2,085	4,014	2,820	46,000	1,300
Hutchinson	14	14	14	-455	-414	310	-559	1,539	23,000	3,300
Hyde	12	12	12	-44	-152	-13	-209	10,199	12,000	300
Jackson	12	12	12	-10	-135	185	40	3,628	180,000	24,500
Jerauld	12	12	12	-326	-291	69	-548	998	2,000	600
Jones	12	12	12	-93	-80	-45	-218	4,438	60,000	2,100
Kingsbury	12	12	12	-397	-509	165	-741	418	0	1,200
Lake	16	16	16	-334	344	52	62	7,797	1,000	1,700
Lawrence	16	16	16	62	313	1,429	1,804	46	207,000	73,510
Lincoln	12	12	12	-443	236	1,556	1,349	1,277	7,000	4,400
Lyman	12	12	12	-189	24	-71	-236	5,272	230,000	5,100
McCook	12	12	12	-398	-372	369	-401	1,343	8,500	2,000
McPherson	12	12	12	-604	-177	-50	-831	37,496	500	1,000

Table 1 (cont.)

	Educational Opportunities			Employment Opportunities				Natural Amenity Opportunities		
	1960	1970	1980	1960	1970	1980	1950-80	Water	Slope	Forest
Marshall	12	12	12	-419	-396	291	-524	16,201	17,000	6,400
Meade	14	14	14	187	225	3,253	3,665	7,304	408,000	68,408
Mellette	12	12	12	-92	-19	-20	-131	4,950	240,000	7,200
Miner	12	12	12	-665	-82	-293	-1,040	5,764	0	400
Minnehaha	16	16	16	3,025	5,230	16,215	24,470	3,559	7,200	6,100
Moody	14	14	14	-199	-133	89	-243	480	4,300	3,500
Pennington	16	16	16	6,412	2,610	8,500	17,522	2,657	665,000	76,750
Perkins	12	12	12	-477	-58	258	-277	7,053	195,000	6,339
Potter	12	12	12	5	-61	-54	-110	2,909	28,000	4,200
Roberts	12	12	12	-719	-516	280	-955	11,000	45,000	15,900
Sanborn	12	12	12	-382	-196	-148	-726	2,308	5,000	1,800
Shannon	14	14	14	-244	936	679	1,371	3,626	433,000	23,800
Spink	12	12	12	-623	-275	206	-692	5,994	7,000	2,400
Stanley	12	12	12	478	-266	242	454	5,275	317,000	3,100
Sully	12	12	12	-175	-99	91	-183	7,100	25,000	200
Todd	14	14	14	-21	384	640	1,003	3,500	100,000	13,100
Tripp	12	12	12	-481	148	186	-147	3,400	95,000	7,800
Turner	12	12	12	-545	-702	553	-694	75	3,000	3,500
Union	12	12	12	-616	326	904	614	83	500	10,500
Walworth	14	14	14	-341	156	89	-96	1,200	29,000	4,300
Yankton	16	16	16	-183	1,429	1,915	3,161	650	29,000	22,800
Ziebach	12	12	12	-294	201	70	-23	5,190	237,000	7,500

Census, South Dakota, for 1950, 1960, 1970 and 1980. Vital statistics were obtained from the Department of Health in South Dakota in the annual report of public health statistics for 1950, 1960, 1970 and 1980.

The nondemographic data were gathered from various sources. The educational information came from the Educational Directory of the Schools of South Dakota. The economic variables were obtained from Census information, 1950, 1960, 1970 and 1980, data from the Department of Commerce on employment by type of industry, 1950, 1960, 1970 and 1980. Natural amenities variables were obtained from the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Regression Analysis

For this study, a multiple linear regression analysis using the stepwise approach was implemented. In this case, the analysis determined which the selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological variables were related to the patterns of net migration. For this regression analysis, the independent variables were defined as the following:

X_1 : Educational Opportunities

X_{1a}: Number of education grades within county

X_{1b}: 12 years of formal education available within county

X_{1c}: 14 years of formal education available within county

X_{1d}: 16 years of formal education available within county

X_{1e}: 20 years of formal education available within county

X_2 : Employment Opportunities

X_{2a}: Number change associated with total employment during appropriate decade.

X_3 : Natural Amenity Opportunities

X_{3a}: Acres of water area located within county

X_{3b}: Acres of forest area located within county

X_{3c}: Acres of hills located within county

All independent variables were tested with in and out-migration numbers for the selected age categories. Age categories were selected that further divide life cycle stages identified in this study and include the following:

16-19 Age Category

This age category is a sub-age category to the young adults life cycle stage identified as 16-24. This age category was analyzed for counties experiencing in and out-migration over the time periods previously specified.

20-24 Age Category

This age category is a sub-age category to the young adults life cycle stage identified as 16-24. This age category was analyzed for counties experiencing in and out-migration over the time periods previously specified.

25-29 Age Category

This age category is a sub-age category to the career development life cycle stage identified as 25-34. This age category was analyzed for counties experiencing in and out-migration over the time periods previously specified.

30-34 Age Category

This age category is a sub-age category to the career development life cycle stage identified as 25-34. This age category was analyzed for counties experiencing in and out-migration over the time periods previously specified.

55 and Over Age Category

This age category correlates with the retirement life cycle stage identified as

55 and over. This age category was analyzed for counties experiencing in and out-migration over the time periods previously specified.

The regression analysis resulted in the construction of a multiple correlation matrix for variables included in the analysis by age category. This multiple correlation matrix, which illustrates correlation coefficients and the statistical significance of the correlation coefficient, is illustrated on Table 2. The regression analysis also resulted in the construction of a model and equation using specific variables. This study generated 15 regression models which are included in the appendix. As an example for interpreting these regression models, a model will be discussed in the text. The model selected for interpretation involves the net in-migration of persons in the 30-34 age category and the 1970-80 time period.

Specifically, a regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_6 (employment opportunities) and the X_4 (existence of a 4 year college) variables. The regression equation and all variables included in the equation were statistically

Table 2

Correlation Coefficients of Patterns of Net Migration by Selected Demographic, Socioeconomic and Geo-Ecological Variables 16 - 19 Year Old Age Category

Time Period	1950-60		1960-70		1970-80	
Migration Pattern	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out
	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient
Independent Variables						
Educational Opportunities						
- Total Grades Available	.456	.057	.867*	-.341*	.713*	-.346*
- Only 12 Grades Available	-.302	-.014	.000	.346*	-.340	.389*
- Only 14 Grades Available	-.283	-.085	-.407	-.228*	-.187	-.389*
- Only 16 Grades Available	.267	.139	-.390	-.259*	-.125	.000
- Only 20 Grades Available	.201	.000	.907*	.000	.733*	.000
Employment Opportunities						
- Total Number Available	.838*	.595*	-.098	.058	.261	-.262*
Natural Amenity Opportunities						
- Water Area Available	-.135	-.037	.149	.081	-.170	-.012
- Forest Area Available	.493	.114	-.302	-.011	-.077	.246*
- Hill Area Available	.479	-.047	-.195	-.149	-.098	.090

* Significant at 0.05 Level

Table 2 (cont.)

Correlation Coefficients of Patterns of Net Migration by Selected Demographic, Socioeconomic and Geo-Ecological Variables
20 - 24 Year Old Age Category

Time Period	1950-60		1960-70		1970-80	
Migration Pattern	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out
	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient
Independent Variables						
Educational Opportunities						
- Total Grades Available	.380	.078	.574	.120	.594*	-.029
- Only 12 Grades Available	-.567	-.029	.000	.045	.000	.090
- Only 14 Grades Available	.000	-.086	-.184	-.130	-.350	-.142
- Only 16 Grades Available	.660	.122	-.511	.201	-.229	.055
- Only 20 Grades Available	-.093	-.043	.619	.000	.475	.000
Employment Opportunities						
- Total Number Available	.896*	.534*	-.182	.194	.304	.046
Natural Amenity Opportunities						
- Water Area Available	-.141	-.062	-.061	-.017	-.221	-.119
- Forest Area Available	.768*	.278*	-.211	.340*	.107	.498*
- Hill Area Available	.961*	.110	-.346	.133	-.144	.289*

* Significant at 0.05 Level

Table 2 (cont.)

Correlation Coefficients of Patterns of Net Migration by Selected Demographic, Socioeconomic and Geo-Ecological Variables
25 - 29 Year Old Age Category

Time Period	1950-60		1960-70		1970-80	
Migration Pattern	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out
	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient
Independent Variables						
Educational Opportunities						
- Total Grades Available	.813	-.353*	.389	-.536*	.916*	-.877
- Only 12 Grades Available	-.713	.323*	.000	.440*	-.760*	.636
- Only 14 Grades Available	.000	-.111	-.389	-.120	.065	-.025
- Only 16 Grades Available	.713	-.188	.389	-.161	.866*	-.298*
- Only 20 Grades Available	.000	-.239*	.000	-.496*	.000	-.807*
Employment Opportunities						
- Total Number Available	.970*	.179	.363	.060	.817*	-.657*
Natural Amenity Opportunities						
- Water Area Available	-.568	.017	.632	.051	-.022	.019
- Forest Area Available	.815	.317*	.424	.398*	.421	.308*
- Hill Area Available	.990*	.103	.584	.107	.245	-.072

* Significant at 0.05 Level

Table 2 (cont.)

Correlation Coefficients of Patterns of Net Migration by Selected Demographic, Socioeconomic and Geo-Ecological Variables
30 - 34 Year Old Age Category

Time Period	1950-60		1960-70		1970-80	
Migration Pattern	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out
	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient
Independent Variables						
Educational Opportunities						
- Total Grades Available	.545	-.775*	.623*	-.638*	.553*	-.821*
- Only 12 Grades Available	-.430	.464*	-.649*	.444	-.282*	.457*
- Only 14 Grades Available	.000	.030	.649*	.102	-.073	.186
- Only 16 Grades Available	.430	-.133	.000	.303*	.863*	.098
- Only 20 Grades Available	.000	-.825*	.000	-.503*	.000	-.914*
Employment Opportunities						
- Total Number Available	.699	-.379*	.238	-.433	.923*	-.261
Natural Amenity Opportunities						
- Water Area Available	-.496	-.004	.120	.061	-.099	.114
- Forest Area Available	.746	.116	.286	-.505*	-.025	.188
- Hill Area Available	.917*	.012	.346	-.432*	.109	.079

* Significant at 0.05 Level

Table 2 (cont.)

Correlation Coefficients of Patterns of Net Migration by Selected Demographic, Socioeconomic and Geo-Ecological Variables
55 Years and Over Age Category

Time Period	1950-60		1960-70		1970-80	
Migration Pattern	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out
	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient	Correlation Coefficient
Independent Variables						
Educational Opportunities						
- Total Grades Available	.000	-.144	.829*	-.253*	.417	-.146
- Only 12 Grades Available	.000	.025	-.659	.233*	-.543*	.288*
- Only 14 Grades Available	.000	.132	-.314	.001	.449*	-.115
- Only 16 Grades Available	.000	-.052	.852*	-.220*	.208	-.481*
- Only 20 Grades Available	.000	-.184	.000	-.161	.000	.171
Employment Opportunities						
- Total Number Available	.000	.068	.529	-.276*	.394	-.049
Natural Amenity Opportunities						
- Water Area Available	.000	-.538*	-.488	.018	.170	-.011
- Forest Area Available	.000	.174	.010	-.011	-.078	-.017
- Hill Area Available	.000	.081	.060	-.181	-.069	-.082

* Significant at 0.05 Level

significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 85% of the total variation in net in-migration was accounted for by employment opportunities and 3.8% of the total variation in net in-migration was accounted for by the existence of 16 years of education available within the county. The lowest tolerance level in the stepwise equation was .86. This indicates that there was no significant intercorrelation between variables found statistically significant. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as:

$Y = 33.04 + .172(X_6) - 1427.76(X_4)$.

Objective Six

Objective six was to determine the contribution made by variables found significant in this study to the traditional predictors of age-specific net migration. In 1983, a research study was completed that determined predictors of migration between the time period of 1970-80 (Goss, 1983). This research study focused on the age category of 20-29 which, historically, had been the most migratory age category of persons in South Dakota. This research study determined that the migration patterns for the previous decade was the best predictor of migration.

This research also significantly related employment characteristics with the migration patterns of persons in this age category.

Through this research study and analysis, it was possible to determine the relative net contribution that significant variables introduced in this study had on explaining the migration patterns of the 20-29 age category. To complete this task, a regression model was constructed utilizing significant variables from both studies. More specifically, the significant variables from this study were introduced into the Goss equation and the R_2 change was noted. In order to evaluate whether the value made a significant and unique contribution to the prediction of the dependent variable, a calculation is completed on the differences in the sum of squares for the two regression analyses. An F-ratio is calculated and evaluated for its significance.

Objectives Summary

In summary, objectives one and two resulted in the development of descriptive tables on mid-decade survival rates and survivors by age category for South Dakota counties for the time periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80. Objective three resulted in a descriptive summary table on the patterns of net migration during the

periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80. Objective four resulted in a descriptive summary table on the selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological factors. Objective five resulted in a multiple regression analysis using the stepwise procedure to determine which of the selected variables were related to net migration patterns. Objective six resulted in an analysis that tested the contribution made by statistically significant variables on previous similar age-specific migration research completed for the counties within South Dakota.

CHAPTER V

FINDINGS

This chapter presents the findings on the six objectives of this study. Statistical analyses were computed to determine which of the selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological variables were significantly related to the patterns of net migration. A further analysis was conducted to determine the contribution made by significant variables of this study to other recent studies completed of this nature on South Dakota.

Objective One: Mid-Decade Survival Rates

From a comparison of survival rates illustrated in life tables prepared for South Dakota by the National Center for Vital Statistics for the periods of 1949-51, 1959-61, 1969-71 and 1979-81, it is apparent that a mid-decade survival rate exhibits an increase in survivorship over using beginning survival rates. The implications of this finding can be further illustrated when you compare this study, which used mid-decade survival rates, to the Goss study (1983) that used survival rates generated from the 1969-71 life tables. More specifically, thirty-eight counties in South Dakota exhibited more survivors as a result of using a mid-decade survival rate.

Objective Two: Levels of Net Migration

Levels of net migration were determined for the decades of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80. These levels, for the selected age categories in this study, have been very consistent for all counties in South Dakota. There was an exception to this situation. More specifically, the net migration rates for the age category of 30-34 reversed from net out-migration to net in-migration during the 1970-80 decade.

Objective Three: Patterns of Net Migration

The patterns of age-specific net migration for the age categories selected in this study further illustrate the reversal in migration patterns for the 30-34 age category during the 1970-80 decade. More specifically, thirty-five counties that had experienced a net out-migration of persons in the 30-34 age category during the previous two decades had a change to a net in-migration. In addition, no counties that had experienced a net in-migration during the previous two decades had a change to a net out-migration. Further, one county that experienced a net in-migration during the previous two decades continued to experience a net in-migration. Finally, eleven counties that experienced a net out-migration continued to experience this net out-migration. No other evident migration patterns were

detected in the other age categories examined in this study.

Objective Four: Identification of Selected Characteristics

This study involved the identification of selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological characteristics to be used as predictors of migration and independent variables. Many of the variables used in this study were found to be predictors of migration in a study completed by the State Demographer's Office of the State of Minnesota. In this study, these variables were identified as educational opportunities, employment opportunities and natural amenity opportunities. The identification of these characteristics by county in South Dakota was presented on Table 1.

From an examination of this table, the majority of counties in South Dakota have very limited educational opportunities. There are exceptions to this situation. The counties of Minnehaha, Clay, Brookings, Pennington, Yankton, Beadle, Brown, Davison and Lake had educational opportunities.

In terms of employment opportunities, fifty counties experienced decreases in employment opportunities and, consequently, sixteen counties experienced increases in employment opportunities during the period of 1950-70. The counties that experienced this increase include Brookings,

Bon Homme, Brown, Clay, Codington, Davison, Hughes, Lake, Lawrence, Meade, Minnehaha, Pennington, Shannon, Stanley, Todd and Yankton. During the 1970's, a reversal of employment opportunities transpired. More specifically, fifty-six counties experienced increases in employment opportunities during the period between 1970-80. All counties that experienced increases in employment opportunities during the period of 1950-70 also experienced an increase in employment opportunities during the 1970's. The counties of Aurora, Bennett, Clark, Hand, Hyde, Jones, McPherson, Mellette, Miner and Sanborn not only had a decrease in employment opportunities during the 1970's but decreases in employment opportunities during the period of 1950-70.

In terms of natural amenities, the counties of Pennington, Custer, Lawrence, Meade and Fall River had substantial areas of forest, slope and bodies of water.

Objective Five: Significance of Selected Demographic, Socioeconomic and Geo-ecological Characteristics

To statistically determine which of the selected variables were significant and their explanatory power, multiple regression analysis was computed using educational, employment and natural amenity indicators as independent variables and net in-migration and net out-migration for the

appropriate age categories and time periods as dependent variables. The results of this analysis are presented in the appendix.

Testing of the Research Hypotheses

The research hypotheses stated in Chapter III are not themselves testable. The statistical hypothesis is the form of the statement used to test the relationship. The statistical hypotheses were stated in the null form (a statistical proposition which states that there is no relationship between the variables of the problem). The hypotheses were tested at the .05 level of significance. The reporting of the findings which follows includes the statement of the null hypotheses and the results of the analysis.

> Null Hypothesis 1: Educational opportunities will not contribute to the observed variation in the migration rate and pattern for the 16-19 age category for counties in South Dakota which experienced net in-migration for this age category during the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

From the regression analysis, the research indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis for the 1950-60 decade. During this decade, there was a statistically significant positive relationship revealed between employment opportunities and net in-migration.

The null hypothesis was rejected for the decades of 1960-70 and 1970-80. During these decades, there was a statistically significant positive relationship illustrated between educational opportunities and net in-migration. More precisely, net in-migration increases with the increase in educational opportunities.

> Null Hypothesis 2: Educational opportunities will not contribute to the observed variation in the migration rate and pattern for the 16-19 age category for counties in South Dakota which experienced net out-migration for this age category during the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

From the regression analysis, the research indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis for the 1950-60 decade. During this decade, there was a statistically significant negative relationship revealed between employment opportunities and net out-migration. More precisely, net out-migration increased with the decrease in employment opportunities.

The null hypothesis was rejected for the decades of 1960-70 and 1970-80. During these decades, there was a statistically significant positive relationship illustrated between educational opportunities and net out-migration. More precisely, net out-migration increases with the existence of 12 grades of education available within the

county.

> Null Hypothesis 3: Educational opportunities will not contribute to the observed variation in the migration rate and pattern for the 20-24 age category for counties in South Dakota which experienced net in-migration for this age category during the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

From the regression analysis, the null hypothesis was rejected for the 1950-60 decade. During this decade, there was a statistically significant positive relationship revealed between educational opportunities and net in-migration. More precisely, net in-migration increased with the increase in educational opportunities.

The research indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis for the decades of 1960-70 and 1970-80. During these decades, there was no statistically significant relationship illustrated between educational opportunities and net in-migration.

> Null Hypothesis 4: Educational opportunities will not contribute to the observed variation in the migration rate and pattern for the 20-24 age category for counties in South Dakota which experienced net out-migration for this age category during the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

From the regression analysis, the null hypothesis was rejected for the 1950-60 decade. During this decade, there was a statistically significant negative relationship

revealed between educational opportunities and net out-migration. More precisely, net out-migration increased with the existence of 14 or 16 educational grades available within the county.

The research indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis for the decades of 1960-70 and 1970-80. During these decades, there were no statistically significant relationships illustrated between educational opportunities and net out-migration.

> Null Hypothesis 5: Employment opportunities will not contribute to the observed variation in the migration rate and pattern for the 20-24 age category for counties in South Dakota which experienced net in-migration for this age category during the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

From the regression analysis, the research indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis for all three decades. During these decades, there were no statistically significant relationships revealed between employment opportunities and net in-migration.

> Null Hypothesis 6: Employment opportunities will not contribute to the observed variation in the migration rate and pattern for the 20-24 age category for counties in South Dakota which experienced net out-migration for this age category during the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

From the regression analysis, the null hypothesis

was rejected for the 1950-60 decade. During this decade, there was a statistically significant positive relationship revealed between employment opportunities and net out-migration. More precisely, net out-migration increased with the increase in employment opportunities.

The research indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis for the decades of 1960-70 and 1970-80. During these decades, there was no statistically significant relationships illustrated between employment opportunities and net out-migration.

> Null Hypothesis 7: Employment opportunities will not contribute to the observed variation in the migration rate and pattern for the 25-29 age category for counties in South Dakota which experienced net in-migration for this age category during the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

From the regression analysis, the research indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis for the three decades. During these decades, there were no statistically significant relationships revealed between employment opportunities and net in-migration.

> Null Hypothesis 8: Employment opportunities will not contribute to the observed variation in the migration rate and pattern for the 25-29 age category for counties in South Dakota which experienced net out-migration for this age category during the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

From the regression analysis, the null hypothesis was rejected for the 1950-60 and 1960-70 decades. During these decades, there were a statistically significant positive relationships revealed between employment opportunities and net out-migration. More precisely, net out-migration increased with the increase in employment opportunities.

From the regression analysis, the research indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis for the 1970-80 decade. During this decade, there were no statistically significant relationship revealed between employment opportunities and net out-migration.

Null Hypothesis 9: Employment opportunities will not contribute to the observed variation in the migration rate and pattern for the 30-34 age category for counties in South Dakota which experienced net in-migration for this age category during the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

From the regression analysis, the research indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis for the 1950-60 and 1960-70 decades. During these decades, there were no statistically significant relationships revealed between employment opportunities and net in-migration.

The null hypothesis was rejected for the 1970-80 decade because there was a statistically significant

positive relationship between employment opportunities and net in-migration. This relationship indicates that as employment opportunities increase the net in-migration also increases.

> Null Hypothesis 10: Employment opportunities will not contribute to the observed variation in the migration rate and pattern for the 30-34 age category for counties in South Dakota which experienced net out-migration for this age category during the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

From the regression analysis, the null hypothesis was rejected for the 1950-60 decade. During this decade, there was a statistically significant positive relationship revealed between employment opportunities and net out-migration. More precisely, net out-migration increased with the increase in employment opportunities.

From the regression analysis, the research indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis for the 1960-70 and 1970-80 decades. During these decades, there were no statistically significant relationships revealed between employment opportunities and net out-migration.

> Null Hypothesis 11: Natural amenity opportunities will not contribute to the observed variation in the migration rate and pattern for the 55 years old and over age category for counties in South Dakota which experienced net in-migration for this age category during the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

From the regression analysis, the research indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis for all three decades. During these decades, there were no statistically significant relationships revealed between employment opportunities and net in-migration.

> Null Hypothesis 12: Natural amenity opportunities will not contribute to the observed variation in the migration rate and pattern for the 55 years old and over age category for counties in South Dakota which experienced net out-migration for this age category during the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

From the regression analysis, the null hypothesis was rejected for the 1950-60 decade. During this decade, there was a statistically significant negative relationship revealed between natural amenity opportunities (water) and net out-migration. More precisely, net out-migration increased with the decrease in natural amenity opportunities (water).

The research indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis for the decades of 1960-70 and 1970-80. During these decades, there was no statistically significant relationships illustrated between natural amenity opportunities and net out-migration.

Summary

The findings reported above coincide with the

statement in objective five: To determine the demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological factors that significantly explain the age-specific net migration as they relate to selected age categories of life cycle stages for the period of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

Significant relationships were found between the independent variables and the patterns and rates of net migration. More specifically, the independent variables with a significant relationships include:

1. Educational opportunities and the 1960-70 and 1970-80 net in-migration of persons 16-19 years old.
2. Educational opportunities and the 1960-70 and 1970-80 net out-migration of persons 16-19 years old.
3. Educational opportunities and the 1950-60 net in-migration of persons 20-24 years old.
4. Educational opportunities and the 1950-60 net out-migration of persons 20-24 years old.
5. Employment opportunities and the 1950-60 net out-migration of persons 20-24 years old.
6. Employment opportunities and the 1950-60 net out-migration of persons 25-29 years old.
7. Employment opportunities and the 1960-70 net out-migration of persons 25-29 years old.
8. Employment opportunities and the

1950-60 net in-migration of persons 30-34 years old.

9. Employment opportunities and the 1970-80 net in-migration of persons 30-34 years old.

10. Natural amenity opportunities and the 1950-60 net out-migration of persons 55 years and older.

Interpretation of Findings from Objective Five

1. In the past two decades, additional educational opportunities stimulated the net in-migration of persons 16-19 years old. This is particularly true for counties that have a graduate and/or professional school. Primary beneficiaries from this finding are the counties of Clay, Brookings and Pennington in South Dakota. This is a change for this age category because during the 1950-60 decade employment opportunities stimulated the net in-migration of persons in this age category.

2. In the past two decades, the lack of additional educational opportunities stimulated the net out-migration of persons 16-19 years old. This is a change for this age category because during the 1950-60 decade the lack of employment opportunities stimulated the net out-migration of persons in this age category.

3. During the 1950-60 decade, additional educational opportunities stimulated the net in-migration of persons 20-24 years old. This indicates that young people were delaying additional education possibly because of the Korean War.
4. During the 1950-60 decade, counties without additional educational opportunities experienced a net out-migration of persons 20-24 years old.
5. During the 1950-60 decade, counties with employment opportunities experienced a net out-migration of persons 20-24 years old.
6. During the 1950-60 and 1960-70 decades, counties that experienced an increase in employment opportunities also experienced a net out-migration of persons 25-29 years old.
7. During the 1950-60 decade, counties that experienced an increase in employment opportunities also experienced a net out-migration of persons 30-34 years old.
8. During the 1970-80 decade, counties that experienced an increase in employment opportunities also experienced a net in-migration of persons 30-34 years old.
9. During the 1950-60 decade, counties without natural

amenity opportunities (water) experienced a net out-migration of persons 55 years old and over.

Objective Six: Significance of Contribution

From this study, educational opportunities were found to have a statistically significant positive relationship with the net in-migration of persons 20-29 years old. A natural amenity opportunity (hills) was found to have a statistically significant positive relationship with the net out-migration of persons 20-29 years old. The contributions that these variables have on previous studies is measured by the F-ratio. The results of this mathematical computation are illustrated on Table 3.

In terms of an interpretation of the results on Table 3., the variables found significant in this study, for the 20-29 age category and counties experiencing a net in-migration, did not make a significant contribution to the explanation of total variations related to the pattern of net in-migration. Also, variables found significant in this study, for the 20-29 age category and counties experiencing a net out-migration, did make a significant contribution to the explanation of variations related to the pattern of net out-migration. Descriptively, a natural amenity opportunity (hills) did make a significant contribution to the explanation of total variation of patterns of net out-migration of persons 20-29 during the 1970-80 decade.

Table 3

Contribution of Significant Variables

Net In-Migration (20 - 29 Age Category)

R-Change: 0.246629 = 0.531757 - 0.285128

F - ratio: 2.07* = $\frac{5707467.3 - 3060339}{1278811}$

Degress of freedom: 2,5

Net Out-Migration (20 - 29 Age Category)

R-Change: 0.129769 = 0.4483439 - 0.3185749

F - ratio: 10.67** = $\frac{1850009.2 - 1314268.9}{50199}$

Degress of freedom: 2,55

* Not Significant at the 0.05 Level
** Significant at the 0.05 Level

CHAPTER VI

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The search for statistical significant predictors of migration trends has been a continuing process. This study attempted to reveal predictors using counties in the state of South Dakota as the unit of analysis. The major objective of this study was to determine the relationship between selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological characteristics and changes in age-specific net migration trends as they relate to life cycle stages in counties in South Dakota.

The major findings of this study are summarized in this chapter by each objective, implications of the research, limitations and suggestions for future research will conclude the chapter.

Objectives

Objective 1: To determine age-specific mid-decade survival rates from life tables for South Dakota for the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

1. For all three decades, mid-decade survival rates reflected an increase in survivorship over the benchmark periods.

2. Survivorship increased most significantly for persons 55 years old and over.

Conclusions: Increase in survivorship as reflected from using mid-decade survival rates results in more expected population and, consequently, less net in-migration and more net out-migration.

Objective 2: To determine the level of age-specific net migration for the counties of the state of South Dakota for the time periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

1. Migration levels have been very consistent for age categories examined in this study.
2. There was an exception to this situation, the 30-34 age category experienced a reversal for the majority of counties in South Dakota. More specifically, this reversal refers to a change from a net out-migration to a net in-migration.

Conclusions: The significant decline in the state of South Dakota's net out-migration level can possibly be attributed to a reversal in the level of net migration of

the 30-34 age category.

Objective 3: To classify counties of the state of South Dakota by age-specific net migration patterns as they relate to life cycle stage age categories for the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

1. Migration patterns have also been very consistent for age categories examined in this study.
2. There was an exception to this situation, the 30-34 age category experienced a reversal in patterns of migration for the majority of counties in South Dakota.

Conclusions: The significant decline in the level of net out-migration in the state of South Dakota could be attributed to this reversal in the pattern of net migration of the 30-34 age category.

Objective 4: To identify counties by selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological characteristics in South Dakota. The selected characteristics for this study include indicators of educational opportunities, employment opportunities and natural amenity opportunities.

The summary table describes the results of this

objective. See Table 1.

Conclusions: From an examination of this table, the majority of counties in South Dakota have very limited educational opportunities. There are exceptions to this situation. The counties of Minnehaha, Clay, Brookings, Pennington, Yankton, Beadle, Brown, Davison and Lake had educational opportunities.

In terms of employment opportunities, 50 counties experienced decreases in employment opportunities and, consequently, 16 counties experienced increases in employment opportunities during the period of 1950-70. The counties that experienced this increase include Brookings, Bon Homme, Brown, Clay, Codington, Davison, Hughes, Lake, Lawrence, Meade, Minnehaha, Pennington, Shannon, Stanley, Todd and Yankton. During the 1970's, a reversal of employment opportunities transpired. More specifically, 56 counties experienced increases in employment opportunities during the period between 1970-80. All counties that experienced increases in employment opportunities during the period of 1950-70 also experienced an increase in employment opportunities during the 1970's. The counties of Aurora, Bennett, Clark, Hand, Hyde, Jones, McPherson, Mellette, Miner and Sanborn not only had a decrease in employment opportunities during the

1970's but decreases in employment opportunities during the period of 1950-70.

In terms of natural amenities, the counties of Pennington, Custer, Lawrence, Meade and Fall River had substantial areas of forest, slope and bodies of water.

Objective 5: To determine the selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological factors that significantly explain the age-specific net migration as they relate to selected age categories of life cycle stages for the periods of 1950-60, 1960-70 and 1970-80.

Those independent variables that were found significant were:

Net in-migration

1. Educational opportunities for 16-19 age category for the 1960-70 decade.
2. Educational opportunities for 16-19 age category for the 1970-80 decade.
3. Educational opportunities for 20-24 age category for the 1950-60 decade.
4. Employment opportunities for 30-34 age category for the 1970-80 decade.

Net Out-migration

1. Educational opportunities for 16-19 age category for the 1960-70 decade.

2. Educational opportunities for 16-19 age category for the 1970-80 decade.
3. Educational opportunities for 20-24 age category for the 1950-60 decade.
4. Employment opportunities for 25-29 age category for the 1950-60 decade.
5. Employment opportunities for 25-29 age category for the 1960-70 decade.
6. Employment opportunities for 30-34 age category for the 1950-60 decade.
7. Natural amenity opportunities (water) for persons 55 years old and over for the 1950-60 decade.

Conclusions: Education opportunities have stimulated the net in-migration of persons 20-24 during the 1950-60 decade and of persons 16-19 during the 1960-70 and 1970-80 decades. Correspondingly, counties lacking educational opportunities have stimulated the net out-migration of persons 20-24 during the 1950-60 decade and of persons 16-19 during the 1960-70 and 1970-80 decades.

Counties lacking employment opportunities stimulated the net out-migration of persons 20-24 during the 1950-60 decade. Counties providing employment opportunities stimulated the net in-migration of persons

30-34 during the 1970-80 decade. This characteristic assists in explaining the reversal in migration patterns for the majority of counties.

Objective Six:

The results from the analysis concerning objective 6 are illustrated on Table 3. In terms of an interpretation of the results on Table 3, the variables found significant in this study, for the 20-29 age category and counties experiencing a net in-migration, did not make a significant contribution to the explanation of total variations related to the pattern of net in-migration. Also, variables found significant in this study, for the 20-29 age category and counties experiencing a net out-migration, did make a significant contribution to the explanation of variations related to the pattern of net out-migration. Descriptively, a natural amenity opportunity (hills) did make a significant contribution to the explanation of total variation of patterns of net out-migration of persons 20-29 during the 1970-80 decade.

Summary - Theoretical Model

Chapter III of this study presented the basic theoretical orientation, a conceptual model and theoretical framework for predicting trends of net

migration. From a theoretical perspective, this study dealt with the following:

1. The study was a macroanalysis because the unit of analysis was an aggregate, more specifically, the "county".
2. Population study, Type I best describes the orientation since the dependent variables are demographic and the independent variables are both demographic and nondemographic.
3. Social systems approach was the conceptual framework with the demographic, social aggregate system and social action systems used as one model to develop the specific conceptual model. This determined what was to be explained.
4. To further clarify the model in terms of net migration, a migration systems approach was employed based on Everett Lee's components in his "Theory of Migration". This approach generated a specific process within the conceptual model.
5. To further explain a migration systems approach, a typology was constructed that illustrated "push" factors at the origin,

"pull" factors at the destination and intervening obstacles between the origin and destination.

Conclusions: The statistical analysis conducted in this study provided a basis to investigate the adequacy of the conceptual model. Key predictors of net migration trends were identified as elements in this conceptual framework. These predictors not only acted as "push" and/or "pull" factors but as intervening obstacles.

From the analysis, these key predictors were determined to be significant. Because of the age-specific nature of this study, significant key predictors allowed review of net migration trends over the past three decades as well as explaining these trends by age category as they relate to life cycle stages. This study concluded that different age categories are stimulated by different "push" and "pull" factors and their ability to overcome intervening obstacles.

Conclusions and Implications

By combining the findings and conclusions of the six objectives, it is possible to develop trends and predictors for the migration patterns of age categories as they relate to life cycle stages.

Young adult life cycle stage (16-24 years old)

16-19 sub category

During the 1960-70 and 1970-80 decades, the migration patterns of persons in this age category could have been predicted by the availability of educational opportunities within the county. More specifically, persons in this age category have migrated to counties that provide educational opportunities and from counties that did not provide educational opportunities.

This was a change in trend from the 1950-60 decade. During that time period, persons in this age category migrated to counties that provided employment opportunities and from counties that did not provide employment opportunities.

20-24 sub category

During the 1950-60 decade, the migration patterns of persons in this age category could have been predicted by the availability of educational opportunities within a county. More specifically, persons in this age category have migrated to counties that provide educational opportunities and from counties that did not provide educational opportunities.

This was a change in trend from the 1950-60 decade. During that time period, persons in this age category migrated to counties that provided employment

opportunities and from counties that did not provide employment opportunities.

Career Development Life Cycle Stage Summary (25-34 years old)

The migration pattern and rate of persons in this life cycle stage could be predicted by the availability of employment opportunities within a county. This was illustrated by migration rate and pattern of persons 30-34 years old during the 1970-80 decade. This conclusion assists in explaining the reversal of migration trends experienced by the majority of counties within South Dakota. Conversely, the substantial decline in the rate of out-migration experienced by the state of South Dakota during the 1970-80 decade.

30-34 sub category

During the 1970-80 decade, the migration patterns of persons in this age category could have been predicted by employment opportunities. More specifically, persons in this age category have migrated to counties that provide employment opportunities.

Retirement Life Cycle Stage (55 years and over)

During the 1950-60 decades, the migration patterns of persons in this age category could have been predicted by a natural amenity opportunity (water). More precisely,

persons in this age category have migrated out of counties that did not provide this natural amenity opportunity.

Limitations

The data used in this research study came from a variety of secondary sources. The secondary nature, timing and comparability of that data represent limitations to this study. In terms of the secondary nature of data, it could have been more accurate and appropriate to generate, if possible, an original data source for use in a study.

In terms of timing, the most recent data used in this study was five years old and can not reflect the dynamic nature of population change and subsequent changes in trends. Because of the reversal in migration patterns that occurred during the 1970's, this is of particular concern.

In terms of comparability of data,, the U.S. Census information on population by age category was not consistent for the decades of 1950, 1960, 1970 and 1980. More specifically, the 16-19 age category could have possibly been defined as the 17-19 age category but, because of an inconsistency in reporting population by age, the 16-19 age category was used.

A second data comparability limitation was created

by the use of U.S. Census data over several decades and total employment statistics. More precisely, total employment figures reported by the U.S. Census in the 1950 and 1960 census reports based total employment on all persons 14 years old and over employed. The 1970 and 1980 U.S. Census reported total employment as all persons 16 years old and over employed. This could have been a substantial problem but the 1970 U.S. Census also reported the number of persons 14 and 15 employed which allowed a comparison between the 1960 and 1980 census figures. It did, however, eliminate the use of the 1950-80 time period.

Methodologically, the limitations included the use of a mathematical calculation to determine survivors. Because this approach is only an estimate of survivors, it would of been more appropriate, if possible, to determine the exact number of survivors by age category.

A second methodological limitation results from the use of the residual method for calculating net migration. This approach requires information from two sources, the U.S. Census and vital statistics registration. When using more than one source, the possibility of error increases because the number of computations rise and also the number of people and number

of times the data are handled increases the chance of error at each additional stage. Further, the residual method is, in effect, an indirect measure of net migration. A direct count of who migrates would have been preferable but such a method was not feasible.

A third methodological limitation surfaced because, in some instances, the number of South Dakota counties experiencing a particular type of migration by age category was low resulting in the statistical techniques to be limited. In these instances, the regression analysis could only predict the relationship as of the first step.

A final methodological limitation surfaced as a result of a direction in relationship. This research was of the type I population study nature but many studies have been conducted of the type II population study nature. The statistics have not achieved a measure of process and feedback into a system.

The final limitation associated with this study relates to the theoretical foundation. Migration theory still lacks a widely accepted substantive base. Consequently, as part of this research a conceptual framework was developed. It is hoped that this work may add to the existing literature and increase understanding

of how migration theory might be strengthened and employed. Further work in building on both the macroanalytic as well as the microanalytic approach and the merging of the two, both theoretically and methodologically would add greatly to the knowledge on migration theory.

Recommendations

The following are recommendations for further research. They represent specific and theoretical recommendations. One specific recommendation would be to conduct a study that examines the gender differences using the predictors found significant in this study.

A second specific recommendation would be to consider additional variables to shed additional insight in the migration trends of different age categories. This would be especially important for the 55 year old and over age category because the study failed to discover any significant predictors of migration trends for the different decades.

A third specific recommendation would be to conduct a study that enlarges the time period. Perhaps back to 1910, when the state of South Dakota began experiencing net out-migration.

A fourth specific recommendation would be to

conduct a study that enlarges the study area from South Dakota to the North Central Region using significant predictors identified in this study resulting in better generalizability.

A theoretical recommendation would be to study migration along macro and micro analytic lines as illustrated in Lee's migration model and resulting in a better understanding of the migration process.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Allon-Smith, R.D. "The Migration of the Elderly: a Social Geography." unpublished Ph.D. thesis, University of Leicester, 1978.

Baer, Linda. "The Relationship Between Net Migration Patterns and Selected Demographic and Socioeconomic Factors in South Dakota, 1970-1980." Ph.D. Thesis, South Dakota State University, 1983.

Bass, B. M., and R. A. Alexander. "Climate, economy and the differential migration of white and non-white workers." Journal of Applied Psychology 56:518-21, 1972.

Beale, Calvin L. "A further look at nonmetropolitan population growth since 1970." American Journal of Agricultural Economics 58 (December, 1976):953-58.

_____. "Current status of the shift of U.S. population to smaller communities." Paper presented to the Population Association of America, St. Louis, Missouri, 1977.

_____. "People on the Land." in Rural U.S.A.: Persistence and Change. pp. 37-54. Edited Thomas Ford. Ames: Iowa State University Press 1978.

_____. "Quantitative Dimensions of Decline and Stability Among Rural Communities." in Communities Left Behind: Alternative for Development. pp. 3-21. Edited by Larry Whiting. Ames: Iowa State University Press, 1974.

_____. "Rural Depopulation in the United States: Some Demographic Consequences of Agricultural Adjustment." Demography, (1,1964):264-272.

Bertrand, Alvin. "Rural Social Organizational Implications of Technology and Industry." in Rural U.S.A. Persistence and Change. pp. 75-90. Edited by Thomas Ford. Iowa: Iowa State University Press, 1978.

Besher, James M. and Nishiura, Eleanor. "Theory of Internal Migration Differentials."

Bogue, Donald. Principles of Demography. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1969.

_____. Internal Migration. "The Study of Population: An Inventory and Appraisal." University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 486-509, 1959.

Bowles, Gladys K. "Migration Patterns of the Rural-Farm Population: Thirteen Economic Regions of the United States, 1940-1950." Rural Sociology 22:1-11, 1957.

_____. "Net Migration of the Population 1960-1970, by Age, Sex, and Color." Athens, Georgia: University of Georgia Printing Dept., 1975.

Brown, David and Wardwell, John., ed. "Directions in Urban-Rural Migration: The Population Turnaround in Rural America. New York: Academic Press, 1980.

Brown, L. A., and D. B. Longbrake.. "Migration flows in intra-urban space: place utility considerations." Annals of the Association of American Geographers 60:368-84, 1970.

Cebula, R. J., and R. K. Vedder. "A note on migration, economic opportunity, and the quality of life." Journal of Regional Science 13 (2):205-11, 1973.

Davis, Kingsley. "The Effect of Out-Migration on Regions of Origin." Internal Migration, pp. 147-166. Edited by Alan Brown and Egon Neuberger. New York: Academic Press, 1977.

DeJong, G. F., and W. F. Donnelly. "Public welfare and migration." Sociological Sciences Quarterly 54:329-44, 1973.

DeJong, G. F., and R. W. Gardner (eds.). "Migration Decision Making. Multidisciplinary Approaches to Microlevel Studies in Developed and Developing Countries." New York: Pergomon Press, 1981.

DeJong, G. F., and C. R. Humphrey. "Selected characteristics of metropolitan-to-nonmetropolitan area migrants: a study of population redistribution in Pennsylvania." Rural Sociology 41 (Winter):526-38, 1976.

Duncan, Otis. "Human Ecology." Glencoe, IL: Free Press, 1946.

Ford, Thomas R. and Gordon F. DeJong. "Social Demography." Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1970.

Frisbie, W. Parker and Poston, Dudley. "The Structure of Substenance Organization and Population Change in Non-Metropolitan America." Rural Sociology, 41, (Fall 1976) 354-370.

_____. "Substenance Organization and Migration in Nonmetropolitan America." Iowa City: University of Iowa Press, 1978.

Fuguitt, Glen. "Places Left Behind: Population Trends and Policy for Rural America." Rural Sociology, 36 (December, 1971) 449-470.

Fuguitt, Glen and Voss, Paul. "Recent Nonmetropolitan Population Trends." in Growth and Change in Rural America. Edited by Glen Fuguitt, Paul Voss and J.C. Doherty. Washington, D.C.: Urban Land Institute, 1979.

Golant, S.M. "The Residential Location and Spatial Behaviour of the Elderly. a Canadian Example." Department of Geography Research Paper No. 143, University of Chicago, 1972.

Goldcheider, Calvin. Population, Modernization, and Social Structure. Boston: Little, Brown, and Company, 1971.

Goss, Sidney. "Selected Factors Associated with Age-Specific Net Migration for South Dakota, 1970-1980." Ph.D. Thesis, South Dakota State University, 1983.

Greenwood, Michael J. "Research on internal migration in the United States: a survey." The journal of Economic Literature 13 (June):397-433, 1975.

Gustafson, Neil C. "Recent Trends/Future Prospects: A Look at Upper Midwest Population Changes." Minneapolis: Upper Midwest Council, 1973.

Haenszel, W. "Concert, measurement and data in Migration Analysis." Demography, 4, 253-62, 1967.

Halbwachs, Maurice. Morphologie Sociale. Paris: Librairie Armand Colin, 1946.

Hawley, Amos and Mazie, Sara, ed. Nonmetropolitan America in Transition . Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina, 1981.

Heil, Gerald. "Population Changes Associated with Net Out-Migration from South Dakota 1950-1960." Master's Thesis, South Dakota State University, 1971.

Kammeyer, Kenneth. An Introduction to Population. San Francisco: Chandler Publishing Company, 1971.

Kerlinger, Fred. Foundations of Behavioral Research. New York: Holt Rinehart and Winston, 1973.

Lansing, J. B., and E. Mueller. "The Geographical Mobility of Labor." Ann Arbor: Survey Research Center, University of Michigan, 1967.

Lee, Everett. "A Theory of Migration." Demography, 3:47-57, 1966.

Lee, Everett S., Jane Mervine Wilkie, and Leon F. Bouvier. "The Desertion of Our Countryside?" Population Profiles, No. 7, 1973.

Lewis, G. J. "Human Migration." Migration, Internal. , 1982.

Lind, H. "Internal Migration in Britain", in Jackson, J.A. (ed.), 74-99, 1969.

Long, L.H. "The influence of number and ages of children on residential mobility." Demography. 9, 371-82, 1972.

_____. "Internal Migration in the United States." in International Encyclopedia of Population. pp. 360-365. Edited by John Ross, New York: The Force Press, 1982.

_____. "Migration differentials by education and occupation: trends and variations." Demography. , 10, 243-58, 1973.

Long, Larry and Kristin Hansen. "Reasons for Interstate Migration." Current Population Reports, Special Studies, Series P-23, No. 81, Bureau of Census, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1979.

_____. "Selectivity of black return migration to the south." Rural Sociology 42 (Fall):317-31, 1977.

Mangalam, Joseph and Schwarzweller, Harry. "Some Theoretical Guidelines Towards a Sociology of Migration." International Migration Review. 4 (Spring, 1970): 5-21.

Margolis, J. "Internal Migration: Measurement and Models." in Internal Migration. Edited by David Brown and Egon Neuberger. New York: Academic Press, 1977.

Matras, Judah. Introduction to Population. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall, 1977.

McInnis, M. "Age, education and occupational differentials in inter-regional migration: some evidence from Canada" Demography, 8, 195-205, 1971.

McMurray, Martha. "Minnesota Lake Areas, Suburban Counties Attract Migrants in Decade of 1970's." St. Paul, MN: State Demography Unit, May, 1982.

Michelson, W. Environmental Choice, Human Behaviour, and Residential Satisfaction. Oxford University Press, New York, 1977.

Miller, A. R. "The migration of employed persons to and from metropolitan areas of the U. S." Journal of the American Statistical Association 62:1418-32, 1967.

Morrison, Peter. "The Functions and Dynamics of Migration Process," in Internal Migration, pp. 61-74. Edited by David Brown and Egon Neuberger. New York: Academic Press, 1977.

Morrison, Peter and Wheeler, J. "Rural Renaissance in America?" Population Bulletin No. 31 (October). Washington D.C.: Population Reference Bureau, 1976.

National Center for Health Statistics. "U. S. Decennial Life Tables for 1969-71." Rockville, Maryland: Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 1975.

Parpia, Banoo, Steve H. Murdock, and Sean-Shong Hwang. "Determinants of Age-Differentials in Migration in Texas Counties 1970-1980." Texas: Department of Rural Sociology, August, 1982.

Peterson, William. "A General Typology of Migration." American Sociological Review 23:256-66, 1958.

_____. Population. New York: MacMillan Publishers, 1975.

Ploch, Louis. "The Reversal in Migration Pattern-Some Rural Development Consequences." Rural Sociology 43 (June, 1978):292-303.

_____. "Effects of Turnaround Migration on Community Structure in Maine." in New Directions in Urban-Rural Migration. Edited by David Brown and J. Wardwell. New York: Academic Press, 1980.

Poston, Dudley, Jr. "Demographic Change in Nonmetropolitan America in the 1960s and 1970s: Population Change versus Net Migration Change." The Rural Sociologist, 3 (January, 1983):28-33.

Ravenstein, E.G. "The laws of migration." Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. , 1885; 1889.

Riley, Marvin P. and Baer, Linda. "South Dakota Population and Net Migration 1970-1980.". Brookings: Department of Rural Sociology and Agricultural Experiment Station, January, 1981.

Riley, Marvin P. and James Pew. "The Migration of Young Adults, 1950-1960: South Dakota's Counties, State Economic Areas, and States in the North Central Region." Brookings, S. D.: Agricultural Experiment Station. Rural Sociology Pamphlet No. P.122, 1967.

Riley, Marvin P. and Robert T. Wagner. "South Dakota Population and Net Migration, 1960-1970." Brookings, S.D.: Rural Sociology Department. Agricultural Experiment Station, Bulletin 580, 1971.

Ritchey, P. Neal. "Explanations of migration." pp. 363-404 in Alex Inkeles, James Coleman and Neil Smelser (eds.), Annual Review of Sociology 2. Palo Alto, California: Annual Reviews, Inc., 1976.

Schwarzweller, Harry. "Migration and the Changing Rural Scene." Rural Sociology, 44 (March, 1979):7-23.

Schwarzweller, Harry K., James S. Brown, and J. J. Mangalem. "Mountain Families in Transition." University Park: The Pennsylvania State University Press, 1971.

Shaw, R. P. "Migration Theory and Fact." Philadelphia,

Pennsylvania: Regional Science Research Institute, Bibliography Series No. 6, 1975.

Sheehy, Gail. "Passages." E.P. Dutton, New York, 1976.

Shryock, H. "Population Mobility within the United States." Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1964.

Shryock, H. and Nam, C. "Educational Selectivity of Inter-Regional Migration." Social Faces. 43, 299-310, 1965.

Shryock, Henry and Seigel, Jacob. The Methods and Materials of Demography. New York: Academic Press, 1976.

Sjaastad, L. A. "The costs and returns of human migration." Journal of Political Economy 70:590-93, 1962.

Sly, David F. "Migration and the ecological complex." American Sociological Review 37:615-28, 1972.

Sly, David F., and Jeff Taman. "Ecological approach to migration reexamined." American Sociological Review 42:783-95, 1977.

Sofranko, Andrew and Williams, James. "Characteristics of Migrants and Residents." in Rebirth of Rural America: Rural Migration in the Midwest. pp. 19-29. Edited by Andrew Sofranko and James Williams. Ames: Iowa State University Press, June, 1980.

Sofranko, Andrew, Williams, James and Fliegel, Frederick. "Urban Migrants to the Rural Midwest: Some Understandings and Misunderstandings." in Population Redistribution in the Midwest. pp. 97-128. Edited by Larry Whiting North Central Regional Center for Rural Development. Iowa: Iowa State University Press, 1981.

Speare, Alden, Jr. "Residential satisfaction as an intervening variable in residential mobility." Demography 11(2): 173-188, 1974.

Stone, L.O. "On the Analysis of the Structure of Metropolitan Area Migration Streams. Ontario: Ontario Institute for Studies in Education, 1971.

Stouffer, S. A. "Intervening opportunities and competing migrants." Journal of Regional Sciences 2:1-26, 1960.

Thomas, B. "Migration and Economic Growth." Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1954.

Thomas, D.S. "Research Memorandum on Migration Differentials." Social Science Research Council Bulletin No. 47, New York, 1938.

Turner, Jonathan and Beeghley, Leonard. The Emergence of Sociological Theory, Illinois: The Dorsey Press, 1981.

United States Bureau of the Census. "Census of Population and Housing, 1970": Fourth Count Population Summary Tape (Texas) (machine-readable data file). Washington, D.C., 1971.

_____. "Census of Population and Housing, 1980": Summary Tape File 1A (Texas) (machine-readable data file). Washington, D. C., 1981.

_____. Census of Population and Housing: 1980, Vol. 3, Summary Characteristics for Governmental Units and Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Part 43, South Dakota. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1982.

_____. Census of the Population: 1970, Vol. 1, Characteristics of the Population. Part 43, South Dakota. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1973.

_____. Census of the Population: 1970, Vol. 3, General Social and Economic Characteristics, Part 43, South Dakota. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.

_____. Census of the Population: 1980, Vol. 1, Characteristics of the Population, Part 43, South Dakota. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1982.

_____. "County and City Data Book Consolidated File." County Data, 1947-77 (machine-readable data file). Washington, D. C., 1978.

Wardwell, John. "Toward a Theory of Urban-Rural Migration in the Developed World." in New Directions in Urban-Rural Migration. pp. . Edited by David Brown and John Wardwell. New York: Academic Press, 1980.

Weeks, John. Population: An Introduction to Concepts and Issues. Belmont, Ca.: Wadsworth Publishing Co., 1982.

Wen, Lang Li. "A Note on Migration and Employment." Demography 13:565-70, 1976.

Williams, James D. "The nonchanging determinants of non-metropolitan migration." Rural sociology 46 (Summer): 183-202, 1981.

_____. "Turnaround Migrants: Grubby Economics or Delightful Indulgence in Ruralism?" The Rural Sociologist 2:104-108, 1982.

Williams, James D., and Andrew J. Sofranko. "Motivation for the inmigration component of population turnaround in nonmetropolitan areas." Demography 16 (May):239-56, 1979.

Willis, K.G. Problems in Migration Analysis. Saxon House, Farnborough, 1974.

Wisemen, R.F. and Virden M. "Spatial and social dimensions of intra-urban elderly migration." Economic Geography. , 53, 1-13, 1977.

Wolpert, J. "Migration as an adjustment to environmental stress." Journal of Social Issues 22:92-102, 1966.

Wrong, Dennis. Population and Society. New York: Random House, 1967.

Zelinsky, Wilbur "Coping with migration turnaround: the theoretical challenge." International Regional Science Review 2 (Winter):175-78, 1977.

Zipf, G. K. "The P1P2/D hypothesis: on the intercity movement of persons." American Sociological Review 11:677-86, 1946.

Zuiches, James and Brown, David. "The Changing Character of the NonMetropolitan Population, 1950-1975," in Rural U.S.A.: Persistence and Change. pp. 55-74. Edited by Thomas Ford. Ames: Iowa State University, 1978.

APPENDIX

Table 4

Life Tables

1950 - 60 - Life Table

Age	1949-51 Total	1949-51 Males	1949-51 Females	1955 Total	1955 Males	1955 Females	1959-61 Total	1959-61 Males	1959-61 Females
1	0.97654	0.97295	0.98013	0.97556	0.973305	0.977815	0.97458	0.97366	0.9755
2	0.974725	0.97111	0.97834	0.9738375	0.971655	0.97602	0.97295	0.9722	0.9737
3	0.973635	0.96986	0.97741	0.9726875	0.9705	0.974875	0.97174	0.97114	0.97234
4	0.97288	0.96907	0.97669	0.971865	0.96968	0.97405	0.97085	0.97029	0.97141
5	0.97231	0.96836	0.97626	0.97125	0.968945	0.973555	0.97019	0.96953	0.97085
	0.974018	0.97027	0.977766	0.97304	0.970817	0.975263	0.972062	0.971364	0.97276
6	0.9717	0.96757	0.97583	0.97066	0.96821	0.97311	0.96962	0.96885	0.97039
7	0.9711	0.9668	0.9754	0.97011	0.967515	0.972705	0.96912	0.96823	0.97001
8	0.970535	0.96608	0.97499	0.9695975	0.966855	0.97234	0.96866	0.96763	0.96969
9	0.97002	0.96545	0.97459	0.96912	0.966245	0.971995	0.96822	0.96704	0.9694
10	0.96956	0.96492	0.9742	0.96868	0.96569	0.97167	0.9678	0.96646	0.96914
	0.970583	0.966164	0.975002	0.9696335	0.966903	0.972364	0.968684	0.967642	0.969726
11	0.969145	0.96447	0.97382	0.9682575	0.965165	0.97135	0.96737	0.96586	0.96888
12	0.968745	0.96405	0.97344	0.9678275	0.96463	0.971025	0.96691	0.96521	0.96861
13	0.968325	0.96361	0.97304	0.9673525	0.96405	0.970655	0.96638	0.96449	0.96827
14	0.967815	0.96304	0.97259	0.9667775	0.963335	0.97022	0.96574	0.96363	0.96785
15	0.967175	0.96227	0.97208	0.9660675	0.96244	0.969695	0.96496	0.96261	0.96731
	0.968241	0.963488	0.972994	0.9672565	0.963924	0.970589	0.966272	0.96436	0.968184

16	0.96638	0.96126	0.9715	0.9652	0.961345	0.969055	0.96402	0.96143	0.96661
17	0.965435	0.96002	0.97085	0.9641825	0.96005	0.968315	0.96293	0.96008	0.96578
18	0.96437	0.9586	0.97014	0.96304	0.95858	0.9675	0.96171	0.95856	0.96486
19	0.963215	0.95704	0.96939	0.9617975	0.956965	0.96663	0.96038	0.95689	0.96387
20	0.961985	0.95536	0.96861	0.9604825	0.955225	0.96574	0.95898	0.95509	0.96287
	0.964277	0.958456	0.970098	0.9629405	0.958433	0.967448	0.961604	0.95841	0.964798
21	0.960695	0.95359	0.9678	0.9590975	0.953365	0.96483	0.9575	0.95314	0.96186
22	0.95936	0.95174	0.96698	0.95765	0.9514	0.9639	0.95594	0.95106	0.96082
23	0.95799	0.94983	0.96615	0.956165	0.949375	0.962955	0.95434	0.94892	0.95976
24	0.95662	0.94791	0.96533	0.95468	0.94737	0.96199	0.95274	0.94683	0.95865
25	0.955275	0.94601	0.96454	0.9532225	0.945435	0.96101	0.95117	0.94486	0.95748
	0.957988	0.949816	0.96616	0.956163	0.949389	0.962937	0.954338	0.948962	0.959714
26	0.953965	0.94414	0.96379	0.9518075	0.943585	0.96003	0.94965	0.94303	0.95627
27	0.952675	0.9423	0.96305	0.9504175	0.94182	0.959015	0.94816	0.94134	0.95498
28	0.95141	0.9405	0.96232	0.94905	0.940105	0.957995	0.94669	0.93971	0.95367
29	0.95015	0.93875	0.96155	0.94768	0.938415	0.956945	0.94521	0.93808	0.95234
30	0.94888	0.93704	0.96072	0.94629	0.93671	0.95587	0.9437	0.93638	0.95102
	0.951416	0.940546	0.962286	0.949049	0.940127	0.957971	0.946682	0.939708	0.953656
31	0.9476	0.93538	0.95982	0.94488	0.93499	0.95477	0.94216	0.9346	0.94972
32	0.94629	0.93374	0.95884	0.94343	0.933245	0.953615	0.94057	0.93275	0.94839
33	0.944935	0.93208	0.95779	0.9419375	0.931445	0.95243	0.93894	0.93081	0.94707
34	0.943505	0.93034	0.95667	0.9403725	0.92957	0.951175	0.93724	0.9288	0.94568
35	0.94198	0.92847	0.95549	0.938725	0.927595	0.949855	0.93547	0.92672	0.94422
	0.944862	0.932002	0.957722	0.941869	0.931369	0.952369	0.938876	0.930736	0.947016

36	0.940345	0.92644	0.95425	0.9369725	0.92549	0.948455	0.9336	0.92454	0.94266
37	0.938595	0.92426	0.95293	0.9351125	0.923255	0.94697	0.93163	0.92225	0.94101
38	0.936705	0.92191	0.9515	0.9331375	0.92087	0.945405	0.92957	0.91983	0.93931
39	0.934675	0.91943	0.94992	0.9310475	0.918365	0.94373	0.92742	0.9173	0.93754
40	0.9325	0.91684	0.94816	0.92884	0.915745	0.941935	0.92518	0.91465	0.93571
	0.936564	0.921776	0.951352	0.933022	0.920745	0.945299	0.92948	0.919714	0.939246
41	0.93016	0.91411	0.94621	0.92651	0.912985	0.940035	0.92286	0.91186	0.93386
42	0.92764	0.91122	0.94406	0.924025	0.910055	0.937995	0.92041	0.90889	0.93193
43	0.9249	0.90807	0.94173	0.921345	0.906875	0.935815	0.91779	0.90568	0.9299
44	0.921925	0.90461	0.93924	0.9184225	0.903385	0.93346	0.91492	0.90216	0.92768
45	0.91869	0.90078	0.9366	0.91523	0.89952	0.93094	0.91177	0.89826	0.92528
	0.924663	0.907758	0.941568	0.9211065	0.906564	0.935649	0.91755	0.90537	0.92973
46	0.915165	0.89652	0.93381	0.9117275	0.895225	0.92823	0.90829	0.89393	0.92265
47	0.911335	0.89182	0.93085	0.9078925	0.89049	0.925295	0.90445	0.88916	0.91974
48	0.907145	0.88663	0.92766	0.9037025	0.88526	0.922145	0.90026	0.88389	0.91663
49	0.902565	0.88092	0.92421	0.8991425	0.879495	0.91879	0.89572	0.87807	0.91337
50	0.897555	0.87465	0.92046	0.8941825	0.87316	0.915205	0.89081	0.87167	0.90995
	0.906753	0.886108	0.927398	0.9033295	0.884726	0.921933	0.899906	0.883344	0.916468
51	0.892095	0.86781	0.91638	0.8888075	0.86622	0.911395	0.88552	0.86463	0.90641
52	0.88616	0.86037	0.91195	0.88298	0.858645	0.907315	0.8798	0.85692	0.90268
53	0.87971	0.85229	0.90713	0.876645	0.850415	0.902875	0.87358	0.84854	0.89862
54	0.872755	0.84358	0.90193	0.8697775	0.841545	0.89801	0.8668	0.83951	0.89409
55	0.8653	0.83425	0.89635	0.86234	0.832025	0.892655	0.85938	0.8298	0.88896
	0.879204	0.85166	0.906748	0.87611	0.84977	0.90245	0.873016	0.84788	0.898152

56	0.85731	0.82427	0.89035	0.854305	0.821845	0.886765	0.8513	0.81942	0.88318
57	0.84873	0.81358	0.88388	0.84562	0.81095	0.88029	0.84251	0.80832	0.8767
58	0.839465	0.80209	0.87684	0.8362325	0.799255	0.87321	0.833	0.79642	0.86958
59	0.829455	0.78975	0.86916	0.8260825	0.786685	0.86548	0.82271	0.78362	0.8618
60	0.81868	0.77651	0.86085	0.815155	0.773175	0.857135	0.81163	0.76984	0.85342
	0.838728	0.80124	0.876216	0.835479	0.798382	0.872576	0.83223	0.795524	0.868936
61	0.807065	0.76233	0.8518	0.8033875	0.758685	0.84809	0.79971	0.75504	0.84438
62	0.794525	0.74715	0.8419	0.7907175	0.74319	0.838245	0.78691	0.73923	0.83459
63	0.780945	0.73089	0.831	0.7770875	0.72667	0.827505	0.77323	0.72245	0.82401
64	0.766245	0.71353	0.81896	0.7624725	0.70916	0.815785	0.7587	0.70479	0.81261
65	0.75039	0.69508	0.8057	0.746845	0.69069	0.803	0.7433	0.6863	0.8003
	0.779834	0.729796	0.829872	0.776102	0.725679	0.826525	0.77237	0.721562	0.823178
66	0.73331	0.6755	0.79112	0.730165	0.671255	0.789075	0.72702	0.66701	0.78703
67	0.714935	0.65474	0.77513	0.7123775	0.65082	0.773935	0.70982	0.6469	0.77274
68	0.69518	0.63273	0.75763	0.693385	0.62929	0.75748	0.69159	0.62585	0.75733
69	0.67404	0.60945	0.73863	0.67312	0.606595	0.739645	0.6722	0.60374	0.74066
70	0.65157	0.58496	0.71818	0.65156	0.58272	0.7204	0.65155	0.58048	0.72262
	0.693807	0.631476	0.756138	0.6921215	0.628136	0.756107	0.690436	0.624796	0.756076
71	0.62774	0.55928	0.6962	0.62868	0.557675	0.699685	0.62962	0.55607	0.70317
72	0.602495	0.53244	0.67255	0.6044675	0.53152	0.677415	0.60644	0.5306	0.68228
73	0.57573	0.50446	0.647	0.57885	0.504305	0.653395	0.58197	0.50415	0.65979
74	0.547415	0.47549	0.61934	0.5518125	0.476145	0.62748	0.55621	0.4768	0.63562
75	0.517605	0.4457	0.58951	0.5233825	0.44719	0.599575	0.52916	0.44868	0.60964
	0.574197	0.503474	0.64492	0.5774385	0.503367	0.65151	0.58068	0.50326	0.6581

76	0.48646	0.41525	0.55767	0.49372	0.417595	0.569845	0.50098	0.41994	0.58202
77	0.45418	0.38427	0.52409	0.462965	0.387485	0.538445	0.47175	0.3907	0.5528
78	0.421045	0.35291	0.48918	0.4312275	0.35695	0.505505	0.44141	0.36099	0.52183
79	0.387565	0.32153	0.4536	0.3987075	0.326175	0.47124	0.40985	0.33082	0.48888
80	0.354215	0.29053	0.4179	0.3656525	0.295405	0.4359	0.37709	0.30028	0.4539
	0.420693	0.352898	0.488488	0.4304545	0.356722	0.504187	0.440216	0.360546	0.519886
81	0.321185	0.26007	0.3823	0.3321725	0.26475	0.399595	0.34316	0.26943	0.41689
82	0.28853	0.23022	0.34684	0.298485	0.23439	0.36258	0.30844	0.23856	0.37832
83	0.256205	0.20099	0.31142	0.2649825	0.204675	0.32529	0.27376	0.20836	0.33916
84	0.224005	0.17217	0.27584	0.2321075	0.175935	0.28828	0.24021	0.1797	0.30072
85	0.192165	0.14401	0.24032	0.2004025	0.148615	0.25219	0.20864	0.15322	0.26406
	0.256418	0.201492	0.311344	0.26563	0.205673	0.325587	0.274842	0.209854	0.33983
86	0.16157	0.11745	0.20569	0.17002	0.1231	0.21694	0.17847	0.12875	0.22819
87	0.55433	0.9356	0.17306	0.352215	0.52104	0.18339	0.1501	0.10648	0.19372
88	0.43789	0.7323	0.14348	0.28093	0.79905	-0.23719	0.12397	0.8658	-0.61786
89	0.34307	0.5684	0.11774	0.221715	0.62985	-0.18642	0.10036	0.6913	-0.49058
90	0.70015	0.4408	0.9595	0.837625	0.491	1.18425	0.9751	0.5412	1.409
	0.439402	0.55891	0.319894	0.372501	0.512808	0.232194	0.3056	0.466706	0.144494
91	0.55925	0.3416	0.7769	0.587575	0.3786	0.79655	0.6159	0.4156	0.8162
92	0.44415	0.2646	0.6237	0.455175	0.28895	0.6214	0.4662	0.3133	0.6191
93	0.34855	0.2033	0.4938	0.346575	0.2174	0.47575	0.3446	0.2315	0.4577
94	0.26845	0.1538	0.3831	0.258375	0.16045	0.3563	0.2483	0.1671	0.3295
95	0.2019	0.1139	0.2899	0.1882	0.1157	0.2607	0.1745	0.1175	0.2315
	0.36446	0.21544	0.51348	0.36718	0.23222	0.50214	0.3699	0.249	0.4908

96	0.5176	0.822	0.2132	0.31865	0.814	-0.1767	0.1197	0.806	-0.5666
97	0.365	0.578	0.152	0.584	0.5595	0.6085	0.803	0.541	1.065
98	0.25	0.395	0.105	0.388	0.3745	0.4015	0.526	0.354	0.698
99	0.4815	0.261	0.702	0.40925	0.244	0.5745	0.337	0.227	0.447
100	0.3095	0.167	0.452	0.25975	0.154	0.3655	0.21	0.141	0.279
	0.38472	0.4446	0.32484	0.39193	0.4292	0.35466	0.39914	0.4138	0.38448
101	0.1915	0.103	0.28	0.15975	0.4565	-0.137	0.128	0.81	-0.554
102	0.3885	0.61	0.167	0.56925	0.56	0.5785	0.75	0.51	0.99
103	0.655	0.35	0.96	0.5425	0.32	0.765	0.43	0.29	0.57
104	0.365	0.2	0.53	0.3025	0.18	0.425	0.24	0.16	0.32
105	0.2	0.11	0.29	0.165	0.505	-0.175	0.13	0.9	-0.64
	0.36	0.2746	0.4454	0.3478	0.4043	0.2913	0.3356	0.534	0.1372
106	0.325	0.5	0.15	0.5125	0.5	0.525	0.7	0.5	0.9
107	0.65	0.5	0.8	0.475	0.35	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.4
108	0.45	0.5	0.4	0.325	0.3	0.35	0.2	0.1	0.3
109	0.35	0.5	0.2	0.225	0.3	0.15	0.1	0.1	0.1
110	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	0.415	0.5	0.33	0.3475	0.35	0.345	0.28	0.2	0.36

1960 - 70 - Life Table

Age	1959-61 Total	1959-61 Males	1959-61 Females	1965 Total	1965 Males	1965 Females	1969-71 Total	1969-71 Males	1969-71 Females
1	0.97458	0.97366	0.9755	0.977735	0.976385	0.97914	0.98089	0.97911	0.98278
2	0.97295	0.9722	0.9737	0.97608	0.974605	0.977615	0.97921	0.97701	0.98153
3	0.97174	0.97114	0.97234	0.974905	0.97335	0.97653	0.97807	0.97556	0.98072
4	0.97085	0.97029	0.97141	0.974055	0.97248	0.975695	0.97726	0.97467	0.97998
5	0.97019	0.96953	0.97085	0.97344	0.971795	0.97516	0.97669	0.97406	0.97947
	0.972062	0.971364	0.97276	0.975243	0.973723	0.976828	0.978424	0.976082	0.980896
6	0.96962	0.96885	0.97039	0.972905	0.971185	0.974695	0.97619	0.97352	0.979
7	0.96912	0.96823	0.97001	0.97243	0.970635	0.9743	0.97574	0.97304	0.97859
8	0.96866	0.96763	0.96969	0.972	0.970115	0.97396	0.97534	0.9726	0.97823
9	0.96822	0.96704	0.9694	0.971605	0.96963	0.97365	0.97499	0.97222	0.9779
10	0.9678	0.96646	0.96914	0.971245	0.969185	0.973375	0.97469	0.97191	0.97761
	0.968684	0.967642	0.969726	0.972037	0.97015	0.973996	0.97539	0.972658	0.978266
11	0.96737	0.96586	0.96888	0.9709	0.968755	0.97312	0.97443	0.97165	0.97736
12	0.96691	0.96521	0.96861	0.970545	0.9683	0.972865	0.97418	0.97139	0.97712
13	0.96638	0.96449	0.96827	0.970115	0.967745	0.972565	0.97385	0.971	0.97686
14	0.96574	0.96363	0.96785	0.969545	0.96698	0.97219	0.97335	0.97033	0.97653
15	0.96496	0.96261	0.96731	0.96878	0.96594	0.971705	0.9726	0.96927	0.9761
	0.966272	0.96436	0.968184	0.969977	0.967544	0.972489	0.973682	0.970728	0.976794

16	0.96402	0.96143	0.96661	0.967795	0.9646	0.971085	0.97157	0.96777	0.97556
17	0.96293	0.96008	0.96578	0.96661	0.962975	0.970345	0.97029	0.96587	0.97491
18	0.96171	0.95856	0.96486	0.965255	0.961105	0.969515	0.9688	0.96365	0.97417
19	0.96038	0.95689	0.96387	0.963785	0.95907	0.96862	0.96719	0.96125	0.97337
20	0.95898	0.95509	0.96287	0.962245	0.956925	0.967695	0.96551	0.95876	0.97252
	0.961604	0.95841	0.964798	0.965138	0.960935	0.969452	0.968672	0.96346	0.974106
21	0.9575	0.95314	0.96186	0.96063	0.95467	0.96674	0.96376	0.9562	0.97162
22	0.95594	0.95106	0.96082	0.95894	0.9523	0.96574	0.96194	0.95354	0.97066
23	0.95434	0.94892	0.95976	0.95721	0.949885	0.964705	0.96008	0.95085	0.96965
24	0.95274	0.94683	0.95865	0.955505	0.947555	0.96364	0.95827	0.94828	0.96863
25	0.95117	0.94486	0.95748	0.95387	0.94539	0.962555	0.95657	0.94592	0.96763
	0.954338	0.948962	0.959714	0.957231	0.94996	0.964676	0.960124	0.950958	0.969638
26	0.94965	0.94303	0.95627	0.95235	0.943445	0.96146	0.95505	0.94386	0.96665
27	0.94816	0.94134	0.95498	0.95093	0.941735	0.960345	0.9537	0.94213	0.96571
28	0.94669	0.93971	0.95367	0.949585	0.94017	0.95922	0.95248	0.94063	0.96477
29	0.94521	0.93808	0.95234	0.94824	0.93862	0.95808	0.95127	0.93916	0.96382
30	0.9437	0.93638	0.95102	0.946835	0.936975	0.95692	0.94997	0.93757	0.96282
	0.946682	0.939708	0.953656	0.949588	0.940189	0.959205	0.952494	0.94067	0.964754
31	0.94216	0.9346	0.94972	0.94535	0.93518	0.955745	0.94854	0.93576	0.96177
32	0.94057	0.93275	0.94839	0.943785	0.933265	0.95453	0.947	0.93378	0.96067
33	0.93894	0.93081	0.94707	0.942155	0.93124	0.95328	0.94537	0.93167	0.95949
34	0.93724	0.9288	0.94568	0.94046	0.929175	0.95195	0.94368	0.92955	0.95822
35	0.93547	0.92672	0.94422	0.938715	0.927105	0.950525	0.94196	0.92749	0.95683
	0.938876	0.930736	0.947016	0.942093	0.931193	0.953206	0.94531	0.93165	0.959396

36	0.9336	0.92454	0.94266	0.936905	0.925015	0.948985	0.94021	0.92549	0.95531
37	0.93163	0.92225	0.94101	0.93501	0.92286	0.947345	0.93839	0.92347	0.95368
38	0.92957	0.91983	0.93931	0.933005	0.92058	0.94561	0.93644	0.92133	0.95191
39	0.92742	0.9173	0.93754	0.93085	0.918095	0.943775	0.93428	0.91889	0.95001
40	0.92518	0.91465	0.93571	0.928515	0.91536	0.941845	0.93185	0.91607	0.94798
	0.92948	0.919714	0.939246	0.932857	0.920382	0.945512	0.936234	0.92105	0.951778
41	0.92286	0.91186	0.93386	0.926005	0.91235	0.939835	0.92915	0.91284	0.94581
42	0.92041	0.90889	0.93193	0.92329	0.90906	0.93771	0.92617	0.90923	0.94349
43	0.91779	0.90568	0.9299	0.920375	0.90549	0.93547	0.92296	0.9053	0.94104
44	0.91492	0.90216	0.92768	0.91725	0.901645	0.93309	0.91958	0.90113	0.9385
45	0.91177	0.89826	0.92528	0.913905	0.89751	0.93057	0.91604	0.89676	0.93586
	0.91755	0.90537	0.92973	0.920165	0.905211	0.935335	0.92278	0.905052	0.94094
46	0.90829	0.89393	0.92265	0.91032	0.89305	0.92789	0.91235	0.89217	0.93313
47	0.90445	0.88916	0.91974	0.90645	0.88823	0.92501	0.90845	0.8873	0.93028
48	0.90026	0.88389	0.91663	0.90229	0.883005	0.92196	0.90432	0.88212	0.92729
49	0.89572	0.87807	0.91337	0.897805	0.877315	0.918735	0.89989	0.87656	0.9241
50	0.89081	0.87167	0.90995	0.89297	0.871115	0.91531	0.89513	0.87056	0.92067
	0.899906	0.883344	0.916468	0.901967	0.882543	0.921781	0.904028	0.881742	0.927094
51	0.88552	0.86463	0.90641	0.88777	0.86437	0.9117	0.89002	0.86411	0.91699
52	0.8798	0.85692	0.90268	0.88215	0.85703	0.90786	0.8845	0.85714	0.91304
53	0.87358	0.84854	0.89862	0.87605	0.849035	0.903705	0.87852	0.84953	0.90879
54	0.8668	0.83951	0.89409	0.869375	0.840305	0.89916	0.87195	0.8411	0.90423
55	0.85938	0.8298	0.88896	0.86205	0.830755	0.894135	0.86472	0.83171	0.89931
	0.873016	0.84788	0.898152	0.875479	0.848299	0.903312	0.877942	0.848718	0.908472

56	0.8513	0.81942	0.88318	0.854025	0.820325	0.888595	0.85675	0.82123	0.89401
57	0.84251	0.80832	0.8767	0.84527	0.80906	0.88249	0.84803	0.8098	0.88828
58	0.833	0.79642	0.86958	0.83579	0.79688	0.875835	0.83858	0.79734	0.88209
59	0.82271	0.78362	0.8618	0.825575	0.78383	0.868615	0.82844	0.78404	0.87543
60	0.81163	0.76984	0.85342	0.814645	0.76991	0.86083	0.81766	0.76998	0.86824
	0.83223	0.795524	0.868936	0.835061	0.796001	0.875273	0.837892	0.796478	0.88161
61	0.79971	0.75504	0.84438	0.80297	0.7551	0.852455	0.80623	0.75516	0.86053
62	0.78691	0.73923	0.83459	0.79049	0.739375	0.843415	0.79407	0.73952	0.85224
63	0.77323	0.72245	0.82401	0.77717	0.72271	0.833635	0.78111	0.72297	0.84326
64	0.7587	0.70479	0.81261	0.76296	0.70511	0.82303	0.76722	0.70543	0.83345
65	0.7433	0.6863	0.8003	0.7478	0.68655	0.8115	0.7523	0.6868	0.8227
	0.77237	0.721562	0.823178	0.776278	0.721769	0.832807	0.780186	0.721976	0.842436
66	0.72702	0.66701	0.78703	0.73165	0.66705	0.798955	0.73628	0.66709	0.81088
67	0.70982	0.6469	0.77274	0.71449	0.64659	0.785365	0.71916	0.64628	0.79799
68	0.69159	0.62585	0.75733	0.69627	0.62506	0.770745	0.70095	0.62427	0.78416
69	0.6722	0.60374	0.74066	0.676945	0.60237	0.755135	0.68169	0.601	0.76961
70	0.65155	0.58048	0.72262	0.6565	0.578475	0.738555	0.66145	0.57647	0.75449
	0.690436	0.624796	0.756076	0.695171	0.623909	0.769751	0.699906	0.623022	0.783426
71	0.62962	0.55607	0.70317	0.634965	0.55344	0.72102	0.64031	0.55081	0.73887
72	0.60644	0.5306	0.68228	0.612355	0.5274	0.70244	0.61827	0.5242	0.7226
73	0.58197	0.50415	0.65979	0.58861	0.50046	0.682565	0.59525	0.49677	0.70534
74	0.55621	0.4768	0.63562	0.563645	0.47272	0.66111	0.57108	0.46864	0.6866
75	0.52916	0.44868	0.60964	0.537415	0.444295	0.637825	0.54567	0.43991	0.66601
	0.58068	0.50326	0.6581	0.587398	0.499663	0.680992	0.594116	0.496066	0.703884

76	0.50098	0.41994	0.58202	0.510035	0.41534	0.61278	0.51909	0.41074	0.64354
77	0.47175	0.3907	0.5528	0.48161	0.386	0.586035	0.49147	0.3813	0.61927
78	0.44141	0.36099	0.52183	0.45213	0.356395	0.557405	0.46285	0.3518	0.59298
79	0.40985	0.33082	0.48888	0.42156	0.326635	0.52667	0.43327	0.32245	0.56446
80	0.37709	0.30028	0.4539	0.389965	0.296865	0.493765	0.40284	0.29345	0.53363
	0.440216	0.360546	0.519886	0.45106	0.356247	0.555331	0.461904	0.351948	0.590776
81	0.34316	0.26943	0.41689	0.357395	0.26717	0.45867	0.37163	0.26491	0.50045
82	0.30844	0.23856	0.37832	0.324175	0.23777	0.42179	0.33991	0.23698	0.46526
83	0.27376	0.20836	0.33916	0.29096	0.20917	0.383985	0.30816	0.20998	0.42881
84	0.24021	0.1797	0.30072	0.25858	0.18196	0.34635	0.27695	0.18422	0.39198
85	0.20864	0.15322	0.26406	0.22768	0.15659	0.309745	0.24672	0.15996	0.35543
	0.274842	0.209854	0.33983	0.291758	0.210532	0.384108	0.308674	0.21121	0.428386
86	0.17847	0.12875	0.22819	0.197895	0.1329	0.273565	0.21732	0.13705	0.31894
87	0.1501	0.10648	0.19372	0.16945	0.11102	0.23812	0.1888	0.11556	0.28252
88	0.12397	0.8658	-0.61786	0.14277	0.91195	-0.1856	0.16157	0.9581	0.24666
89	0.10036	0.6913	-0.49058	0.118255	0.7364	-0.13929	0.13615	0.7815	0.212
90	0.9751	0.5412	1.409	0.544	0.5844	0.79408	0.1129	0.6276	0.17916
	0.3056	0.466706	0.144494	0.234474	0.495334	0.196175	0.163348	0.523962	0.247856
91	0.6159	0.4156	0.8162	0.76765	0.45565	0.48232	0.9194	0.4957	0.14844
92	0.4662	0.3133	0.6191	0.5996	0.34875	0.369635	0.733	0.3842	0.12017
93	0.3446	0.2315	0.4577	0.45815	0.2617	0.70405	0.5717	0.2919	0.9504
94	0.2483	0.1671	0.3295	0.3428	0.1922	0.53335	0.4373	0.2173	0.7372
95	0.1745	0.1175	0.2315	0.25185	0.13815	0.3975	0.3292	0.1588	0.5635
	0.3699	0.249	0.4908	0.48401	0.27929	0.497371	0.59812	0.30958	0.503942

96	0.1197	0.806	-0.5666	0.1821	0.4602	-0.07085	0.2445	0.1144	0.4249
97	0.803	0.541	1.065	0.4908	0.676	0.69005	0.1786	0.811	0.3151
98	0.526	0.354	0.698	0.32725	0.4605	0.46405	0.1285	0.567	0.2301
99	0.337	0.227	0.447	0.625	0.309	0.30635	0.913	0.391	0.1657
100	0.21	0.141	0.279	0.425	0.203	0.19835	0.64	0.265	0.1177
	0.39914	0.4138	0.38448	0.41003	0.42174	0.31759	0.42092	0.42968	0.2507
101	0.128	0.81	-0.554	0.286	0.494	0.136	0.444	0.178	0.826
102	0.75	0.51	0.99	0.527	0.314	0.7815	0.304	0.118	0.573
103	0.43	0.29	0.57	0.318	0.535	0.4815	0.206	0.78	0.393
104	0.24	0.16	0.32	0.1895	0.33	0.2935	0.139	0.5	0.267
105	0.13	0.9	-0.64	0.525	0.615	-0.2305	0.92	0.33	0.179
	0.3356	0.534	0.1372	0.3691	0.4576	0.2924	0.4026	0.3812	0.4476
106	0.7	0.5	0.9	0.655	0.355	0.5095	0.61	0.21	0.119
107	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.35	0.165	0.595	0.4	0.13	0.79
108	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.23	0.45	0.41	0.26	0.8	0.52
109	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.135	0.3	0.22	0.17	0.5	0.34
110			0	0	0	0			
	0.26	0.18	0.34	0.274	0.254	0.3469	0.288	0.328	0.3538

1970 - 80 - Life Table

Age	1969-71 Total	1969-71 Males	1969-71 Females	1975 Total	1975 Males	1975 Females	1979-81 Total	1979-81 Males	1979-81 Females
1	0.98089	0.97911	0.98278	0.984825	0.9836	0.986105	0.98876	0.98809	0.98943
2	0.97921	0.97701	0.98153	0.9835125	0.982005	0.98508	0.987815	0.987	0.98863
3	0.97807	0.97556	0.98072	0.9825575	0.98083	0.984355	0.987045	0.9861	0.98799
4	0.97726	0.97467	0.97998	0.9818275	0.98001	0.98371	0.986395	0.98535	0.98744
5	0.97669	0.97406	0.97947	0.9813025	0.97941	0.98327	0.985915	0.98476	0.98707
	0.978424	0.976082	0.980896	0.982805	0.981171	0.984504	0.987186	0.98626	0.988112
6	0.97619	0.97352	0.979	0.9808325	0.97888	0.982855	0.985475	0.98424	0.98671
7	0.97574	0.97304	0.97859	0.980415	0.978405	0.9825	0.98509	0.98377	0.98641
8	0.97534	0.9726	0.97823	0.9800425	0.97797	0.98219	0.984745	0.98334	0.98615
9	0.97499	0.97222	0.9779	0.97972	0.977595	0.981915	0.98445	0.98297	0.98593
10	0.97469	0.97191	0.97761	0.9794525	0.9773	0.981675	0.984215	0.98269	0.98574
	0.97539	0.972658	0.978266	0.9800925	0.97803	0.982227	0.984795	0.983402	0.986188
11	0.97443	0.97165	0.97736	0.97968	0.97796	0.981475	0.98493	0.98427	0.98559
12	0.97418	0.97139	0.97712	0.9790125	0.976825	0.981275	0.983845	0.98226	0.98543
13	0.97385	0.971	0.97686	0.9787175	0.976465	0.98105	0.983585	0.98193	0.98524
14	0.97335	0.97033	0.97653	0.978255	0.97584	0.98075	0.98316	0.98135	0.98497
15	0.9726	0.96927	0.9761	0.977565	0.974865	0.98035	0.98253	0.98046	0.9846
	0.973682	0.970728	0.976794	0.978646	0.976391	0.98098	0.98361	0.982054	0.985166

16	0.97157	0.96777	0.97556	0.97663	0.973515	0.97984	0.98169	0.97926	0.98412
17	0.97029	0.96587	0.97491	0.97549	0.97184	0.97924	0.98069	0.97781	0.98357
18	0.9688	0.96365	0.97417	0.9741775	0.969905	0.97856	0.979555	0.97616	0.98295
19	0.96719	0.96125	0.97337	0.9727575	0.9678	0.977835	0.978325	0.97435	0.9823
20	0.96551	0.95876	0.97252	0.971265	0.96559	0.97707	0.97702	0.97242	0.98162
	0.968672	0.96346	0.974106	0.974064	0.96973	0.978509	0.979456	0.976	0.982912
21	0.96376	0.9562	0.97162	0.9697	0.963275	0.976275	0.97564	0.97035	0.98093
22	0.96194	0.95354	0.97066	0.9680625	0.960855	0.97543	0.974185	0.96817	0.9802
23	0.96008	0.95085	0.96965	0.96639	0.95839	0.97456	0.9727	0.96593	0.97947
24	0.95827	0.94828	0.96863	0.9647525	0.955995	0.973695	0.971235	0.96371	0.97876
25	0.95657	0.94592	0.96763	0.9632025	0.95375	0.97286	0.969835	0.96158	0.97809
	0.960124	0.950958	0.969638	0.9664215	0.958453	0.974564	0.972719	0.965948	0.97949
26	0.95505	0.94386	0.96665	0.9617825	0.951715	0.972055	0.968515	0.95957	0.97746
27	0.9537	0.94213	0.96571	0.960485	0.9499	0.97129	0.96727	0.95767	0.97687
28	0.95248	0.94063	0.96477	0.959285	0.94825	0.97054	0.96609	0.95587	0.97631
29	0.95127	0.93916	0.96382	0.9581075	0.946655	0.96978	0.964945	0.95415	0.97574
30	0.94997	0.93757	0.96282	0.95689	0.945015	0.96899	0.96381	0.95246	0.97516
	0.952494	0.94067	0.964754	0.95931	0.948307	0.970531	0.966126	0.955944	0.976308
31	0.94854	0.93576	0.96177	0.9556125	0.943295	0.968155	0.962685	0.95083	0.97454
32	0.947	0.93378	0.96067	0.9542825	0.94151	0.96728	0.961565	0.94924	0.97389
33	0.94537	0.93167	0.95949	0.9528925	0.93966	0.966335	0.960415	0.94765	0.97318
34	0.94368	0.92955	0.95822	0.9514275	0.937755	0.965305	0.959175	0.94596	0.97239
35	0.94196	0.92749	0.95683	0.949875	0.9358	0.96415	0.95779	0.94411	0.97147
	0.94531	0.93165	0.959396	0.952818	0.939604	0.966245	0.960326	0.947558	0.973094

36	0.94021	0.92549	0.95531	0.9482125	0.933755	0.96286	0.956215	0.94202	0.97041
37	0.93839	0.92347	0.95368	0.94641	0.93157	0.961435	0.95443	0.93967	0.96919
38	0.93644	0.92133	0.95191	0.94445	0.92921	0.95987	0.95246	0.93709	0.96783
39	0.93428	0.91889	0.95001	0.94233	0.926635	0.958195	0.95038	0.93438	0.96638
40	0.93185	0.91607	0.94798	0.9400475	0.92384	0.95643	0.948245	0.93161	0.96488
	0.936234	0.92105	0.951778	0.94429	0.929002	0.959758	0.952346	0.936954	0.967738
41	0.92915	0.91284	0.94581	0.937605	0.920815	0.95457	0.94606	0.92879	0.96333
42	0.92617	0.90923	0.94349	0.934975	0.91754	0.9526	0.94378	0.92585	0.96171
43	0.92296	0.9053	0.94104	0.9321525	0.914015	0.9505	0.941345	0.92273	0.95996
44	0.91958	0.90113	0.9385	0.9291225	0.910225	0.948255	0.938665	0.91932	0.95801
45	0.91604	0.89676	0.93586	0.92586	0.906155	0.945835	0.93568	0.91555	0.95581
	0.92278	0.905052	0.94094	0.931943	0.91375	0.950352	0.941106	0.922448	0.959764
46	0.91235	0.89217	0.93313	0.922355	0.901775	0.943235	0.93236	0.91138	0.95334
47	0.90845	0.8873	0.93028	0.918585	0.89706	0.94045	0.92872	0.90682	0.95062
48	0.90432	0.88212	0.92729	0.9145375	0.891975	0.937485	0.924755	0.90183	0.94768
49	0.89989	0.87656	0.9241	0.910185	0.88648	0.93433	0.92048	0.8964	0.94456
50	0.89513	0.87056	0.92067	0.90552	0.88054	0.930985	0.91591	0.89052	0.9413
	0.904028	0.881742	0.927094	0.9142365	0.891566	0.937297	0.924445	0.90139	0.9475
51	0.89002	0.86411	0.91699	0.900515	0.874125	0.927435	0.91101	0.88414	0.93788
52	0.8845	0.85714	0.91304	0.8951375	0.867205	0.92366	0.905775	0.87727	0.93428
53	0.87852	0.84953	0.90879	0.8893825	0.85975	0.919655	0.900245	0.86997	0.93052
54	0.87195	0.8411	0.90423	0.8832075	0.85171	0.91542	0.894465	0.86232	0.92661
55	0.86472	0.83171	0.89931	0.87658	0.843025	0.910925	0.88844	0.85434	0.92254
	0.877942	0.848718	0.908472	0.8889645	0.859163	0.919419	0.899987	0.869608	0.930366

56	0.85675	0.82123	0.89401	0.869465	0.83364	0.90616	0.88218	0.84605	0.91831
57	0.84803	0.8098	0.88828	0.86182	0.82358	0.90107	0.87561	0.83736	0.91386
58	0.83858	0.79734	0.88209	0.853555	0.81266	0.895585	0.86853	0.82798	0.90908
59	0.82844	0.78404	0.87543	0.84455	0.80079	0.889605	0.86066	0.81754	0.90378
60	0.81766	0.76998	0.86824	0.8347275	0.78787	0.883035	0.851795	0.80576	0.89783
	0.837892	0.796478	0.88161	0.8528235	0.811708	0.895091	0.867755	0.826938	0.908572
61	0.80623	0.75516	0.86053	0.8240225	0.77381	0.87585	0.841815	0.79246	0.89117
62	0.79407	0.73952	0.85224	0.81241	0.75862	0.86801	0.83075	0.77772	0.88378
63	0.78111	0.72297	0.84326	0.7998925	0.742325	0.859465	0.818675	0.76168	0.87567
64	0.76722	0.70543	0.83345	0.7864975	0.72503	0.850185	0.805775	0.74463	0.86692
65	0.7523	0.6868	0.8227	0.77223	0.706795	0.840115	0.79216	0.72679	0.85753
	0.780186	0.721976	0.842436	0.7990105	0.741316	0.858725	0.817835	0.760656	0.875014
66	0.73628	0.66709	0.81088	0.7570725	0.687665	0.829185	0.777865	0.70824	0.84749
67	0.71916	0.64628	0.79799	0.7409875	0.667585	0.817365	0.762815	0.68889	0.83674
68	0.70095	0.62427	0.78416	0.72393	0.646435	0.80469	0.74691	0.6686	0.82522
69	0.68169	0.601	0.76961	0.7058475	0.624085	0.791225	0.730005	0.64717	0.81284
70	0.66145	0.57647	0.75449	0.686715	0.600455	0.777005	0.71198	0.62444	0.79952
	0.699906	0.623022	0.783426	0.7229105	0.645245	0.803894	0.745915	0.667468	0.824362
71	0.64031	0.55081	0.73887	0.666545	0.575585	0.762035	0.69278	0.60036	0.7852
72	0.61827	0.5242	0.7226	0.6453525	0.54963	0.746205	0.672435	0.57506	0.76981
73	0.59525	0.49677	0.70534	0.6231625	0.5228	0.72933	0.651075	0.54883	0.75332
74	0.57108	0.46864	0.6866	0.5999825	0.49535	0.711155	0.628885	0.52206	0.73571
75	0.54567	0.43991	0.66601	0.5758275	0.467475	0.69147	0.605985	0.49504	0.71693
	0.594116	0.496066	0.703884	0.622174	0.522168	0.728039	0.650232	0.54827	0.752194

76	0.51909	0.41074	0.64354	0.5507975	0.43936	0.670285	0.582505	0.46798	0.69703
77	0.49147	0.3813	0.61927	0.524915	0.411055	0.64759	0.55836	0.44081	0.67591
78	0.46285	0.3518	0.59298	0.498075	0.38254	0.62315	0.5333	0.41328	0.65332
79	0.43327	0.32245	0.56446	0.47012	0.353755	0.59667	0.50697	0.38506	0.62888
80	0.40284	0.29345	0.53363	0.4410075	0.324715	0.568	0.479175	0.35598	0.60237
	0.461904	0.351948	0.590776	0.496983	0.382285	0.621139	0.532062	0.412622	0.651502
81	0.37163	0.26491	0.50045	0.4108125	0.29554	0.537135	0.449995	0.32617	0.57382
82	0.33991	0.23698	0.46526	0.3798175	0.26651	0.504335	0.419725	0.29604	0.54341
83	0.30816	0.20998	0.42881	0.3484225	0.238025	0.470055	0.388685	0.26607	0.5113
84	0.27695	0.18422	0.39198	0.3171	0.210525	0.434825	0.35725	0.23683	0.47767
85	0.24672	0.15996	0.35543	0.2862175	0.184335	0.399075	0.325715	0.20871	0.44272
	0.308674	0.21121	0.428386	0.348474	0.238987	0.469085	0.388274	0.266764	0.509784
86	0.21732	0.13705	0.31894	0.25562	0.15937	0.362545	0.29392	0.18169	0.40615
87	0.1888	0.11556	0.28252	0.225475	0.135755	0.325435	0.26215	0.15595	0.36835
88	0.16157	0.9581	0.24666	0.1962975	0.54505	0.288355	0.231025	0.132	0.33005
89	0.13615	0.7815	0.212	0.1686625	0.445915	0.25201	0.201175	0.11033	0.29202
90	0.1129	0.6276	0.17916	0.348045	0.76955	0.21702	0.58319	0.9115	0.25488
	0.163348	0.163348	0.163348	0.23882	0.411128	0.289073	0.314292	0.298294	0.33029
91	0.9194	0.9194	0.9194	0.7003875	0.8316	0.569175	0.481375	0.7438	0.21895
92	0.733	0.9194	0.9194	0.56217	0.7587	0.55204	0.39134	0.598	0.18468
93	0.5717	0.9194	0.9194	0.4420825	0.69575	0.536115	0.312465	0.4721	0.15283
94	0.4373	0.9194	0.9194	0.3408775	0.6421	0.521755	0.244455	0.3648	0.12411
95	0.3292	0.9194	0.9194	0.48075	0.59745	0.95425	0.6323	0.2755	0.9891
	0.59812	0.59812	0.59812	0.5052535	0.70512	0.626667	0.412387	0.49084	0.333934

96	0.2445	0.2445	0.2445	0.366425	0.22395	0.5089	0.48835	0.2034	0.7733
97	0.1786	0.2445	0.2445	0.274625	0.19605	0.4191	0.37065	0.1476	0.5937
98	0.1285	0.2445	0.2445	0.20255	0.1749	0.3462	0.2766	0.1053	0.4479
99	0.913	0.2445	0.2445	0.7243	0.49175	0.28835	0.5356	0.739	0.3322
100	0.64	0.2445	0.2445	0.50835	0.37775	0.24345	0.3767	0.511	0.2424
	0.42092	0.42092	0.42092	0.41525	0.29288	0.3612	0.40958	0.34126	0.4779
101	0.444	0.444	0.444	0.352525	0.396	0.30905	0.26105	0.348	0.1741
102	0.304	0.444	0.444	0.2413	0.339	0.2836	0.1786	0.234	0.1232
103	0.206	0.444	0.444	0.3565	0.2995	0.6515	0.507	0.155	0.859
104	0.139	0.444	0.444	0.24275	0.273	0.5175	0.3465	0.102	0.591
105	0.92	0.444	0.444	0.7255	0.552	0.423	0.531	0.66	0.402
	0.4026	0.4026	0.4026	0.383715	0.3719	0.43693	0.36483	0.2998	0.42986
106	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.4775	0.515	0.44	0.345	0.42	0.27
107	0.4	0.61	0.61	0.3125	0.44	0.395	0.225	0.27	0.18
108	0.26	0.61	0.61	0.202	0.39	0.364	0.144	0.17	0.118
109	0.17	0.61	0.61	0.305	0.36	0.69	0.44	0.11	0.77
110	0.17	0.61	0.61	0.085	0.305	0.305	0		
	0.288	0.288	0.288	0.2764	0.402	0.4388	0.2308	0.194	0.2676

Table 5

Age-Specific Net Migration

Aurora

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-31	-8.6%	15	8.5%	-49	-27.2%
20 - 24	-268	-60.9%	-151	-62.9%	-117	-58.5%
25 - 29	-312	-65.0%	-187	-71.3%	-125	-57.3%
30 - 34	-143	-43.6%	-91	-50.7%	-51	-34.8%
35 - 39	-106	-32.2%	-81	-43.8%	-25	-17.0%
40 - 44	-71	-25.0%	-21	-14.9%	-50	-35.2%
55 & Over	-109	-9.8%	-86	-15.2%	-19	-3.4%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-68	-17.2%	-6	-2.9%	-62	-32.1%
20 - 24	-284	-62.2%	-150	-62.8%	-138	-62.5%
25 - 29	-239	-58.8%	-137	-64.7%	-102	-52.3%
30 - 34	-5	-2.9%	-5	-5.4%	0	-0.5%
35 - 39	-21	-8.6%	-8	-7.0%	-13	-10.0%
40 - 44	-10	-4.6%	-1	-0.7%	-9	-8.9%
55 & Over	-112	-10.0%	-45	-8.5%	-71	-11.8%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-61	-17.1%	-6	-2.9%	-55	-34.4%
20 - 24	-289	-56.7%	-125	-49.4%	-164	-63.8%
25 - 29	-220	-50.3%	-133	-51.3%	-87	-48.6%
30 - 34	28	16.7%	16	18.4%	12	15.0%
35 - 39	-8	-5.1%	0	-0.2%	-8	-9.2%
40 - 44	0	-0.2%	1	1.6%	-2	-1.9%
55 & Over	-35	-3.1%	-19	-3.6%	-30	-4.9%

Beadle

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	190	12.9%	-71	-8.2%	154	21.4%
20 - 24	-349	-23.0%	-252	-32.0%	-96	-13.2%
25 - 29	-330	-23.2%	-185	-27.1%	-145	-19.6%
30 - 34	-274	-16.3%	-152	-18.4%	-122	-14.3%
35 - 39	-226	-13.9%	-115	-13.8%	-111	-13.9%
40 - 44	-184	-12.9%	-89	-13.1%	-95	-12.8%
55 & Over	-391	-8.4%	-213	-9.4%	-167	-7.0%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-270	-13.6%	-170	-16.6%	-99	-10.4%
20 - 24	-604	-29.8%	-399	-37.6%	-225	-22.9%
25 - 29	-478	-29.8%	-199	-26.2%	-279	-33.1%
30 - 34	-135	-12.1%	-40	-7.9%	-96	-15.7%
35 - 39	13	1.3%	23	4.9%	-11	-1.9%
40 - 44	-77	-5.8%	-25	-3.9%	-53	-7.6%
55 & Over	-252	-5.2%	-140	-6.4%	-130	-4.9%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	69	4.2%	35	4.2%	35	4.3%
20 - 24	-621	-27.4%	-296	-26.4%	-325	-28.3%
25 - 29	-615	-28.9%	-264	-25.1%	-351	-32.7%
30 - 34	-123	-9.0%	28	4.3%	-152	-20.5%
35 - 39	-106	-9.8%	-40	-7.5%	-66	-12.1%
40 - 44	-22	-2.3%	11	2.6%	-34	-6.8%
55 & Over	-317	-6.0%	-223	-9.7%	-172	-5.7%

Bennett

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-59	-22.8%	-24	-19.0%	-37	-27.5%
20 - 24	-181	-52.5%	-100	-53.5%	-81	-51.3%
25 - 29	-101	-35.6%	-57	-38.1%	-44	-32.8%
30 - 34	-57	-22.9%	-40	-28.6%	-16	-15.0%
35 - 39	-55	-22.5%	-32	-25.6%	-23	-19.2%
40 - 44	-16	-8.8%	-13	-12.7%	-3	-3.6%
55 & Over	-149	-22.4%	-72	-20.2%	-72	-23.8%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-68	-23.0%	-33	-24.0%	-36	-22.2%
20 - 24	-112	-36.2%	-54	-36.8%	-62	-37.0%
25 - 29	-89	-37.0%	-48	-38.4%	-41	-35.5%
30 - 34	-4	-2.5%	-18	-21.4%	14	18.5%
35 - 39	-27	-15.4%	-15	-17.9%	-11	-12.9%
40 - 44	-9	-5.0%	-1	-1.1%	-8	-9.1%
55 & Over	-39	-6.4%	-29	-9.5%	-8	-2.7%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-49	-16.7%	-20	-13.8%	-29	-19.5%
20 - 24	-153	-40.3%	-77	-39.2%	-75	-41.6%
25 - 29	-53	-17.8%	-27	-19.0%	-26	-16.7%
30 - 34	-1	-0.7%	12	13.3%	-13	-13.0%
35 - 39	-15	-10.2%	-8	-11.0%	-7	-9.3%
40 - 44	5	3.6%	11	17.9%	-6	-7.1%
55 & Over	-86	-13.0%	-33	-10.8%	-57	-15.7%

Bon Homme

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	46	7.9%	43	14.5%	-2	-0.6%
20 - 24	-177	-24.3%	-68	-17.6%	-110	-31.7%
25 - 29	-227	-32.0%	-105	-29.7%	-122	-34.4%
30 - 34	-170	-26.0%	-98	-28.7%	-72	-23.0%
35 - 39	-66	-11.3%	-45	-14.4%	-21	-7.6%
40 - 44	-44	-8.0%	-18	-6.5%	-26	-9.4%
55 & Over	-163	-7.0%	-38	-3.4%	-121	-10.1%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	207	30.0%	182	52.2%	54	17.4%
20 - 24	-110	-13.6%	-6	-1.4%	-112	-29.9%
25 - 29	-386	-51.5%	-206	-52.8%	-180	-50.0%
30 - 34	-192	-36.4%	-125	-41.7%	-67	-29.3%
35 - 39	-89	-19.4%	-68	-29.2%	-20	-9.2%
40 - 44	-24	-5.3%	-13	-5.9%	-11	-4.7%
55 & Over	-103	-4.4%	-43	-4.0%	-64	-5.1%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	158	26.4%	155	50.9%	3	1.1%
20 - 24	-90	-11.5%	51	12.7%	-141	-36.6%
25 - 29	-558	-53.6%	-348	-58.6%	-209	-46.9%
30 - 34	-248	-36.7%	-183	-43.7%	-64	-25.1%
35 - 39	-14	-4.1%	-15	-8.9%	1	0.7%
40 - 44	4	1.2%	-3	-2.1%	7	4.8%
55 & Over	82	3.5%	45	4.3%	8	0.6%

Brookings

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	626	55.9%	410	69.6%	207	38.4%
20 - 24	996	83.1%	715	117.0%	281	47.9%
25 - 29	-294	-18.6%	-72	-8.8%	-222	-29.1%
30 - 34	-934	-47.9%	-672	-56.4%	-258	-34.1%
35 - 39	-280	-20.8%	-193	-26.3%	-85	-14.1%
40 - 44	-40	-3.8%	-38	-6.8%	-2	-0.4%
55 & Over	-91	-2.4%	-21	-1.2%	-60	-3.1%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	1762	123.4%	964	132.8%	799	113.6%
20 - 24	2007	123.1%	1311	160.2%	679	81.9%
25 - 29	-771	-39.4%	-446	-40.6%	-324	-37.7%
30 - 34	-1217	-58.0%	-831	-65.9%	-383	-45.8%
35 - 39	-382	-31.4%	-301	-43.0%	-80	-15.4%
40 - 44	-51	-5.3%	-26	-5.4%	-25	-5.2%
55 & Over	-102	-2.5%	-50	-2.6%	-64	-2.9%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	1726	128.7%	820	120.3%	906	137.3%
20 - 24	2821	147.9%	1539	152.8%	1281	142.5%
25 - 29	-1329	-38.4%	-680	-37.3%	-648	-39.6%
30 - 34	-2135	-60.7%	-1288	-63.1%	-842	-57.3%
35 - 39	-91	-8.0%	-84	-13.6%	-5	-1.0%
40 - 44	39	4.6%	40	9.9%	-2	-0.4%
55 & Over	0	0.0%	12	0.6%	-65	-2.6%

Brown

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	141	6.6%	-114	-10.2%	238	23.2%
20 - 24	-245	-10.1%	-221	-18.5%	-24	-1.9%
25 - 29	-585	-23.3%	-196	-17.0%	-390	-28.6%
30 - 34	-625	-23.1%	-205	-16.5%	-422	-28.8%
35 - 39	-430	-17.3%	-235	-18.6%	-195	-15.8%
40 - 44	-134	-6.5%	-81	-7.7%	-52	-5.2%
55 & Over	-595	-8.3%	-298	-8.7%	-290	-7.7%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	791	27.1%	165	11.0%	622	43.8%
20 - 24	498	15.7%	41	2.5%	424	26.4%
25 - 29	-594	-22.2%	-138	-11.3%	-458	-31.2%
30 - 34	-290	-13.9%	-35	-3.8%	-257	-22.1%
35 - 39	-163	-8.9%	-101	-11.2%	-62	-6.7%
40 - 44	-15	-0.8%	3	0.3%	-18	-1.8%
55 & Over	-363	-4.9%	-200	-6.1%	-206	-4.9%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	270	9.1%	-38	-2.5%	308	21.1%
20 - 24	303	8.1%	-69	-3.6%	372	20.4%
25 - 29	-1293	-29.9%	-494	-24.9%	-801	-34.2%
30 - 34	-952	-26.8%	-270	-17.2%	-685	-34.7%
35 - 39	-71	-3.6%	-72	-7.1%	2	0.2%
40 - 44	-46	-2.7%	-16	-2.0%	-31	-3.5%
55 & Over	-109	-1.3%	-133	-3.8%	-88	-1.9%

Brule

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-84	-20.0%	-50	-24.4%	-38	-17.1%
20 - 24	-138	-28.6%	-74	-31.5%	-64	-25.8%
25 - 29	-91	-22.9%	-65	-30.1%	-26	-14.3%
30 - 34	-13	-3.4%	-14	-7.0%	1	0.6%
35 - 39	-82	-17.4%	-43	-17.4%	-38	-17.3%
40 - 44	-2	-0.6%	0	0.2%	-2	-1.2%
55 & Over	-135	-9.8%	-54	-8.1%	-77	-11.0%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-176	-30.7%	-70	-25.4%	-106	-35.7%
20 - 24	-333	-55.3%	-181	-58.0%	-158	-53.4%
25 - 29	-175	-39.2%	-91	-42.2%	-84	-36.5%
30 - 34	-37	-11.3%	-13	-8.5%	-25	-13.9%
35 - 39	-16	-5.4%	-8	-5.7%	-8	-5.2%
40 - 44	-11	-3.2%	-15	-8.5%	4	2.1%
55 & Over	-71	-5.1%	-44	-6.7%	-30	-4.2%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-154	-30.0%	-80	-30.4%	-73	-29.5%
20 - 24	-370	-52.1%	-182	-52.1%	-189	-52.1%
25 - 29	-120	-23.7%	-64	-23.6%	-57	-23.8%
30 - 34	59	22.7%	45	36.2%	14	10.0%
35 - 39	2	0.8%	-13	-10.6%	14	10.1%
40 - 44	-26	-9.4%	2	1.9%	-29	-19.7%
55 & Over	-156	-10.3%	-80	-11.3%	-92	-11.2%

Buffalo

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-46	-27.3%	-13	-15.1%	-35	-40.9%
20 - 24	-70	-40.3%	-44	-47.1%	-26	-32.2%
25 - 29	-49	-34.6%	-30	-38.2%	-19	-30.0%
30 - 34	-28	-27.1%	-15	-31.8%	-13	-23.0%
35 - 39	-24	-21.6%	-10	-17.7%	-14	-26.0%
40 - 44	-24	-22.2%	-11	-21.4%	-13	-23.0%
55 & Over	-84	-30.2%	-42	-29.8%	-41	-30.0%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-38	-23.8%	-27	-29.8%	-11	-15.9%
20 - 24	-58	-36.5%	-33	-41.5%	-26	-32.9%
25 - 29	-38	-25.1%	-34	-37.6%	-4	-6.5%
30 - 34	7	7.5%	13	26.3%	-5	-9.8%
35 - 39	-2	-2.7%	0	-0.3%	-2	-5.2%
40 - 44	-12	-16.2%	-1	-2.4%	-11	-26.8%
55 & Over	-30	-11.4%	-12	-8.8%	-17	-14.0%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-47	-23.0%	-7	-7.8%	-40	-35.1%
20 - 24	-56	-25.5%	-30	-28.0%	-26	-23.1%
25 - 29	-47	-31.6%	-31	-38.9%	-16	-23.4%
30 - 34	12	12.8%	12	26.5%	0	0.7%
35 - 39	-24	-22.0%	-14	-26.0%	-10	-18.3%
40 - 44	-8	-7.8%	-9	-16.6%	2	3.5%
55 & Over	-79	-25.3%	-43	-27.9%	-36	-22.7%

Butte

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-108	-17.7%	-73	-22.3%	-40	-13.9%
20 - 24	-293	-40.4%	-149	-40.4%	-143	-40.4%
25 - 29	-211	-32.0%	-107	-33.3%	-104	-30.7%
30 - 34	-1	-0.2%	-6	-2.6%	5	1.9%
35 - 39	-14	-2.6%	-5	-1.7%	-9	-3.4%
40 - 44	-34	-6.3%	-24	-8.6%	-10	-3.8%
55 & Over	-79	-4.7%	-46	-5.3%	-24	-2.9%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-127	-17.2%	-46	-12.4%	-81	-22.0%
20 - 24	-412	-48.6%	-225	-51.0%	-195	-47.0%
25 - 29	-281	-44.7%	-150	-47.2%	-131	-42.2%
30 - 34	-28	-6.7%	-25	-12.0%	-3	-1.3%
35 - 39	-15	-3.6%	0	-0.1%	-15	-6.9%
40 - 44	-18	-3.9%	-12	-5.5%	-7	-2.6%
55 & Over	-120	-6.1%	-71	-7.4%	-51	-5.0%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-33	-5.4%	7	2.2%	-40	-12.9%
20 - 24	-251	-28.6%	-107	-26.0%	-144	-30.9%
25 - 29	-138	-17.1%	-69	-16.8%	-68	-17.4%
30 - 34	173	41.0%	104	50.2%	69	32.0%
35 - 39	69	20.7%	31	19.3%	38	21.9%
40 - 44	40	10.9%	37	21.5%	3	1.4%
55 & Over	28	1.4%	3	0.3%	0	0.0%

Campbell

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-91	-29.1%	-46	-28.4%	-47	-31.0%
20 - 24	-210	-54.5%	-112	-53.6%	-98	-55.6%
25 - 29	-198	-50.1%	-94	-46.8%	-103	-53.5%
30 - 34	-108	-34.1%	-69	-40.8%	-38	-26.2%
35 - 39	-80	-26.1%	-48	-28.9%	-33	-22.8%
40 - 44	-26	-10.3%	-19	-13.1%	-7	-6.1%
55 & Over	-171	-23.2%	-76	-20.6%	-94	-25.6%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-119	-36.0%	-47	-27.5%	-73	-44.9%
20 - 24	-261	-71.1%	-132	-75.9%	-133	-67.5%
25 - 29	-134	-47.7%	-73	-50.0%	-61	-45.1%
30 - 34	-26	-15.2%	-17	-18.6%	-8	-11.0%
35 - 39	-40	-21.4%	-22	-21.5%	-18	-21.2%
40 - 44	-34	-17.3%	-20	-21.6%	-14	-13.5%
55 & Over	-152	-20.4%	-52	-14.4%	-100	-26.2%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-70	-29.1%	-37	-26.6%	-33	-32.5%
20 - 24	-215	-63.2%	-95	-57.2%	-120	-68.9%
25 - 29	-142	-49.9%	-84	-50.9%	-58	-48.5%
30 - 34	-6	-6.3%	5	11.8%	-11	-18.2%
35 - 39	-32	-22.7%	-13	-19.1%	-19	-26.2%
40 - 44	-17	-12.8%	-12	-17.7%	-5	-7.3%
55 & Over	-65	-8.6%	-41	-11.2%	-23	-5.9%

Charles Mix

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-546	-42.9%	-286	-44.4%	-270	-42.2%
20 - 24	-676	-53.4%	-394	-58.8%	-282	-47.4%
25 - 29	-539	-48.0%	-320	-51.9%	-218	-43.3%
30 - 34	-567	-47.5%	-308	-49.3%	-259	-45.4%
35 - 39	-570	-46.9%	-323	-48.7%	-246	-44.5%
40 - 44	-407	-37.8%	-226	-39.8%	-181	-35.4%
55 & Over	-680	-23.8%	-363	-24.2%	-304	-22.6%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-444	-39.9%	-185	-33.4%	-259	-46.3%
20 - 24	-809	-64.4%	-415	-65.3%	-407	-64.1%
25 - 29	-443	-47.3%	-216	-46.5%	-227	-48.2%
30 - 34	-90	-15.9%	-20	-7.7%	-70	-23.2%
35 - 39	-54	-9.7%	-30	-10.8%	-23	-8.5%
40 - 44	-53	-8.9%	-15	-5.2%	-37	-12.6%
55 & Over	-200	-7.7%	-94	-7.5%	-105	-8.0%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-184	-21.3%	-94	-21.4%	-90	-21.3%
20 - 24	-513	-43.4%	-242	-41.0%	-271	-45.7%
25 - 29	-263	-29.9%	-155	-33.3%	-109	-26.0%
30 - 34	90	20.8%	52	24.7%	38	17.0%
35 - 39	26	5.5%	10	4.2%	16	6.8%
40 - 44	-16	-3.5%	-12	-5.4%	-3	-1.4%
55 & Over	-139	-5.3%	-96	-8.0%	-64	-4.6%

Clark

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-261	-41.0%	-128	-39.0%	-138	-44.0%
20 - 24	-455	-64.0%	-230	-65.7%	-225	-62.3%
25 - 29	-328	-50.2%	-183	-53.4%	-145	-46.7%
30 - 34	-124	-24.9%	-77	-29.9%	-47	-19.4%
35 - 39	-115	-20.7%	-72	-24.9%	-42	-16.1%
40 - 44	-47	-8.7%	-16	-5.7%	-30	-12.0%
55 & Over	-214	-11.3%	-58	-5.9%	-147	-16.1%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-221	-37.8%	-113	-36.9%	-108	-38.9%
20 - 24	-500	-70.4%	-232	-65.5%	-275	-75.8%
25 - 29	-316	-62.1%	-157	-61.3%	-159	-62.8%
30 - 34	12	4.9%	3	2.6%	9	6.7%
35 - 39	-38	-12.2%	-17	-11.6%	-20	-12.8%
40 - 44	-37	-10.6%	-11	-6.3%	-27	-14.6%
55 & Over	-246	-13.3%	-123	-13.4%	-116	-12.6%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-112	-26.5%	-38	-18.7%	-74	-33.8%
20 - 24	-312	-53.0%	-134	-47.9%	-178	-57.6%
25 - 29	-142	-29.6%	-75	-28.7%	-67	-30.7%
30 - 34	56	27.6%	17	14.6%	39	45.8%
35 - 39	21	11.3%	12	12.9%	9	9.6%
40 - 44	-23	-9.3%	-4	-3.6%	-19	-14.2%
55 & Over	-184	-10.5%	-85	-10.2%	-109	-11.7%

Clay

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	528	87.0%	308	93.3%	215	76.5%
20 - 24	648	100.6%	480	160.2%	167	48.6%
25 - 29	-251	-25.9%	-85	-16.9%	-165	-35.5%
30 - 34	-940	-64.1%	-616	-68.6%	-321	-56.8%
35 - 39	-350	-39.5%	-269	-49.6%	-79	-23.2%
40 - 44	-87	-13.5%	-74	-21.4%	-13	-4.3%
55 & Over	-277	-12.1%	-120	-11.0%	-154	-13.0%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	1150	152.5%	621	164.9%	529	140.0%
20 - 24	1953	232.0%	1151	266.0%	794	189.9%
25 - 29	-418	-34.3%	-215	-32.3%	-203	-36.7%
30 - 34	-753	-60.9%	-498	-67.2%	-253	-51.2%
35 - 39	-178	-26.1%	-151	-38.4%	-26	-9.0%
40 - 44	0	0.1%	-16	-5.9%	16	7.1%
55 & Over	-195	-9.0%	-111	-11.1%	-93	-7.9%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	1243	168.4%	554	149.5%	689	187.5%
20 - 24	2197	236.8%	1195	242.3%	1002	230.7%
25 - 29	-858	-42.0%	-434	-40.2%	-423	-43.9%
30 - 34	-1912	-70.8%	-1121	-73.9%	-788	-66.7%
35 - 39	-166	-21.7%	-114	-26.7%	-52	-15.3%
40 - 44	-47	-10.2%	-29	-12.8%	-18	-7.7%
55 & Over	-21	-0.9%	-13	-1.3%	-39	-3.1%

Codington

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-335	-23.6%	-221	-30.2%	-125	-17.9%
20 - 24	-556	-38.0%	-338	-45.8%	-218	-30.1%
25 - 29	-317	-24.1%	-181	-27.9%	-137	-20.5%
30 - 34	-19	-1.5%	39	7.1%	-59	-8.7%
35 - 39	-114	-8.6%	-37	-5.7%	-77	-11.4%
40 - 44	-83	-6.5%	-37	-5.9%	-46	-7.0%
55 & Over	-109	-2.6%	275	16.5%	-60	-2.8%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-354	-18.2%	-212	-20.5%	-142	-15.5%
20 - 24	-1010	-48.3%	-601	-56.7%	-430	-40.9%
25 - 29	-345	-25.3%	-152	-23.5%	-193	-27.0%
30 - 34	76	8.7%	68	17.9%	7	1.4%
35 - 39	-86	-9.1%	-17	-3.9%	-69	-13.6%
40 - 44	-122	-10.7%	-66	-12.1%	-56	-9.5%
55 & Over	-43	-0.9%	-34	-1.6%	-32	-1.3%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	153	9.9%	56	7.0%	98	13.0%
20 - 24	-155	-7.4%	-124	-11.7%	-30	-3.0%
25 - 29	-212	-10.8%	-93	-9.2%	-119	-12.5%
30 - 34	302	29.0%	240	54.6%	61	10.0%
35 - 39	67	6.9%	47	9.9%	20	4.0%
40 - 44	88	9.7%	56	13.3%	31	6.4%
55 & Over	-25	-0.5%	-70	-3.2%	-20	-0.7%

Corson

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-177	-32.0%	-102	-33.9%	-80	-30.9%
20 - 24	-317	-48.5%	-179	-53.7%	-137	-43.1%
25 - 29	-283	-47.2%	-153	-50.1%	-130	-44.2%
30 - 34	-123	-26.2%	-61	-25.6%	-62	-26.7%
35 - 39	-64	-16.4%	-29	-14.9%	-35	-17.9%
40 - 44	-62	-16.4%	-35	-16.8%	-27	-15.8%
55 & Over	-195	-19.2%	-87	-15.7%	-99	-21.6%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-255	-42.7%	-129	-42.1%	-125	-43.3%
20 - 24	-378	-59.3%	-199	-61.2%	-186	-58.3%
25 - 29	-211	-43.9%	-126	-50.4%	-85	-36.9%
30 - 34	-69	-21.3%	-25	-17.1%	-44	-25.0%
35 - 39	-52	-17.4%	-12	-8.3%	-40	-25.6%
40 - 44	-60	-18.3%	-17	-10.1%	-43	-26.6%
55 & Over	-204	-20.2%	-109	-20.9%	-88	-18.2%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-38	-7.0%	-13	-4.8%	-25	-9.2%
20 - 24	-233	-34.1%	-114	-33.0%	-119	-35.3%
25 - 29	-143	-30.5%	-57	-23.9%	-86	-37.2%
30 - 34	62	24.6%	35	29.2%	26	20.4%
35 - 39	-1	-0.4%	22	19.1%	-24	-16.9%
40 - 44	-9	-3.8%	-9	-7.5%	-1	-0.5%
55 & Over	-170	-16.7%	-76	-15.5%	-96	-18.1%

Custer

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-141	-31.7%	-77	-34.8%	-68	-29.8%
20 - 24	-224	-47.9%	-142	-56.0%	-82	-38.3%
25 - 29	-148	-38.3%	-63	-32.9%	-85	-43.5%
30 - 34	-23	-8.0%	-9	-6.4%	-14	-9.6%
35 - 39	-64	-18.1%	-37	-21.5%	-27	-14.9%
40 - 44	-43	-11.8%	-11	-6.3%	-32	-16.9%
55 & Over	-170	-13.7%	-85	-13.4%	-77	-12.8%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-89	-20.6%	-21	-10.1%	-69	-30.1%
20 - 24	-167	-40.3%	-105	-47.9%	-67	-33.2%
25 - 29	-107	-27.3%	-51	-27.6%	-56	-27.0%
30 - 34	10	4.5%	18	16.6%	-7	-5.7%
35 - 39	12	5.3%	1	0.5%	12	10.8%
40 - 44	11	4.5%	1	1.1%	10	8.2%
55 & Over	-86	-7.0%	-27	-4.5%	-59	-9.4%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	124	35.0%	106	66.6%	19	9.4%
20 - 24	-60	-13.1%	-47	-20.1%	-13	-5.7%
25 - 29	131	29.4%	78	32.9%	53	25.4%
30 - 34	198	82.7%	125	114.2%	73	56.2%
35 - 39	76	27.7%	29	22.6%	46	31.9%
40 - 44	110	47.1%	64	55.7%	45	38.6%
55 & Over	9	0.7%	39	6.7%	-38	-5.4%

Davison

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-52	-5.2%	-81	-16.1%	21	4.3%
20 - 24	-241	-20.7%	-177	-30.9%	-63	-10.8%
25 - 29	-442	-34.1%	-167	-28.7%	-276	-38.5%
30 - 34	-334	-26.2%	-119	-20.7%	-216	-30.7%
35 - 39	-191	-15.9%	-79	-13.8%	-113	-18.0%
40 - 44	-138	-13.4%	-70	-14.1%	-68	-12.8%
55 & Over	-109	-2.9%	-60	-3.5%	-55	-2.7%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	53	3.7%	-15	-2.0%	69	9.9%
20 - 24	-265	-17.1%	-186	-23.7%	-95	-12.2%
25 - 29	-278	-23.9%	-117	-21.5%	-162	-26.2%
30 - 34	-106	-12.0%	7	1.8%	-113	-22.5%
35 - 39	2	0.3%	0	0.0%	2	0.5%
40 - 44	-29	-3.3%	-22	-5.1%	-8	-1.8%
55 & Over	213	5.4%	21	1.2%	156	6.9%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	158	11.8%	90	12.9%	69	10.6%
20 - 24	-19	-1.0%	-10	-1.1%	-9	-1.0%
25 - 29	-384	-21.0%	-182	-20.1%	-203	-22.0%
30 - 34	-140	-11.2%	-3	-0.5%	-137	-20.5%
35 - 39	-15	-1.8%	-14	-3.4%	-2	-0.4%
40 - 44	12	1.6%	-2	-0.5%	13	3.5%
55 & Over	115	2.7%	-9	-0.5%	35	1.3%

Day

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-324	-36.4%	-158	-34.6%	-172	-39.3%
20 - 24	-705	-66.4%	-361	-66.0%	-344	-66.8%
25 - 29	-496	-52.9%	-262	-55.3%	-234	-50.4%
30 - 34	-176	-24.1%	-118	-29.9%	-57	-17.2%
35 - 39	-194	-24.5%	-108	-25.5%	-85	-23.1%
40 - 44.	-126	-16.7%	-80	-21.2%	-45	-12.1%
55 & Over	-262	-9.0%	-113	-7.5%	-135	-9.8%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-315	-33.8%	-175	-37.4%	-141	-30.3%
20 - 24	-670	-64.0%	-332	-63.9%	-349	-64.9%
25 - 29	-384	-52.7%	-206	-54.1%	-177	-51.0%
30 - 34	-18	-5.2%	-4	-2.1%	-14	-8.5%
35 - 39	-57	-13.5%	-31	-15.8%	-26	-11.5%
40 - 44	-52	-10.0%	-30	-11.6%	-22	-8.4%
55 & Over	-309	-11.0%	-147	-10.6%	-156	-11.0%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-136	-20.7%	-59	-17.2%	-76	-24.5%
20 - 24	-450	-47.0%	-234	-45.2%	-216	-49.1%
25 - 29	-269	-33.5%	-87	-22.9%	-182	-43.0%
30 - 34	73	20.0%	46	25.5%	27	14.6%
35 - 39	17	5.1%	4	2.4%	13	7.9%
40 - 44	22	7.2%	6	4.0%	16	11.0%
55 & Over	4	0.1%	17	1.4%	-32	-2.3%

Deuel

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-180	-31.4%	-90	-30.6%	-95	-33.4%
20 - 24	-412	-59.6%	-205	-59.8%	-206	-59.4%
25 - 29	-266	-45.6%	-144	-47.2%	-122	-43.8%
30 - 34	-107	-22.1%	-66	-25.8%	-40	-17.9%
35 - 39	-98	-20.1%	-68	-25.5%	-29	-13.4%
40 - 44	-60	-12.9%	-26	-11.0%	-34	-14.8%
55 & Over	-234	-13.8%	-103	-11.6%	-120	-14.9%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-189	-34.6%	-94	-32.4%	-95	-37.1%
20 - 24	-473	-66.9%	-274	-71.0%	-206	-62.9%
25 - 29	-267	-53.6%	-135	-53.5%	-132	-53.7%
30 - 34	-33	-12.4%	-12	-9.2%	-21	-15.5%
35 - 39	-24	-8.0%	-9	-6.2%	-15	-9.8%
40 - 44	-33	-9.4%	-23	-12.9%	-10	-5.9%
55 & Over	-135	-8.0%	-48	-5.9%	-84	-9.7%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-55	-12.0%	-28	-11.6%	-27	-12.3%
20 - 24	-320	-48.3%	-148	-42.5%	-172	-54.8%
25 - 29	-159	-33.4%	-85	-33.8%	-74	-33.1%
30 - 34	76	33.5%	47	43.5%	29	24.5%
35 - 39	35	16.0%	19	17.2%	16	14.8%
40 - 44	19	8.5%	10	9.1%	9	8.0%
55 & Over	-139	-8.3%	-61	-7.6%	-86	-9.7%

Dewey

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-114	-25.0%	-35	-17.0%	-83	-32.5%
20 - 24	-181	-34.9%	-101	-38.1%	-80	-31.6%
25 - 29	-129	-30.0%	-48	-24.3%	-82	-34.8%
30 - 34	-33	-9.1%	-31	-15.9%	-1	-0.5%
35 - 39	-33	-10.2%	-14	-8.0%	-18	-12.8%
40 - 44	-32	-11.2%	-18	-12.5%	-14	-9.8%
55 & Over	-99	-11.3%	-37	-8.1%	-56	-13.5%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-147	-28.3%	-72	-27.2%	-75	-29.3%
20 - 24	-285	-50.4%	-185	-59.0%	-106	-41.0%
25 - 29	-144	-34.7%	-73	-34.7%	-71	-34.7%
30 - 34	-59	-18.1%	-29	-18.5%	-30	-17.8%
35 - 39	-45	-15.6%	-32	-23.1%	-13	-8.6%
40 - 44	-23	-7.5%	-5	-3.0%	-19	-12.1%
55 & Over	-156	-16.3%	-62	-13.3%	-91	-18.7%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-120	-19.5%	-57	-18.3%	-63	-20.7%
20 - 24	-236	-34.2%	-135	-37.8%	-101	-30.4%
25 - 29	-100	-20.8%	-63	-25.1%	-37	-16.0%
30 - 34	42	15.6%	35	28.8%	7	4.6%
35 - 39	-16	-6.1%	-1	-0.7%	-15	-11.7%
40 - 44	-4	-1.8%	-1	-1.1%	-3	-2.5%
55 & Over	-119	-12.2%	-41	-8.8%	-81	-15.7%

Douglas

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-149	-30.8%	-78	-30.8%	-74	-32.0%
20 - 24	-304	-57.8%	-166	-59.9%	-138	-55.5%
25 - 29	-198	-44.9%	-95	-45.2%	-103	-44.6%
30 - 34	-81	-21.1%	-44	-21.7%	-36	-20.3%
35 - 39	-73	-21.1%	-30	-18.1%	-43	-23.9%
40 - 44	-50	-14.2%	-31	-17.5%	-19	-10.8%
55 & Over	-111	-9.7%	-38	-7.0%	-72	-12.1%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-141	-30.5%	-51	-23.5%	-89	-36.7%
20 - 24	-327	-62.3%	-176	-66.7%	-156	-58.7%
25 - 29	-207	-50.0%	-117	-54.0%	-90	-45.6%
30 - 34	-7	-3.1%	0	-0.4%	-6	-5.7%
35 - 39	-18	-7.7%	-19	-17.7%	1	1.0%
40 - 44	-20	-6.9%	-5	-3.4%	-14	-10.6%
55 & Over	-40	-3.3%	-30	-5.4%	-13	-2.0%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-77	-19.8%	-34	-17.0%	-43	-22.7%
20 - 24	-223	-43.1%	-115	-41.6%	-107	-44.9%
25 - 29	-182	-44.2%	-86	-40.1%	-96	-48.6%
30 - 34	35	18.1%	28	32.8%	7	6.3%
35 - 39	4	2.2%	-1	-0.9%	5	5.0%
40 - 44	-11	-5.7%	-6	-5.7%	-6	-5.7%
55 & Over	-46	-3.7%	-17	-3.1%	-44	-6.3%

Edmunds

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-206	-36.1%	-105	-34.0%	-105	-39.6%
20 - 24	-471	-66.7%	-240	-67.0%	-231	-66.4%
25 - 29	-351	-54.6%	-209	-59.2%	-142	-49.0%
30 - 34	-203	-37.1%	-114	-39.5%	-89	-34.4%
35 - 39	-95	-19.7%	-55	-20.9%	-39	-18.1%
40 - 44	-48	-11.6%	-22	-11.2%	-25	-11.9%
55 & Over	-159	-11.2%	-58	-8.1%	-96	-13.8%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-153	-28.8%	-70	-25.6%	-82	-32.2%
20 - 24	-431	-68.0%	-225	-70.1%	-213	-66.5%
25 - 29	-202	-44.2%	-129	-50.3%	-74	-36.3%
30 - 34	14	6.2%	13	11.5%	1	1.0%
35 - 39	9	3.1%	3	1.9%	6	4.3%
40 - 44	-15	-4.7%	-4	-2.5%	-11	-6.8%
55 & Over	-97	-6.6%	-35	-4.9%	-59	-7.7%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-110	-23.4%	-39	-16.1%	-71	-31.1%
20 - 24	-386	-59.9%	-191	-58.2%	-195	-61.7%
25 - 29	-184	-37.4%	-101	-38.2%	-83	-36.4%
30 - 34	64	32.5%	46	50.0%	18	17.0%
35 - 39	8	3.4%	-4	-3.7%	13	10.2%
40 - 44	-6	-2.5%	-4	-3.8%	-1	-1.0%
55 & Over	48	3.2%	19	2.7%	20	2.5%

Fall River

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-214	-29.9%	-115	-32.0%	-105	-28.9%
20 - 24	-358	-48.9%	-222	-58.1%	-136	-38.9%
25 - 29	-166	-25.4%	-98	-30.1%	-68	-20.7%
30 - 34	-2	-0.3%	-3	-1.0%	1	0.3%
35 - 39	5	0.7%	23	7.3%	-19	-5.5%
40 - 44	1	0.2%	24	8.0%	-23	-7.0%
55 & Over	-18	-0.6%	70	4.1%	-47	-4.1%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-427	-49.8%	-208	-47.8%	-219	-51.9%
20 - 24	-720	-69.2%	-375	-72.0%	-356	-67.2%
25 - 29	-383	-58.2%	-182	-57.4%	-201	-59.0%
30 - 34	-64	-17.9%	-15	-9.9%	-49	-23.9%
35 - 39	-159	-34.5%	-55	-26.0%	-104	-41.9%
40 - 44	-137	-24.4%	-39	-14.6%	-99	-33.1%
55 & Over	-373	-12.7%	-142	-8.9%	-185	-14.5%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	27	6.0%	5	2.2%	22	10.2%
20 - 24	-100	-15.1%	-74	-21.5%	-26	-8.2%
25 - 29	64	11.4%	39	13.5%	25	9.1%
30 - 34	255	82.4%	156	111.5%	98	58.0%
35 - 39	111	42.1%	64	50.0%	47	34.7%
40 - 44	122	43.5%	85	66.2%	36	23.9%
55 & Over	-109	-4.1%	-53	-3.8%	-36	-2.9%

Faulk

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-104	-31.3%	-52	-30.4%	-54	-33.3%
20 - 24	-176	-48.2%	-89	-47.9%	-87	-48.5%
25 - 29	-157	-41.6%	-80	-44.0%	-76	-39.3%
30 - 34	-81	-23.8%	-57	-29.3%	-24	-16.4%
35 - 39	-37	-12.4%	-19	-12.7%	115	797.7%
40 - 44	-71	-23.9%	-37	-22.5%	-33	-25.4%
55 & Over	-145	-12.9%	-64	-11.0%	-75	-13.9%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-142	-34.1%	-65	-30.2%	-77	-38.4%
20 - 24	-302	-70.1%	-159	-72.6%	-148	-68.2%
25 - 29	-111	-39.0%	-56	-38.7%	-55	-39.4%
30 - 34	-14	-7.7%	-12	-13.2%	-2	-2.0%
35 - 39	4	2.0%	-2	-2.0%	6	5.1%
40 - 44	-15	-6.1%	-4	-2.8%	-11	-9.5%
55 & Over	-99	-9.4%	-50	-10.1%	-47	-8.4%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-97	-27.5%	-40	-23.0%	-57	-31.9%
20 - 24	-312	-64.8%	-158	-62.4%	-154	-67.5%
25 - 29	-159	-44.5%	-79	-43.0%	-80	-46.0%
30 - 34	57	46.0%	30	53.0%	27	39.8%
35 - 39	-4	-2.4%	-4	-5.2%	0	0.6%
40 - 44	-9	-5.7%	2	2.4%	-11	-13.2%
55 & Over	-46	-4.5%	-2	-0.4%	-53	-9.5%

Grant

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-177	-24.0%	-103	-28.0%	-79	-21.3%
20 - 24	-360	-45.3%	-195	-47.0%	-165	-43.3%
25 - 29	-256	-34.5%	-158	-40.7%	-97	-27.6%
30 - 34	-110	-17.4%	-42	-13.2%	-68	-21.6%
35 - 39	-126	-19.5%	-71	-21.5%	-55	-17.3%
40 - 44	-60	-9.6%	-52	-15.8%	-8	-2.6%
55 & Over	-191	-8.1%	-90	-7.5%	-91	-7.9%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-261	-29.5%	-141	-30.2%	-121	-28.8%
20 - 24	-548	-54.9%	-308	-59.5%	-250	-51.0%
25 - 29	-297	-41.6%	-136	-39.3%	-161	-43.7%
30 - 34	-10	-2.5%	-12	-5.7%	2	0.8%
35 - 39	-52	-11.2%	-23	-10.7%	-29	-11.7%
40 - 44	-47	-9.5%	-34	-13.2%	-12	-5.3%
55 & Over	-121	-5.0%	-55	-4.8%	-68	-5.3%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-121	-15.4%	-54	-13.1%	-67	-17.9%
20 - 24	-392	-38.6%	-199	-38.7%	-193	-38.5%
25 - 29	-191	-23.7%	-107	-25.3%	-85	-21.9%
30 - 34	158	36.4%	97	48.3%	61	26.1%
35 - 39	57	14.2%	20	10.0%	37	18.4%
40 - 44	18	4.7%	26	14.0%	-8	-3.9%
55 & Over	-67	-2.7%	-35	-3.2%	-55	-4.1%

Gregory

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-275	-41.9%	-130	-39.4%	-150	-45.1%
20 - 24	-439	-58.0%	-222	-59.5%	-217	-56.6%
25 - 29	-302	-45.4%	-158	-44.6%	-144	-46.2%
30 - 34	-152	-28.9%	-90	-32.4%	-61	-24.8%
35 - 39	-125	-22.1%	-67	-23.9%	-58	-20.4%
40 - 44	-75	-15.1%	-38	-14.5%	-37	-15.7%
55 & Over	-142	-7.7%	-40	-4.4%	-105	-11.3%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-171	-27.7%	-82	-25.3%	-89	-30.4%
20 - 24	-465	-60.8%	-247	-62.7%	-226	-59.6%
25 - 29	-195	-38.7%	-91	-36.5%	-104	-40.9%
30 - 34	-13	-4.4%	-7	-5.2%	-6	-3.8%
35 - 39	-19	-5.4%	-18	-9.9%	0	-0.1%
40 - 44	-13	-3.8%	-2	-1.2%	-11	-6.4%
55 & Over	13	0.7%	25	2.8%	-15	-1.5%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-146	-26.5%	-68	-25.0%	-78	-27.9%
20 - 24	-294	-42.2%	-130	-36.4%	-163	-48.4%
25 - 29	-190	-32.0%	-121	-37.8%	-69	-25.1%
30 - 34	52	18.0%	49	34.9%	3	1.9%
35 - 39	-24	-8.3%	-18	-11.9%	-7	-4.5%
40 - 44	-9	-3.3%	3	2.5%	-13	-8.5%
55 & Over	-105	-5.3%	-55	-6.1%	-68	-6.4%

Haakon

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-41	-18.7%	-16	-14.4%	-27	-24.4%
20 - 24	-79	-33.3%	-53	-41.2%	-26	-23.9%
25 - 29	-63	-25.5%	-36	-29.9%	-27	-21.3%
30 - 34	-15	-7.2%	-17	-14.1%	2	1.7%
35 - 39	-18	-8.2%	-9	-7.5%	-9	-8.9%
40 - 44	-20	-10.8%	-9	-8.7%	-11	-13.1%
55 & Over	-74	-10.9%	-40	-11.2%	-29	-9.2%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-117	-36.4%	-52	-31.7%	-65	-41.3%
20 - 24	-171	-53.3%	-107	-58.4%	-67	-47.6%
25 - 29	-100	-42.3%	-51	-41.8%	-49	-42.8%
30 - 34	5	3.1%	4	5.3%	1	1.1%
35 - 39	-39	-22.3%	-18	-22.8%	-21	-22.1%
40 - 44	-36	-19.3%	-18	-18.9%	-18	-19.6%
55 & Over	-126	-17.9%	-62	-18.0%	-64	-17.8%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-47	-20.6%	-29	-22.9%	-18	-17.8%
20 - 24	-145	-37.6%	-81	-40.8%	-63	-34.2%
25 - 29	-38	-14.5%	-29	-20.3%	-8	-7.2%
30 - 34	47	32.4%	36	49.6%	11	15.1%
35 - 39	-6	-4.9%	-8	-12.4%	2	3.0%
40 - 44	-16	-10.5%	0	-0.6%	-15	-19.8%
55 & Over	-55	-8.3%	-42	-13.2%	-18	-5.2%

Hamlin

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-150	-30.6%	-71	-29.2%	-83	-33.0%
20 - 24	-346	-59.3%	-182	-62.1%	-164	-56.4%
25 - 29	-251	-49.5%	-144	-50.7%	-9	-7.0%
30 - 34	-97	-23.7%	-52	-25.2%	-45	-22.1%
35 - 39	-92	-19.6%	-56	-22.9%	-36	-16.0%
40 - 44	-71	-16.0%	-43	-17.7%	-27	-13.7%
55 & Over	-74	-4.3%	-14	-1.6%	-53	-6.3%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-163	-32.9%	-67	-26.5%	-96	-39.5%
20 - 24	-392	-64.5%	-201	-63.2%	-197	-66.6%
25 - 29	-218	-52.0%	-110	-52.5%	-108	-51.5%
30 - 34	-30	-13.1%	-7	-7.1%	-23	-18.4%
35 - 39	-27	-11.1%	-20	-14.9%	-7	-6.4%
40 - 44	-29	-9.8%	-14	-10.0%	-15	-9.6%
55 & Over	-175	-10.1%	-64	-7.6%	-108	-12.2%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-58	-15.2%	-12	-6.2%	-46	-25.2%
20 - 24	-261	-46.8%	-111	-41.2%	-149	-52.2%
25 - 29	-140	-32.2%	-80	-33.2%	-61	-31.0%
30 - 34	77	37.0%	33	29.3%	45	46.1%
35 - 39	42	21.9%	23	24.6%	19	19.2%
40 - 44	4	2.3%	-3	-3.3%	7	7.6%
55 & Over	176	11.0%	66	8.6%	98	11.4%

Hand

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-144	-27.0%	-84	-29.2%	-64	-25.7%
20 - 24	-319	-53.0%	-161	-52.2%	-157	-53.8%
25 - 29	-226	-39.4%	-149	-48.6%	-77	-28.8%
30 - 34	-92	-18.4%	-62	-22.9%	-29	-12.8%
35 - 39	-88	-16.7%	-35	-13.4%	-54	-20.5%
40 - 44	-57	-12.7%	-25	-11.3%	-31	-14.1%
55 & Over	-301	-19.6%	-164	-20.5%	-129	-17.7%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-224	-33.5%	-105	-30.3%	-118	-37.0%
20 - 24	-458	-68.5%	-268	-73.6%	-197	-63.1%
25 - 29	-470	-94.8%	-121	-47.4%	-109	-45.3%
30 - 34	4	1.5%	-11	-7.5%	15	11.3%
35 - 39	-12	-3.5%	5	3.7%	-17	-9.5%
40 - 44	-22	-5.8%	-11	-5.4%	-12	-6.2%
55 & Over	-160	-11.0%	-67	-9.4%	-93	-12.5%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-195	-35.6%	-110	-36.8%	-85	-34.1%
20 - 24	-461	-60.4%	-223	-57.9%	-239	-62.9%
25 - 29	-226	-40.9%	-123	-40.5%	-103	-41.3%
30 - 34	84	41.2%	53	57.6%	31	27.6%
35 - 39	214	858.2%	-9	-7.1%	-7	-5.5%
40 - 44	-34	-13.0%	-12	-9.9%	-22	-15.8%
55 & Over	-111	-7.4%	-60	-8.4%	-64	-8.0%

Hanson

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-97	-23.8%	-44	-21.5%	-56	-27.2%
20 - 24	-236	-55.2%	-111	-55.4%	-126	-55.0%
25 - 29	-170	-44.8%	-85	-44.7%	-86	-44.9%
30 - 34	-72	-23.0%	-45	-27.0%	-26	-18.3%
35 - 39	-62	-20.5%	-30	-20.7%	-32	-20.3%
40 - 44	-30	-10.1%	-13	-8.4%	-17	-11.9%
55 & Over	-101	-9.4%	-39	-7.3%	-57	-10.9%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-156	-38.3%	-72	-34.7%	-85	-42.0%
20 - 24	-332	-68.8%	-162	-69.9%	-175	-68.4%
25 - 29	-216	-55.2%	-112	-53.7%	-103	-57.0%
30 - 34	-13	-7.0%	-7	-7.7%	-6	-6.4%
35 - 39	-30	-15.3%	-9	-8.8%	-22	-21.6%
40 - 44	-11	-4.9%	-8	-6.7%	-3	-3.1%
55 & Over	-153	-14.5%	-59	-11.7%	-94	-16.9%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-53	-17.0%	-19	-12.0%	-33	-22.3%
20 - 24	-264	-54.9%	-115	-48.2%	-149	-61.6%
25 - 29	-125	-36.5%	-64	-36.6%	-61	-36.4%
30 - 34	21	14.4%	19	28.2%	2	2.6%
35 - 39	4	2.5%	-2	-2.2%	6	8.3%
40 - 44	11	6.8%	1	1.0%	10	11.3%
55 & Over	-91	-9.5%	-28	-6.3%	-71	-13.5%

Harding

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-18	-12.0%	3	3.5%	-22	-30.5%
20 - 24	-61	-30.4%	-23	-24.3%	-38	-36.1%
25 - 29	-49	-27.9%	-27	-29.7%	-22	-26.0%
30 - 34	-6	-4.5%	2	2.6%	-8	-13.5%
35 - 39	0	0.4%	-4	-5.0%	5	7.7%
40 - 44	-5	-3.4%	-5	-5.8%	0	-0.5%
55 & Over	-116	-22.7%	-56	-19.3%	-54	-25.0%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-90	-40.3%	-25	-23.6%	-66	-54.9%
20 - 24	-127	-57.7%	-61	-54.1%	-68	-62.4%
25 - 29	-75	-45.2%	-58	-56.2%	-17	-27.0%
30 - 34	-42	-31.3%	-27	-39.4%	-15	-22.6%
35 - 39	-39	-32.0%	3980	6614.1%	-18	-30.5%
40 - 44	-20	-16.6%	-9	-12.4%	-11	-22.4%
55 & Over	-94	-19.1%	-47	-17.3%	-42	-19.3%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	2	1.4%	10	12.9%	-8	-10.2%
20 - 24	-92	-40.7%	-47	-38.5%	-45	-43.3%
25 - 29	-42	-21.1%	-33	-28.7%	-8	-10.1%
30 - 34	9	10.2%	8	16.4%	1	2.6%
35 - 39	3	3.1%	-1	-1.6%	3	7.5%
40 - 44	-8	-8.7%	0	-1.2%	-7	-15.1%
55 & Over	-100	-19.9%	-56	-21.9%	-43	-17.4%

Hughes

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	113	18.5%	10	3.1%	99	32.0%
20 - 24	54	7.2%	-8	-2.0%	62	16.8%
25 - 29	232	39.9%	120	46.3%	112	34.6%
30 - 34	304	51.5%	188	69.4%	115	36.1%
35 - 39	182	30.8%	112	37.8%	70	23.8%
40 - 44	246	49.0%	117	49.1%	129	48.8%
55 & Over	215	13.4%	149	19.8%	60	6.9%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-327	-27.1%	-202	-32.8%	-125	-21.2%
20 - 24	-567	-44.6%	-370	-58.1%	-211	-32.5%
25 - 29	-151	-16.8%	-55	-13.4%	-97	-19.7%
30 - 34	-117	-15.2%	-40	-11.3%	-78	-18.7%
35 - 39	-124	-16.0%	275	770.5%	-78	-18.8%
40 - 44	-207	-24.6%	-101	-23.7%	-106	-25.5%
55 & Over	-299	-12.7%	-213	-19.1%	-91	-7.3%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-187	-17.2%	-83	-16.2%	-104	-18.0%
20 - 24	-249	-18.2%	-181	-26.4%	-68	-10.0%
25 - 29	203	17.9%	90	16.7%	113	19.0%
30 - 34	569	83.5%	372	145.4%	195	45.7%
35 - 39	231	32.0%	137	40.2%	94	24.5%
40 - 44	110	17.6%	77	26.0%	32	9.9%
55 & Over	26	1.0%	-15	-1.3%	5	0.3%

Hutchinson

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-183	-23.0%	-111	-26.5%	-78	-20.3%
20 - 24	-449	-47.9%	-227	-49.9%	-222	-46.1%
25 - 29	-342	-38.8%	-172	-39.2%	-172	-38.9%
30 - 34	-174	-22.2%	-86	-21.3%	-88	-23.2%
35 - 39	-109	-14.0%	-71	-17.7%	-38	-10.0%
40 - 44	-53	-7.3%	-22	-6.4%	-31	-8.3%
55 & Over	-10	-0.4%	45	3.6%	-56	-4.0%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-167	-19.6%	-102	-24.0%	-65	-15.2%
20 - 24	-603	-58.1%	-332	-60.7%	-282	-56.3%
25 - 29	-360	-46.5%	-193	-48.9%	-167	-44.1%
30 - 34	-19	-4.1%	1	0.7%	-21	-8.3%
35 - 39	-15	-2.9%	-7	-2.8%	-8	-3.1%
40 - 44	-9	-1.5%	0	-0.1%	-8	-3.0%
55 & Over	75	2.6%	38	2.8%	28	1.8%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-134	-17.4%	-74	-18.7%	-60	-16.0%
20 - 24	-618	-55.2%	-259	-47.2%	-359	-62.9%
25 - 29	-324	-36.7%	-132	-32.6%	-192	-40.4%
30 - 34	98	23.3%	66	32.0%	32	14.8%
35 - 39	10	2.5%	10	5.5%	-1	-0.4%
40 - 44	-8	-1.8%	-19	-9.2%	11	4.8%
55 & Over	40	1.3%	43	3.1%	-34	-1.9%

Hyde

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-76	-43.2%	-23	-28.6%	-54	-56.4%
20 - 24	-117	-48.5%	-49	-44.5%	-68	-51.9%
25 - 29	-76	-34.7%	-42	-37.9%	-33	-31.4%
30 - 34	-45	-21.8%	-22	-22.3%	-24	-21.4%
35 - 39	-33	-18.6%	-14	-14.1%	-19	-23.9%
40 - 44	-14	-8.7%	-5	-5.3%	-9	-12.5%
55 & Over	-59	-9.5%	-35	-10.7%	-20	-6.8%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-69	-27.5%	-41	-32.6%	-28	-22.4%
20 - 24	-130	-54.4%	-51	-46.5%	-82	-62.0%
25 - 29	-39	-30.9%	-30	-40.0%	-9	-17.9%
30 - 34	-7	-5.6%	-8	-13.7%	1	2.0%
35 - 39	6	4.6%	5	7.9%	1	1.4%
40 - 44	-8	-4.9%	-3	-3.9%	-5	-5.9%
55 & Over	-7	-1.1%	15	5.0%	-22	-6.7%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-67	-30.2%	-27	-24.7%	-40	-35.7%
20 - 24	-194	-61.8%	-86	-57.8%	-108	-65.4%
25 - 29	-105	-43.8%	-49	-42.8%	-55	-44.8%
30 - 34	-16	-15.5%	-8	-13.3%	-9	-17.9%
35 - 39	-9	-11.2%	-6	-13.3%	-4	-8.9%
40 - 44	12	11.5%	2	4.3%	10	16.8%
55 & Over	-58	-8.9%	-32	-10.1%	-28	-8.1%

Jackson

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-63	-25.2%	-33	-25.1%	-32	-26.5%
20 - 24	-169	-51.5%	-80	-52.4%	-89	-50.8%
25 - 29	-98	-36.1%	-49	-38.8%	-48	-33.7%
30 - 34	-54	-22.5%	-25	-17.8%	-29	-28.8%
35 - 39	-33	-16.3%	-31	-27.0%	-2	-2.3%
40 - 44	-15	-7.5%	-9	-9.5%	-6	-5.8%
55 & Over	-135	-20.9%	-71	-21.0%	-59	-19.8%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-86	-30.2%	-46	-32.4%	-40	-28.0%
20 - 24	-116	-39.2%	-75	-45.9%	-43	-32.3%
25 - 29	-45	-20.4%	-38	-32.9%	-7	-6.4%
30 - 34	-4	-2.8%	4	5.3%	-8	-9.6%
35 - 39	-24	-14.8%	-4	-5.9%	-20	-22.1%
40 - 44	-27	-15.5%	-20	-19.0%	-7	-9.7%
55 & Over	-239	-30.0%	-120	-30.0%	-118	-29.8%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-7	-2.8%	0	0.2%	-8	-6.1%
20 - 24	-116	-33.6%	-68	-36.7%	-47	-29.9%
25 - 29	-25	-9.6%	-10	-8.5%	-15	-10.7%
30 - 34	58	33.4%	31	36.0%	27	30.8%
35 - 39	24	14.3%	22	29.8%	2	2.0%
40 - 44	7	5.0%	7	10.8%	0	-0.6%
55 & Over	-15	-2.3%	-9	-2.8%	-12	-3.4%

Jerauld

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-74	-23.4%	-42	-25.1%	-35	-22.9%
20 - 24	-195	-54.3%	-96	-55.7%	-99	-53.0%
25 - 29	-142	-43.6%	-68	-42.0%	-74	-45.2%
30 - 34	-55	-19.4%	-31	-21.0%	-24	-17.5%
35 - 39	-58	-20.4%	-28	-18.9%	-30	-21.9%
40 - 44	-40	-14.0%	-14	-10.2%	-26	-17.6%
55 & Over	-94	-8.9%	-33	-6.2%	-58	-10.9%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-123	-34.6%	-63	-32.8%	-60	-36.7%
20 - 24	-271	-66.7%	-135	-67.5%	-140	-66.6%
25 - 29	-160	-54.1%	-81	-55.5%	-79	-52.8%
30 - 34	-4	-2.5%	0	-0.3%	-4	-4.6%
35 - 39	-49	-28.1%	-25	-28.7%	-23	-27.4%
40 - 44	-26	-11.9%	-15	-13.7%	-11	-10.1%
55 & Over	-49	-4.8%	-19	-4.0%	-30	-5.6%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-44	-18.5%	-26	-20.6%	-18	-16.1%
20 - 24	-232	-61.0%	-106	-57.1%	-125	-64.8%
25 - 29	-92	-30.2%	-49	-29.6%	-43	-30.9%
30 - 34	20	15.0%	14	22.0%	6	8.5%
35 - 39	6	4.2%	1	2.2%	4	5.9%
40 - 44	3	2.2%	2	3.5%	1	0.9%
55 & Over	-61	-6.3%	-26	-5.7%	-47	-8.6%

Jones

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-64	-35.9%	-24	-27.9%	-41	-44.3%
20 - 24	-110	-55.9%	-65	-60.7%	-45	-50.1%
25 - 29	-60	-36.2%	-37	-45.4%	-22	-27.0%
30 - 34	-48	-29.5%	-30	-35.2%	-17	-22.8%
35 - 39	-16	-10.4%	-9	-10.2%	-7	-10.5%
40 - 44	-34	-22.7%	-7	-10.0%	-27	-33.7%
55 & Over	-84	-18.2%	-38	-15.9%	-41	-19.4%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-51	-26.6%	-26	-25.4%	-26	-27.9%
20 - 24	-118	-56.0%	-70	-59.9%	-50	-52.3%
25 - 29	-56	-36.9%	-33	-41.1%	-23	-32.2%
30 - 34	17	20.1%	8	20.3%	9	19.8%
35 - 39	-8	-7.7%	-1	-3.1%	-7	-11.4%
40 - 44	-2	-2.2%	0	-0.3%	-2	-4.1%
55 & Over	-354	-75.3%	-170	-71.4%	-183	-79.2%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-62	-40.8%	-39	-48.3%	-23	-32.1%
20 - 24	-119	-56.5%	-63	-60.2%	-56	-52.8%
25 - 29	-78	-40.6%	-30	-30.9%	-48	-50.5%
30 - 34	-8	-8.8%	-4	-9.0%	-4	-8.5%
35 - 39	-16	-17.7%	-11	-23.7%	-6	-12.0%
40 - 44	-13	-13.9%	-6	-13.5%	-7	-14.4%
55 & Over	-112	-23.1%	-43	-18.0%	-70	-28.5%

Kingsbury

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-205	-30.3%	-111	-32.3%	-99	-29.4%
20 - 24	-437	-55.4%	-218	-54.4%	-219	-56.5%
25 - 29	-239	-34.7%	-153	-42.2%	-86	-26.3%
30 - 34	-127	-19.7%	-59	-18.1%	-68	-21.3%
35 - 39	-106	-16.6%	-68	-19.8%	-37	-12.7%
40 - 44	-130	-20.4%	-60	-17.8%	-70	-23.1%
55 & Over	-223	-9.3%	-86	-7.0%	-126	-10.9%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-272	-32.5%	-126	-31.6%	-146	-33.3%
20 - 24	-618	-68.0%	-309	-67.1%	-319	-69.5%
25 - 29	-314	-52.4%	-156	-52.2%	-159	-52.8%
30 - 34	-53	-15.7%	-31	-17.7%	-22	-13.5%
35 - 39	-42	-9.9%	-24	-12.4%	-18	-7.9%
40 - 44	-51	-10.5%	-19	-7.6%	-32	-13.6%
55 & Over	-84	-3.6%	-29	-2.6%	-54	-4.4%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-122	-21.8%	-66	-23.3%	-56	-20.2%
20 - 24	-439	-51.5%	-229	-50.2%	-210	-52.9%
25 - 29	-333	-43.9%	-151	-40.4%	-182	-47.2%
30 - 34	54	19.1%	35	24.4%	19	13.6%
35 - 39	8	2.8%	10	7.7%	-3	-2.0%
40 - 44	30	11.2%	11	7.9%	20	14.5%
55 & Over	-65	-2.8%	-38	-3.6%	-48	-3.8%

Lake

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-64	-8.0%	-43	-10.7%	-27	-6.8%
20 - 24	-326	-31.6%	-150	-29.7%	-176	-33.4%
25 - 29	-324	-36.2%	-139	-32.1%	-185	-40.1%
30 - 34	-93	-13.0%	-45	-12.3%	-48	-13.7%
35 - 39	-93	-12.9%	-59	-16.1%	-34	-9.5%
40 - 44	-61	-8.3%	-28	-7.9%	-34	-8.7%
55 & Over	-227	-8.2%	-92	-6.8%	-127	-9.1%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	209	21.5%	96	18.5%	113	25.0%
20 - 24	-41	-3.7%	-8	-1.4%	-45	-8.1%
25 - 29	-419	-45.7%	-183	-40.9%	-236	-50.3%
30 - 34	-209	-30.8%	-118	-34.9%	-91	-26.8%
35 - 39	-46	-8.5%	-44	-16.0%	-2	-0.7%
40 - 44	20	3.4%	10	3.3%	10	3.5%
55 & Over	-83	-3.0%	-8	-0.6%	-81	-5.4%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	89	10.9%	14	3.5%	74	18.6%
20 - 24	16	1.3%	-1	-0.1%	16	2.8%
25 - 29	-576	-42.5%	-287	-41.1%	-289	-44.0%
30 - 34	-407	-39.6%	-210	-39.2%	-197	-39.9%
35 - 39	-75	-15.7%	-42	-16.9%	-32	-14.2%
40 - 44	-9	-2.0%	6	3.0%	-16	-6.5%
55 & Over	64	2.2%	8	0.6%	21	1.3%

Lawrence

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-182	-15.3%	-105	-17.6%	-87	-14.2%
20 - 24	-269	-21.6%	-155	-24.6%	-114	-18.5%
25 - 29	-185	-15.8%	-55	-10.0%	-130	-20.9%
30 - 34	-247	-19.0%	-136	-20.3%	-110	-17.6%
35 - 39	-184	-15.5%	-119	-19.3%	-64	-11.4%
40 - 44	-121	-10.7%	-54	-9.6%	-67	-11.8%
55 & Over	-260	-7.5%	-155	-9.3%	-100	-5.6%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	364	23.6%	236	30.8%	128	16.4%
20 - 24	-28	-1.7%	17	2.0%	-63	-7.4%
25 - 29	-351	-28.5%	-129	-21.2%	-222	-35.6%
30 - 34	-190	-20.4%	-99	-22.1%	-91	-18.8%
35 - 39	-70	-7.5%	-58	-12.5%	-12	-2.5%
40 - 44	-66	-6.7%	-18	-3.7%	-48	-9.7%
55 & Over	-205	-5.6%	-99	-6.0%	-127	-6.2%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	465	35.3%	213	30.6%	252	40.6%
20 - 24	313	17.4%	122	13.6%	192	21.1%
25 - 29	-608	-27.3%	-291	-25.3%	-316	-29.4%
30 - 34	-417	-25.9%	-205	-24.3%	-211	-27.6%
35 - 39	11	1.3%	-17	-3.8%	29	7.4%
40 - 44	38	5.4%	31	9.5%	6	1.7%
55 & Over	135	3.4%	37	2.1%	43	1.9%

Lincoln

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-257	-28.4%	-117	-24.5%	-147	-33.9%
20 - 24	-462	-48.2%	-253	-50.9%	-209	-45.1%
25 - 29	-313	-36.2%	-154	-35.4%	-159	-37.0%
30 - 34	-92	-12.5%	-67	-18.2%	-24	-6.7%
35 - 39	-113	-13.5%	-61	-14.1%	-51	-12.7%
40 - 44	-38	-4.8%	-20	-4.9%	-18	-4.5%
55 & Over	-123	-4.0%	-44	-2.9%	-67	-4.3%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-183	-17.4%	-95	-17.4%	-88	-17.4%
20 - 24	-676	-56.7%	-360	-60.1%	-328	-54.2%
25 - 29	-292	-33.5%	-150	-32.4%	-141	-34.8%
30 - 34	28	5.9%	6	2.7%	22	9.0%
35 - 39	41	7.8%	4	1.4%	37	14.3%
40 - 44	44	7.3%	39	13.8%	5	1.5%
55 & Over	0	0.0%	-2	-0.1%	-5	-0.3%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	62	6.9%	31	6.8%	31	7.0%
20 - 24	-365	-26.8%	-178	-25.2%	-187	-28.5%
25 - 29	13	1.2%	2	0.4%	11	2.0%
30 - 34	494	99.1%	283	123.5%	211	78.2%
35 - 39	240	43.2%	116	39.1%	124	48.1%
40 - 44	160	33.2%	93	41.8%	66	25.6%
55 & Over	91	2.7%	28	1.8%	23	1.2%

Lyman

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-128	-35.4%	-70	-37.4%	-60	-34.3%
20 - 24	-222	-52.8%	-121	-57.1%	-101	-48.5%
25 - 29	-84	-24.0%	-54	-28.0%	-31	-19.1%
30 - 34	-41	-14.5%	-38	-24.4%	-3	-2.4%
35 - 39	-42	-13.9%	-10	-6.1%	-32	-22.6%
40 - 44	-78	-24.8%	-40	-24.0%	-38	-25.5%
55 & Over	-99	-10.8%	-34	-7.2%	-58	-13.5%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-123	-29.7%	-49	-25.7%	-74	-33.2%
20 - 24	-266	-57.9%	-121	-56.0%	-150	-60.3%
25 - 29	-65	-21.8%	-38	-24.9%	-27	-18.6%
30 - 34	14	7.6%	25	28.4%	-10	-9.9%
35 - 39	-21	-8.4%	-18	-13.7%	-4	-3.0%
40 - 44	-9	-3.9%	3	2.8%	-12	-10.2%
55 & Over	-107	-11.4%	-42	-8.6%	-62	-13.7%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-109	-28.6%	-51	-24.9%	-58	-32.9%
20 - 24	-178	-36.8%	-81	-32.0%	-98	-42.1%
25 - 29	-97	-25.8%	-38	-21.0%	-59	-30.3%
30 - 34	52	27.5%	19	20.8%	33	33.7%
35 - 39	-29	-13.1%	-15	-14.0%	-14	-12.4%
40 - 44	-24	-12.5%	-19	-18.5%	-5	-5.4%
55 & Over	-463	-36.2%	-232	-35.8%	-232	-36.6%

McCook

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-221	-34.3%	-123	-35.3%	-102	-34.2%
20 - 24	-480	-65.5%	-250	-66.7%	-230	-64.3%
25 - 29	-301	-44.0%	-182	-48.8%	-119	-38.3%
30 - 34	533	102.8%	258	93.0%	275	114.3%
35 - 39	-101	-19.2%	-52	-19.2%	-49	-19.2%
40 - 44	-10	-1.7%	-12	-4.4%	2	0.6%
55 & Over	-185	-9.2%	-65	-6.6%	-116	-11.2%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-254	-33.3%	-100	-27.0%	-154	-39.4%
20 - 24	-596	-67.2%	-299	-65.1%	-307	-70.1%
25 - 29	-254	-45.7%	-140	-48.1%	-114	-42.9%
30 - 34	26	10.7%	21	17.9%	5	3.7%
35 - 39	-57	-15.6%	-39	-21.5%	-18	-9.9%
40 - 44	-26	-6.0%	-3	-1.3%	-23	-10.7%
55 & Over	-100	-4.9%	-36	-3.7%	-68	-6.3%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-105	-18.1%	-41	-13.8%	-64	-22.5%
20 - 24	-440	-52.9%	-188	-45.3%	-252	-60.4%
25 - 29	-270	-40.8%	-149	-42.1%	-121	-39.3%
30 - 34	59	20.9%	23	14.8%	36	28.5%
35 - 39	31	10.8%	13	8.9%	18	12.6%
40 - 44	-8	-3.3%	-2	-1.2%	-7	-5.4%
55 & Over	-115	-5.6%	-79	-8.4%	-61	-5.3%

McPherson

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-194	-37.2%	-83	-32.1%	-115	-43.1%
20 - 24	-403	-62.4%	-203	-63.4%	-200	-61.4%
25 - 29	-293	-49.7%	-174	-54.0%	-119	-44.4%
30 - 34	-173	-31.5%	-111	-39.7%	-62	-23.0%
35 - 39	-100	-19.6%	-43	-16.6%	-57	-22.7%
40 - 44	-132	-25.8%	-69	-25.1%	-63	-26.5%
55 & Over	-1139	-79.7%	-576	-80.8%	-559	-78.4%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-118	-23.8%	-54	-23.3%	-64	-24.3%
20 - 24	-397	-69.5%	-199	-70.4%	-203	-69.3%
25 - 29	-241	-59.1%	-141	-63.8%	-100	-53.5%
30 - 34	-20	-8.4%	-4	-3.7%	-16	-12.8%
35 - 39	-9	-3.2%	-14	-10.2%	5	3.6%
40 - 44	-24	-6.8%	-7	-4.7%	-17	-8.8%
55 & Over	-99	-7.3%	-45	-7.0%	-57	-7.9%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-113	-29.2%	-53	-26.7%	-61	-31.8%
20 - 24	-396	-65.2%	-195	-63.1%	-201	-67.5%
25 - 29	-274	-55.1%	-116	-49.7%	-158	-59.9%
30 - 34	28	16.6%	25	31.7%	2	2.6%
35 - 39	5	3.0%	8	10.7%	-3	-4.1%
40 - 44	-1	-0.5%	-3	-2.5%	2	1.5%
55 & Over	-85	-5.8%	-40	-5.9%	-54	-6.8%

Marshall

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-226	-37.4%	-124	-39.4%	-107	-36.2%
20 - 24	-412	-60.3%	-218	-61.4%	-194	-59.2%
25 - 29	-320	-52.0%	-184	-55.7%	-136	-47.6%
30 - 34	-145	-28.8%	-93	-34.2%	-51	-22.2%
35 - 39	-117	-24.1%	-63	-24.2%	-53	-23.8%
40 - 44	-75	-15.3%	-51	-19.0%	-23	-10.6%
55 & Over	-188	-10.9%	-66	-7.3%	-111	-13.8%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-195	-33.6%	-111	-37.1%	-84	-30.0%
20 - 24	-422	-63.5%	-223	-65.0%	-206	-62.7%
25 - 29	-235	-47.6%	-135	-53.1%	-100	-41.8%
30 - 34	26	9.9%	5	3.7%	21	16.0%
35 - 39	9	3.2%	13	9.3%	-4	-2.7%
40 - 44	-28	-8.3%	-13	-7.5%	-16	-9.2%
55 & Over	-97	-5.7%	-38	-4.5%	-52	-6.1%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-117	-23.0%	-47	-18.4%	-69	-27.7%
20 - 24	-353	-54.0%	-161	-48.9%	-192	-59.2%
25 - 29	-201	-38.3%	-95	-34.8%	-106	-42.0%
30 - 34	65	27.7%	42	36.5%	23	19.3%
35 - 39	31	12.3%	25	22.3%	5	3.8%
40 - 44	21	7.9%	16	12.7%	5	3.5%
55 & Over	-75	-4.4%	-34	-4.3%	-46	-5.2%

Meade

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	43	6.1%	99	26.9%	-61	-17.3%
20 - 24	-97	-11.4%	48	11.2%	-145	-34.3%
25 - 29	-266	-28.1%	-229	-39.8%	-36	-9.7%
30 - 34	-451	-37.8%	-464	-54.0%	17	5.1%
35 - 39	-115	-13.9%	-85	-17.0%	-28	-8.6%
40 - 44	-45	-5.8%	-41	-9.0%	-3	-0.9%
55 & Over	-107	-4.3%	-26	-1.8%	-49	-4.8%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	140	14.5%	141	27.2%	-1	-0.2%
20 - 24	776	71.9%	924	171.5%	-160	-29.0%
25 - 29	61	6.7%	-43	-7.7%	104	28.8%
30 - 34	298	41.3%	28	6.2%	272	101.4%
35 - 39	581	90.1%	345	105.7%	237	74.3%
40 - 44	185	26.4%	131	35.7%	54	16.4%
55 & Over	-319	-11.7%	-224	-15.3%	-67	-5.5%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	174	11.3%	300	39.3%	-126	-16.3%
20 - 24	13	0.6%	144	13.7%	-131	-12.7%
25 - 29	715	49.4%	289	34.9%	427	69.0%
30 - 34	-48	-2.7%	-467	-33.3%	428	112.3%
35 - 39	350	37.4%	215	44.7%	135	29.7%
40 - 44	-56	-5.7%	26	5.8%	-83	-15.9%
55 & Over	159	5.5%	94	6.6%	59	4.0%

Mellette

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-76	-31.5%	-36	-30.2%	-41	-33.9%
20 - 24	-152	-49.1%	-72	-47.1%	-79	-51.1%
25 - 29	-107	-40.5%	-52	-37.1%	-55	-44.3%
30 - 34	-72	-32.9%	-37	-34.4%	-35	-31.4%
35 - 39	-42	-25.7%	-23	-26.4%	-20	-24.9%
40 - 44	-47	-26.1%	-32	-33.7%	-15	-17.4%
55 & Over	-161	-26.8%	-74	-23.1%	-81	-29.6%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-87	-33.6%	-37	-29.7%	-50	-37.2%
20 - 24	-138	-48.7%	-77	-49.3%	-65	-49.1%
25 - 29	-46	-22.3%	-26	-23.7%	-20	-20.6%
30 - 34	-39	-26.1%	-21	-27.2%	-18	-25.0%
35 - 39	-14	-9.4%	-8	-9.4%	-6	-9.3%
40 - 44	-19	-14.1%	-6	-9.2%	-13	-18.6%
55 & Over	-91	-17.0%	-32	-11.7%	-57	-21.8%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-58	-26.2%	-31	-27.5%	-26	-24.8%
20 - 24	-92	-31.4%	-43	-27.7%	-48	-35.7%
25 - 29	-61	-28.0%	-23	-20.4%	-39	-35.9%
30 - 34	-11	-7.9%	-12	-15.5%	1	1.1%
35 - 39	-49	-31.8%	-32	-39.2%	-18	-23.7%
40 - 44	1	1.2%	1	2.6%	0	-0.3%
55 & Over	-86	-16.6%	-34	-13.6%	-50	-19.4%

Miner

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-157	-33.5%	-71	-31.0%	-89	-37.0%
20 - 24	-373	-71.9%	-196	-73.4%	-177	-70.3%
25 - 29	-224	-52.7%	-136	-59.1%	-87	-45.0%
30 - 34	-91	-25.3%	-50	-27.9%	-41	-22.7%
35 - 39	-92	-22.0%	-62	-28.5%	-30	-15.0%
40 - 44	-69	-17.5%	-43	-20.4%	-26	-13.9%
55 & Over	-155	-10.6%	-70	-9.6%	-78	-10.8%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-122	-25.8%	-40	-17.7%	-82	-33.2%
20 - 24	-403	-70.3%	-226	-71.8%	-182	-69.2%
25 - 29	-236	-58.8%	-108	-56.6%	-127	-60.8%
30 - 34	-7	-4.8%	-8	-12.5%	2	2.3%
35 - 39	-12	-6.2%	-9	-10.6%	-3	-2.6%
40 - 44	4	1.4%	1	0.7%	3	1.9%
55 & Over	-101	-7.1%	-44	-6.4%	-58	-7.7%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-78	-24.3%	-29	-18.3%	-49	-30.3%
20 - 24	-269	-55.0%	-121	-52.5%	-148	-57.4%
25 - 29	-219	-48.3%	-92	-39.6%	-127	-57.5%
30 - 34	4	2.3%	7	7.9%	-3	-3.7%
35 - 39	-9	-5.9%	1	1.6%	-11	-13.3%
40 - 44	-8	-6.1%	-7	-13.4%	-1	-0.7%
55 & Over	-57	-4.1%	-15	-2.3%	-58	-7.6%

Minnehaha

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	418	9.2%	-43	-1.9%	426	18.5%
20 - 24	673	14.4%	33	1.4%	640	27.1%
25 - 29	561	11.7%	396	18.1%	163	6.2%
30 - 34	154	2.8%	351	13.8%	-199	-6.6%
35 - 39	-53	-0.9%	-54	-1.9%	2	0.1%
40 - 44	-75	-1.4%	-10	-0.4%	-64	-2.4%
55 & Over	-301	-2.0%	-291	-4.0%	-5	-0.1%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	4	0.0%	-419	-10.4%	422	11.1%
20 - 24	-392	-5.0%	-744	-18.8%	270	6.8%
25 - 29	54	0.9%	220	8.2%	-169	-5.2%
30 - 34	-88	-1.7%	222	9.9%	-315	-10.9%
35 - 39	-39	-0.8%	1	0.0%	-42	-1.6%
40 - 44	-110	-2.0%	-91	-3.4%	-2409	-90.1%
55 & Over	-406	-2.3%	-340	-4.3%	-182	-1.8%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	507	6.1%	-70	-1.7%	576	13.8%
20 - 24	1394	13.4%	98	1.9%	1296	25.4%
25 - 29	913	9.4%	691	15.3%	219	4.2%
30 - 34	1408	19.6%	1288	41.8%	111	2.7%
35 - 39	387	6.7%	292	10.6%	92	3.1%
40 - 44	324	6.7%	157	6.8%	165	6.6%
55 & Over	187	0.9%	-152	-1.7%	56	0.5%

Moody

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	153	23.3%	67	19.0%	81	26.4%
20 - 24	-473	-59.0%	-208	-53.8%	-265	-63.7%
25 - 29	-584	-61.4%	-283	-60.4%	-302	-62.2%
30 - 34	-69	-15.5%	-65	-25.4%	-4	-1.9%
35 - 39	-15	-2.8%	-7	-2.8%	-8	-2.9%
40 - 44	-73	-12.7%	-34	-12.6%	-40	-12.9%
55 & Over	-215	-10.3%	-93	-8.9%	-113	-10.9%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	96	12.9%	24	6.6%	71	19.1%
20 - 24	-533	-58.8%	-261	-56.5%	-281	-61.9%
25 - 29	-683	-68.9%	-341	-68.6%	-342	-69.1%
30 - 34	-44	-13.9%	-39	-23.1%	-5	-3.2%
35 - 39	-63	-18.2%	-33	-18.9%	-31	-17.4%
40 - 44	-12	-3.4%	-10	-5.5%	-2	-1.3%
55 & Over	-156	-7.4%	-76	-7.6%	-80	-7.4%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-104	-19.1%	57	21.0%	-61	-22.4%
20 - 24	-424	-50.2%	-223	-48.9%	-200	-51.7%
25 - 29	-594	-56.0%	-259	-53.3%	-335	-58.3%
30 - 34	57	15.6%	15	8.0%	41	24.6%
35 - 39	50	16.7%	18	12.2%	32	21.2%
40 - 44	46	17.7%	31	25.3%	15	10.8%
55 & Over	-218	-10.4%	-71	-7.4%	-171	-14.6%

Pennington

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	1260	57.3%	699	64.2%	544	48.2%
20 - 24	3326	153.0%	2057	191.9%	1268	115.1%
25 - 29	2249	96.1%	1205	102.5%	1044	89.6%
30 - 34	1172	38.2%	598	37.7%	575	38.8%
35 - 39	547	16.4%	353	21.2%	194	11.6%
40 - 44	640	24.1%	294	20.7%	349	28.3%
55 & Over	369	5.9%	193	6.4%	187	5.9%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-349	-6.7%	-212	-7.9%	-137	-5.4%
20 - 24	923	18.5%	435	17.0%	436	17.5%
25 - 29	-34	-0.8%	-113	-5.4%	79	4.0%
30 - 34	-1812	-34.4%	-1339	-45.1%	-467	-20.4%
35 - 39	-969	-22.2%	-568	-25.4%	-400	-18.9%
40 - 44	-729	-18.2%	-381	-18.7%	-347	-17.7%
55 & Over	129	1.5%	-7	-0.2%	108	2.3%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	724	13.9%	311	11.6%	413	16.3%
20 - 24	2301	35.4%	1503	45.9%	798	24.7%
25 - 29	1155	19.4%	741	24.8%	414	14.0%
30 - 34	-358	-6.3%	-73	-2.5%	-285	-10.0%
35 - 39	62	1.6%	34	1.8%	27	1.4%
40 - 44	51	1.5%	62	4.0%	-14	-0.8%
55 & Over	-123	-1.1%	-49	-1.0%	-189	-3.0%

Perkins

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-171	-34.6%	-84	-32.6%	-91	-37.8%
20 - 24	-238	-46.3%	-137	-50.3%	-101	-41.7%
25 - 29	-226	-42.4%	-131	-46.8%	-95	-37.5%
30 - 34	-150	-31.2%	-80	-32.9%	-70	-29.4%
35 - 39	-137	-27.2%	-85	-30.9%	-52	-22.7%
40 - 44	-131	-25.6%	-75	-26.0%	-56	-24.8%
55 & Over	-133	-10.2%	-90	-13.2%	-33	-5.4%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-162	-31.1%	-89	-31.4%	-73	-30.8%
20 - 24	-403	-64.1%	-220	-64.7%	-189	-64.3%
25 - 29	-166	-42.1%	-91	-44.1%	-75	-39.9%
30 - 34	-65	-24.7%	-32	-25.1%	-33	-24.3%
35 - 39	-28	-9.7%	-17	-12.2%	-12	-7.5%
40 - 44	-37	-11.8%	-17	-11.1%	-20	-12.6%
55 & Over	-267	-19.4%	-100	-15.1%	-167	-23.4%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-55	-14.0%	-31	-15.5%	-24	-12.5%
20 - 24	-184	-35.0%	-86	-32.0%	-98	-38.1%
25 - 29	-141	-30.3%	-80	-32.2%	-60	-28.1%
30 - 34	84	38.4%	44	38.2%	40	38.8%
35 - 39	13	6.1%	7	6.4%	6	5.8%
40 - 44	23	12.3%	13	14.2%	10	10.5%
55 & Over	-9	-0.7%	-13	-2.0%	-5	-0.7%

Potter

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-89	-24.7%	-27	-15.2%	-162	-57.4%
20 - 24	-57	-13.7%	-6	-2.9%	-51	-24.8%
25 - 29	-64	-17.9%	-32	-16.6%	-32	-19.2%
30 - 34	-36	-10.6%	-23	-13.6%	-13	-7.7%
35 - 39	-32	-10.9%	-18	-10.5%	-14	-11.2%
40 - 44	-85	-27.0%	-41	-26.7%	-45	-27.4%
55 & Over	-93	-9.5%	-36	-7.3%	-55	-11.3%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-142	-32.9%	-65	-29.3%	-76	-36.7%
20 - 24	-238	-53.2%	-152	-62.5%	-91	-43.4%
25 - 29	-129	-38.9%	-76	-42.6%	-53	-34.5%
30 - 34	-124	-36.0%	-91	-46.6%	-33	-21.9%
35 - 39	-60	-21.5%	-36	-24.4%	-23	-18.0%
40 - 44	-25	-8.8%	-14	-10.3%	-12	-7.5%
55 & Over	-26	-2.5%	-5	-1.0%	-21	-3.9%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-152	-36.8%	-72	-34.4%	-79	-39.4%
20 - 24	-365	-65.0%	-169	-63.5%	-196	-66.5%
25 - 29	-143	-37.1%	-71	-35.2%	-72	-39.1%
30 - 34	13	6.4%	19	21.5%	-6	-5.2%
35 - 39	-14	-7.1%	3	3.4%	-17	-17.4%
40 - 44	-26	-12.2%	-10	-9.9%	-16	-14.3%
55 & Over	-32	-3.0%	-13	-2.6%	-28	-4.8%

Roberts

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-489	-38.9%	-245	-37.4%	-254	-41.5%
20 - 24	-843	-59.5%	-448	-59.8%	-395	-59.0%
25 - 29	-502	-43.8%	-268	-46.4%	-234	-41.3%
30 - 34	-180	-21.4%	-90	-20.4%	-90	-22.3%
35 - 39	-221	-25.5%	-122	-27.7%	-99	-23.2%
40 - 44	-126	-14.9%	-65	-14.7%	-61	-15.1%
55 & Over	-336	-10.5%	-163	-9.6%	-155	-10.4%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-369	-31.0%	-176	-29.4%	-192	-32.6%
20 - 24	-898	-64.5%	-441	-64.2%	-472	-65.6%
25 - 29	-484	-48.5%	-267	-51.0%	-217	-45.7%
30 - 34	-61	-11.2%	-30	-10.5%	-31	-11.9%
35 - 39	-82	-13.4%	-55	-19.0%	-26	-8.3%
40 - 44	-49	-7.8%	-28	-8.6%	-20	-6.7%
55 & Over	-196	-5.9%	-72	-4.4%	-120	-7.2%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-224	-21.6%	-106	-19.4%	-118	-24.1%
20 - 24	-665	-50.5%	-296	-45.3%	-369	-55.8%
25 - 29	-375	-36.3%	-203	-38.1%	-171	-34.3%
30 - 34	146	30.5%	87	37.0%	58	24.1%
35 - 39	62	12.6%	30	12.5%	32	12.6%
40 - 44	1	0.2%	18	7.7%	-17	-7.6%
55 & Over	-177	-5.5%	-78	-5.1%	-119	-7.0%

Sanborn

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-128	-36.2%	-57	-32.6%	-74	-40.6%
20 - 24	-226	-59.2%	-115	-59.2%	-111	-59.1%
25 - 29	-149	-39.4%	-85	-43.7%	-65	-34.9%
30 - 34	-42	-14.4%	-42	-24.2%	0	-0.2%
35 - 39	-34	-11.1%	-16	-9.4%	-19	-12.8%
40 - 44	-10	-3.1%	-6	-3.9%	-4	-2.4%
55 & Over	-214	-17.2%	-111	-16.9%	-96	-16.6%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-138	-33.4%	-72	-35.6%	-66	-31.4%
20 - 24	-306	-67.0%	-154	-63.4%	-157	-71.7%
25 - 29	-156	-52.2%	-85	-54.8%	-71	-49.5%
30 - 34	-23	-15.6%	-19	-25.4%	-4	-5.8%
35 - 39	-19	-8.9%	-18	-18.0%	-1	-0.9%
40 - 44	-18	-7.7%	3	2.5%	-21	-18.3%
55 & Over	-188	-16.3%	-90	-15.9%	-96	-16.3%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-44	-14.2%	-12	-7.3%	-31	-22.2%
20 - 24	-260	-56.5%	-129	-55.2%	-131	-57.9%
25 - 29	-121	-34.0%	-51	-29.3%	-71	-38.4%
30 - 34	8	5.5%	7	7.9%	2	2.6%
35 - 39	-8	-6.0%	-6	-9.6%	-2	-2.6%
40 - 44	8	6.6%	6	12.1%	1	2.0%
55 & Over	-106	-9.9%	-36	-7.1%	-78	-13.5%

Shannon

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-113	-21.7%	-52	-19.5%	-66	-25.2%
20 - 24	-266	-40.1%	-130	-40.8%	-136	-39.6%
25 - 29	-129	-24.4%	-59	-22.9%	-70	-25.8%
30 - 34	-83	-18.8%	-29	-14.6%	-54	-22.3%
35 - 39	-45	-13.8%	-26	-15.5%	-19	-11.9%
40 - 44	-33	-11.2%	-15	-10.0%	-18	-12.4%
55 & Over	-149	-15.5%	-47	-9.3%	-96	-21.5%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	17	2.7%	2	0.8%	15	4.5%
20 - 24	-137	-19.9%	-112	-32.4%	-32	-9.1%
25 - 29	-29	-5.6%	-21	-8.1%	-7	-2.9%
30 - 34	3	0.8%	-7	-3.6%	9	4.7%
35 - 39	30	7.9%	18	9.6%	12	6.3%
40 - 44	25	7.3%	21	13.0%	4	2.1%
55 & Over	-24	-2.4%	20	4.1%	-38	-7.9%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	129	13.4%	60	11.9%	68	15.0%
20 - 24	-53	-4.8%	-32	-5.9%	-21	-3.7%
25 - 29	61	7.6%	40	10.5%	21	5.0%
30 - 34	183	34.2%	139	61.9%	43	13.9%
35 - 39	18	3.8%	6	2.8%	11	4.7%
40 - 44	73	20.1%	38	23.7%	34	16.9%
55 & Over	-77	-6.4%	-25	-4.3%	-54	-8.5%

Spink

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-195	-22.8%	-82	-19.6%	-120	-26.8%
20 - 24	-400	-41.9%	-239	-44.8%	-160	-38.1%
25 - 29	-305	-34.3%	-157	-35.2%	-148	-33.5%
30 - 34	-91	-10.8%	-71	-16.2%	-19	-4.8%
35 - 39	-124	-15.2%	-64	-14.8%	-60	-15.6%
40 - 44	-56	-7.3%	-30	-7.8%	-25	-6.8%
55 & Over	-262	-9.0%	-123	-8.4%	-129	-8.9%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-194	-20.2%	-73	-15.0%	-121	-25.4%
20 - 24	-596	-52.2%	-324	-53.9%	-284	-51.4%
25 - 29	-319	-37.9%	-162	-37.9%	-157	-37.9%
30 - 34	-16	-3.1%	-15	-5.5%	-1	-0.3%
35 - 39	-12	-2.1%	-5	-1.7%	-7	-2.5%
40 - 44	-55	-7.7%	-19	-5.6%	-36	-9.8%
55 & Over	-185	-6.4%	-116	-8.6%	-75	-4.9%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-136	-17.4%	-76	-18.2%	-60	-16.5%
20 - 24	-461	-39.5%	-264	-43.9%	-196	-34.8%
25 - 29	-262	-27.2%	-147	-28.7%	-115	-25.5%
30 - 34	17	3.3%	16	5.8%	2	0.7%
35 - 39	-65	-12.9%	-14	-5.7%	-50	-20.2%
40 - 44	-41	-8.3%	-28	-11.3%	-13	-5.2%
55 & Over	-379	-13.0%	-181	-13.8%	-234	-14.2%

Stanley

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	54	33.8%	38	53.8%	15	16.1%
20 - 24	110	62.5%	51	67.6%	59	58.5%
25 - 29	147	104.2%	79	130.2%	69	84.6%
30 - 34	149	101.7%	73	81.5%	76	134.1%
35 - 39	99	63.8%	58	73.5%	41	54.0%
40 - 44	90	72.1%	57	87.1%	33	55.8%
55 & Over	-38	-9.4%	-7	-3.3%	-27	-14.9%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-231	-55.7%	-120	-54.8%	-111	-56.6%
20 - 24	-273	-63.9%	-148	-67.2%	-129	-61.2%
25 - 29	-127	-48.2%	-67	-51.4%	-60	-45.0%
30 - 34	-129	-47.0%	-42	-34.8%	-87	-56.6%
35 - 39	-157	-57.4%	-75	-57.1%	-83	-57.6%
40 - 44	-140	-50.3%	-77	-50.9%	-63	-49.5%
55 & Over	-147	-25.1%	-94	-28.9%	-49	-19.2%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-6	-2.6%	-7	-6.4%	1	0.9%
20 - 24	-104	-35.4%	-69	-44.3%	-34	-25.2%
25 - 29	-4	-1.5%	2	1.5%	-5	-4.7%
30 - 34	36	24.3%	20	29.0%	16	20.1%
35 - 39	34	25.7%	20	33.9%	13	18.6%
40 - 44	-12	-8.8%	-8	-11.3%	-4	-5.8%
55 & Over	-103	-18.5%	-52	-18.6%	-51	-18.5%

Sully

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-74	-37.4%	-37	-36.3%	-39	-39.5%
20 - 24	-118	-46.9%	-73	-58.5%	-44	-35.3%
25 - 29	-42	-17.6%	-35	-27.5%	-7	-6.4%
30 - 34	-37	-18.8%	-16	-14.1%	-21	-24.8%
35 - 39	-44	-23.8%	-21	-23.5%	-23	-24.1%
40 - 44	-32	-18.0%	-20	-21.3%	-12	-14.1%
55 & Over	-69	-12.7%	-29	-9.4%	-36	-15.4%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-105	-39.8%	-40	-31.5%	-65	-47.6%
20 - 24	-123	-58.1%	-63	-59.0%	-62	-58.0%
25 - 29	-44	-25.8%	-24	-28.6%	-20	-23.2%
30 - 34	-6	-5.0%	11	21.5%	-17	-21.9%
35 - 39	-14	-7.6%	-3	-3.0%	-12	-11.6%
40 - 44	-26	-17.1%	-20	-22.5%	-5	-8.4%
55 & Over	-86	-15.9%	-40	-13.9%	-43	-17.0%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-80	-32.1%	-26	-23.1%	-54	-39.8%
20 - 24	-181	-56.4%	-110	-63.2%	-71	-48.4%
25 - 29	-77	-36.4%	-42	-36.4%	-35	-36.4%
30 - 34	33	38.4%	25	58.9%	8	18.6%
35 - 39	-15	-12.3%	-7	-12.4%	-8	-12.3%
40 - 44	0	-0.3%	-1	-2.4%	1	1.8%
55 & Over	-71	-13.6%	-35	-13.5%	-37	-14.1%

Todd

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-137	-32.3%	-66	-30.6%	-74	-35.2%
20 - 24	-241	-48.2%	-126	-50.8%	-115	-45.7%
25 - 29	-147	-33.7%	-86	-38.1%	-61	-29.0%
30 - 34	-63	-19.2%	-25	-16.3%	-38	-21.8%
35 - 39	-40	-12.3%	-18	-11.6%	-22	-13.1%
40 - 44	-50	-17.7%	-32	-19.8%	-17	-14.5%
55 & Over	-155	-18.8%	-59	-13.6%	-91	-23.5%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	69	14.1%	17	6.6%	52	22.1%
20 - 24	-69	-14.0%	-46	-18.3%	-28	-11.3%
25 - 29	9	2.5%	-20	-9.9%	29	17.1%
30 - 34	73	29.5%	42	36.3%	31	23.3%
35 - 39	55	19.9%	21	16.2%	33	23.1%
40 - 44	64	25.8%	35	29.2%	29	22.5%
55 & Over	-6	-0.7%	6	1.4%	-7	-1.8%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-164	-22.9%	-116	-30.2%	-48	-14.5%
20 - 24	-270	-29.7%	-165	-34.9%	-106	-24.1%
25 - 29	-44	-6.3%	-49	-13.8%	5	1.3%
30 - 34	86	21.0%	50	25.0%	37	17.3%
35 - 39	-2	-0.7%	19	11.3%	-22	-11.2%
40 - 44	-40	-13.0%	-18	-12.4%	-21	-13.6%
55 & Over	-213	-20.4%	-108	-21.0%	-105	-19.7%

Tripp

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-259	-34.7%	-149	-38.4%	-117	-31.8%
20 - 24	-369	-47.7%	-199	-50.5%	-170	-44.7%
25 - 29	-209	-30.7%	-128	-35.5%	-80	-25.1%
30 - 34	-100	-16.3%	-70	-22.5%	-29	-9.8%
35 - 39	-56	-9.0%	-24	-7.9%	-31	-10.2%
40 - 44	-70	-11.4%	-34	-10.4%	-36	-12.4%
55 & Over	-220	-12.0%	-98	-10.5%	-113	-12.6%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-232	-27.6%	-128	-29.4%	-104	-25.7%
20 - 24	-464	-52.8%	-258	-57.1%	-216	-49.4%
25 - 29	-218	-35.0%	-112	-36.5%	-106	-33.7%
30 - 34	-10	-2.5%	4	2.0%	-14	-6.7%
35 - 39	-35	-7.9%	-9	-4.1%	-26	-11.4%
40 - 44	5	1.0%	19	8.3%	-14	-5.5%
55 & Over	-103	-5.5%	-39	-4.3%	-64	-6.6%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-193	-27.6%	-87	-25.0%	-106	-30.2%
20 - 24	-443	-45.2%	-224	-44.0%	-219	-46.6%
25 - 29	-284	-36.6%	-124	-32.6%	-160	-40.6%
30 - 34	13	3.2%	9	4.9%	4	1.7%
35 - 39	-32	-8.1%	3	1.7%	-35	-17.2%
40 - 44	-21	-5.9%	-7	-3.7%	-15	-8.0%
55 & Over	-212	-10.1%	-93	-9.2%	-139	-12.4%

Turner

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-281	-36.0%	-160	-39.1%	-127	-33.6%
20 - 24	-490	-54.3%	-272	-60.2%	-218	-48.4%
25 - 29	-351	-40.4%	-193	-44.0%	-158	-36.8%
30 - 34	-101	-13.4%	-48	-12.5%	-53	-14.3%
35 - 39	-91	-11.6%	-49	-12.2%	-42	-10.9%
40 - 44	-55	-7.8%	-24	-6.8%	-31	-8.8%
55 & Over	-202	-6.7%	-85	-5.7%	-110	-7.1%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-226	-24.3%	-123	-25.2%	-103	-23.3%
20 - 24	-641	-62.2%	-342	-62.5%	-310	-62.6%
25 - 29	-288	-43.5%	-165	-49.3%	-123	-37.6%
30 - 34	0	-0.1%	19	11.1%	-20	-8.8%
35 - 39	-19	-3.9%	-7	-3.2%	-12	-4.6%
40 - 44	-26	-4.3%	-15	-4.8%	-11	-3.7%
55 & Over	-39	-1.3%	42	3.0%	-90	-5.5%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-129	-18.7%	-30	-8.9%	-99	-27.7%
20 - 24	-501	-48.5%	-218	-42.9%	-284	-54.0%
25 - 29	-310	-33.5%	-160	-33.3%	-150	-33.8%
30 - 34	170	45.1%	103	52.2%	68	37.6%
35 - 39	62	17.3%	36	22.2%	26	13.1%
40 - 44	40	10.5%	25	14.3%	14	7.0%
55 & Over	-24	-0.8%	21	1.5%	-77	-4.5%

Union

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-181	-24.4%	-101	-25.9%	-85	-24.0%
20 - 24	-359	-47.6%	-197	-50.4%	-161	-44.6%
25 - 29	-266	-36.3%	-145	-39.5%	-121	-33.1%
30 - 34	-92	-13.7%	-52	-15.7%	-40	-11.7%
35 - 39	-131	-17.3%	-64	-16.2%	-67	-18.3%
40 - 44	-46	-7.1%	-23	-7.2%	-23	-7.0%
55 & Over	-310	-11.8%	-131	-10.0%	-169	-13.0%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-200	-22.1%	-90	-19.9%	-110	-24.2%
20 - 24	-528	-51.3%	-287	-52.7%	-252	-50.7%
25 - 29	-228	-32.3%	-118	-32.7%	-110	-31.8%
30 - 34	35	9.2%	12	6.4%	23	12.0%
35 - 39	-1	-0.1%	4	2.0%	-5	-2.2%
40 - 44	14	2.5%	4	1.7%	9	3.2%
55 & Over	-104	-4.1%	-67	-5.6%	-39	-3.0%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-59	-7.3%	-56	-13.9%	-3	-0.7%
20 - 24	-163	-16.6%	-110	-20.6%	-53	-11.8%
25 - 29	28	3.0%	41	8.9%	-14	-3.1%
30 - 34	259	53.4%	147	59.5%	112	47.0%
35 - 39	93	20.3%	48	20.6%	46	20.1%
40 - 44	65	16.7%	28	15.1%	37	17.9%
55 & Over	3	0.1%	10	0.8%	-35	-2.4%

Walworth

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-76	-13.9%	-36	-12.9%	-45	-16.1%
20 - 24	-232	-35.0%	-121	-38.5%	-111	-31.9%
25 - 29	-195	-30.7%	-107	-34.2%	-87	-27.2%
30 - 34	-44	-7.6%	-13	-4.5%	-31	-10.8%
35 - 39	-76	-14.3%	-39	-14.3%	-36	-14.3%
40 - 44	-10	-2.0%	-3	-1.2%	-7	-2.9%
55 & Over	-145	-9.0%	-55	-6.8%	-86	-10.6%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-292	-38.2%	-136	-36.3%	-156	-39.9%
20 - 24	-326	-43.1%	-174	-47.2%	-161	-40.5%
25 - 29	-151	-25.5%	-101	-32.9%	-49	-17.4%
30 - 34	126	30.7%	98	53.0%	28	12.4%
35 - 39	34	8.2%	42	21.8%	-8	-3.8%
40 - 44	-17	-3.3%	-8	-2.9%	-9	-3.7%
55 & Over	-303	-17.1%	-85	-10.1%	-219	-23.4%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-209	-29.5%	-89	-26.6%	-120	-32.1%
20 - 24	-401	-47.8%	-202	-47.7%	-199	-47.9%
25 - 29	-205	-28.8%	-97	-28.7%	-108	-29.0%
30 - 34	44	12.5%	41	25.2%	3	1.6%
35 - 39	-31	-8.4%	-16	-9.2%	-15	-7.8%
40 - 44	1	0.2%	6	3.1%	-5	-2.6%
55 & Over	-55	-2.7%	-26	-2.8%	-48	-4.3%

Yankton

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	190	19.8%	-39	-7.8%	222	48.3%
20 - 24	-78	-7.2%	-153	-27.8%	76	14.5%
25 - 29	-362	-29.6%	-157	-28.2%	-206	-30.9%
30 - 34	-243	-19.5%	-68	-11.8%	-175	-26.3%
35 - 39	-151	-13.5%	-58	-10.8%	-93	-16.1%
40 - 44	-15	-1.4%	-16	-3.0%	2	0.3%
55 & Over	-14	-0.3%	10	0.5%	-16	-0.7%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	222	17.1%	220	34.7%	365	54.9%
20 - 24	199	13.8%	12	1.6%	172	23.7%
25 - 29	-234	-17.4%	-26	-4.8%	-208	-26.4%
30 - 34	-72	-7.6%	61	16.1%	-135	-23.3%
35 - 39	18	2.2%	14	3.7%	4	0.9%
40 - 44	110	11.7%	54	11.4%	56	12.0%
55 & Over	-247	-5.3%	-204	-9.7%	-63	-2.5%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	53	3.8%	-5	-0.7%	59	8.5%
20 - 24	199	11.1%	-7	-0.7%	206	24.4%
25 - 29	-182	-9.9%	-17	-2.1%	-166	-16.5%
30 - 34	-339	-21.4%	-81	-11.3%	-259	-29.7%
35 - 39	10	1.0%	20	4.1%	-11	-1.9%
40 - 44	37	4.4%	7	1.6%	30	7.0%
55 & Over	-506	-10.4%	-217	-10.3%	-369	-13.0%

Ziebach

1950 - 60 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-91	-37.9%	-60	-44.6%	-33	-30.5%
20 - 24	-110	-42.2%	-54	-40.6%	-56	-43.8%
25 - 29	-50	-25.5%	-43	-39.2%	-6	-7.3%
30 - 34	-24	-12.7%	-19	-17.4%	-5	-6.0%
35 - 39	-15	-7.9%	-11	-10.9%	-3	-4.0%
40 - 44	-19	-13.4%	-13	-15.6%	-6	-10.0%
55 & Over	-149	-31.8%	-79	-30.9%	-65	-31.2%

1960 - 70 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-82	-32.9%	-36	-27.9%	-46	-38.2%
20 - 24	-137	-49.8%	-70	-48.3%	-70	-52.5%
25 - 29	-67	-37.0%	-27	-30.3%	-40	-43.5%
30 - 34	-46	-31.5%	-26	-34.7%	-19	-28.0%
35 - 39	-30	-21.6%	-10	-15.9%	-20	-26.5%
40 - 44	-27	-17.4%	-11	-12.7%	-16	-22.7%
55 & Over	-92	-23.4%	-48	-24.4%	-41	-21.4%

1970 - 80 Migration

Age	Total		Male		Female	
16 - 19	-29	-11.1%	-8	-5.8%	-21	-16.9%
20 - 24	-114	-37.6%	-62	-42.3%	-52	-33.1%
25 - 29	-59	-27.3%	-43	-34.4%	-16	-17.4%
30 - 34	-16	-12.3%	-10	-13.7%	-6	-10.4%
35 - 39	11	9.7%	9	15.5%	2	3.0%
40 - 44	6	6.0%	5	10.8%	1	1.4%
55 & Over	-82	-20.4%	-34	-16.9%	-51	-24.6%

Table 6

Patterns of Net Migration

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950--80 Migration
Aurora				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Beadle				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Bennett				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Bon Homme				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950-80 Migration
Brookings				
16-19	In	In	In	In
20-24	In	In	In	In
16-24	In	In	In	In
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	In	In	In	In
30-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Brown				
16-19	In	In	In	In
20-24	Out	In	In	In
16-24	Out	In	In	In
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Brule				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	In
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Buffalo				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	In	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950-80 Migration
Butte				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	In
25-34	Out	Out	In	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	In	Out
Campbell				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Charles Mix				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Clark				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	In	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950-80 Migration
Clay				
16-19	In	In	In	In
20-24	In	In	In	In
16-24	In	In	In	In
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	In	In	In	In
30-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Codington				
16-19	Out	Out	In	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	In	In	In
25-34	Out	Out	In	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Corson				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Custer				
16-19	Out	Out	In	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	In	Out
25-29	Out	Out	In	In
20-29	Out	Out	In	Out
30-34	Out	In	In	In
25-34	Out	Out	In	In
55 & Over	Out	Out	In	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950-80 Migration
Davison				
16-19	Out	In	In	In
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	In	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	In	In	In
Day				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	In	Out
Deuel				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Dewey				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950-80 Migration
Douglas				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Edmunds				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	In	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	In	Out
Fall River				
16-19	Out	Out	In	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	In	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	In
25-34	Out	Out	In	In
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Faulk				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950-80 Migration
Grant				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	In
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Gregory				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	In	Out	Out
Haakon				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	In	In	In
25-34	Out	Out	In	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Hamlin				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	In	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950-80 Migration
Hand				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	In	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Hanson				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Harding				
16-19	Out	Out	In	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Hughes				
16-19	In	Out	Out	Out
20-24	In	Out	Out	Out
16-24	In	Out	Out	Out
25-29	In	Out	In	In
20-29	In	Out	Out	Out
30-34	In	Out	In	In
25-34	In	Out	In	In
55 & Over	In	Out	In	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950-80 Migration
Hutchinson				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	In	In	In
Hyde				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Jackson				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	In	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Jerauld				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950-80 Migration
Jones				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	In	Out	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Kingsbury				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Lake				
16-19	Out	In	In	In
20-24	Out	Out	In	Out
16-24	Out	In	In	In
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	In	Out
Lawrence				
16-19	Out	In	In	In
20-24	Out	Out	In	In
16-24	Out	In	In	In
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	In	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950-80 Migration
Lincoln				
16-19	Out	Out	In	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	In	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	In	In	In
25-34	Out	Out	In	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	In	Out
Lyman				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	In	In	In
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
McCook				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	In	In	In	In
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
McPherson				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950-80 Migration
Marshall				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	In	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Meade				
16-19	In	In	In	In
20-24	Out	In	In	In
16-24	Out	In	In	In
25-29	Out	In	In	In
20-29	Out	In	In	In
30-34	Out	In	Out	Out
25-34	Out	In	In	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	In	Out
Mellette				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Miner				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950-80 Migration
Minnehaha				
16-19	In	In	In	In
20-24	In	Out	In	In
16-24	In	Out	In	In
25-29	In	In	In	In
20-29	In	Out	In	In
30-34	In	Out	In	In
25-34	In	Out	In	In
55 & Over	Out	Out	In	Out
Moody				
16-19	In	In	Out	In
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Pennington				
16-19	In	Out	In	In
20-24	In	In	In	In
16-24	In	In	In	In
25-29	In	Out	In	In
20-29	In	In	In	In
30-34	In	Out	Out	Out
25-34	In	Out	In	In
55 & Over	In	In	Out	In
Perkins				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950-80 Migration
Potter				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Roberts				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Sanborn				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Shannon				
16-19	Out	In	In	In
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	In	Out
25-29	Out	Out	In	Out
20-29	Out	Out	In	Out
30-34	Out	In	In	In
25-34	Out	Out	In	In
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950-80 Migration
Spink				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Stanley				
16-19	In	Out	Out	Out
20-24	In	Out	Out	Out
16-24	In	Out	Out	Out
25-29	In	Out	Out	In
20-29	In	Out	Out	Out
30-34	In	Out	In	In
25-34	In	Out	Out	In
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Sully				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Todd				
16-19	Out	In	Out	In
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	In	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	In	In	In
25-34	Out	In	In	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950--60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950--80 Migration
Tripp				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Turner				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	In	In
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Union				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	In	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	In	In	In
25-34	Out	Out	In	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	In	Out
Walworth				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	In	In	In
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out

Patterns of Net Migration

County	1950-60 Migration	1960-70 Migration	1970-80 Migration	1950-80 Migration
Yankton				
16-19	In	In	In	In
20-24	Out	In	In	In
16-24	In	In	In	In
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	In	Out
30-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out
Ziebach				
16-19	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
16-24	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
20-29	Out	Out	Out	Out
30-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
25-34	Out	Out	Out	Out
55 & Over	Out	Out	Out	Out

Table 7

Population Patterns for South Dakota Counties 1950 - 1980

Aurora

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	97	45	52	115	59	56	60	26	34	64	25	39
1 & 2	226	119	107	241	136	105	122	60	62	98	47	51
3 & 4	230	114	116	209	96	113	128	71	57	104	55	49
5	99	44	55	98	55	43	68	43	25	51	29	22
6	93	43	50	99	50	49	79	41	38	62	32	30
7 & 9	278	143	135	306	157	149	284	159	125	166	90	76
10 to 14	455	249	206	474	247	227	521	259	262	292	147	145
15	102	55	47	104	51	53	124	72	52	80	51	29
16 to 17	230	130	100	236	127	109	247	143	104	197	129	68
18 to 19	166	88	78	82	43	39	79	52	27	98	61	37
20 to 24	343	190	153	199	99	100	172	89	83	221	128	93
25 to 29	348	197	151	258	119	139	168	75	93	218	126	92
30 to 34	303	154	149	238	132	106	185	89	96	194	101	93
35 to 39	294	147	147	283	163	120	224	104	120	153	71	82
40 to 44	290	149	141	249	134	115	214	122	92	176	85	91
45 to 49	266	136	130	250	123	127	271	146	125	186	86	100
50 to 54	248	130	118	251	133	118	228	124	104	182	92	90
55 to 59	265	142	123	225	118	107	212	106	106	239	134	105
60 to 64	255	133	122	198	99	99	223	115	108	197	103	94
65 to 69	192	112	80	196	89	107	170	81	89	176	89	87
70 to 74	119	66	53	200	101	99	148	62	86	175	79	96
75 to 84	97	53	44	198	97	101	194	85	109	208	82	126
85 and over	24	14	10	40	19	21	62	29	33	91	25	66

Source: U.S. Census

Beadle

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	551	272	279	509	252	257	299	157	142	308	159	149
1 & 2	1046	569	477	1016	528	488	584	281	303	617	308	309
3 & 4	879	459	420	974	469	505	609	330	279	588	302	286
5	372	192	180	533	274	259	364	196	168	281	161	120
6	431	235	196	501	260	241	392	202	190	231	109	122
7 & 9	1100	558	542	1537	798	739	1285	653	632	821	402	419
10 to 14	1570	819	751	2109	1099	1010	2318	1148	1170	1334	691	643
15	260	145	115	358	189	169	473	230	243	334	177	157
16 to 17	590	286	304	692	350	342	928	476	452	737	375	362
18 to 19	627	282	345	613	253	360	783	380	403	642	319	323
20 to 24	1756	870	886	1170	537	633	1423	664	759	1648	825	823
25 to 29	1721	887	834	1092	498	594	1127	562	565	1512	789	723
30 to 34	1514	736	778	1405	674	731	985	470	515	1252	664	588
35 to 39	1351	672	679	1407	719	688	1050	491	559	975	493	482
40 to 44	1198	621	577	1242	596	646	1247	603	644	917	453	464
45 to 49	1201	589	612	1151	570	581	1236	632	604	908	419	489
50 to 54	1174	603	571	1080	540	538	1183	552	631	1158	550	608
55 to 59	1076	546	530	1016	466	550	992	469	523	1125	559	566
60 to 64	910	490	420	967	469	498	925	452	473	985	456	529
65 to 69	716	384	332	866	423	443	831	365	466	796	338	458
70 to 74	511	265	246	661	324	337	727	315	412	702	299	403
75 to 84	428	221	207	670	324	346	885	354	531	994	346	648
85 and over	100	45	55	113	57	56	231	101	130	330	84	246

Source: U.S. Census

Bennett

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	98	40	58	98	56	42	67	30	37	66	39	27
1 & 2	191	91	100	151	72	79	140	74	66	127	60	67
3 & 4	171	80	91	155	82	73	136	69	67	118	51	67
5	71	41	30	72	42	30	68	33	35	57	29	28
6	60	22	38	81	37	44	68	39	29	68	31	37
7 & 9	208	107	101	225	104	121	232	108	124	183	87	96
10 to 14	357	194	163	323	152	171	387	202	185	282	144	138
15	62	36	26	51	29	22	77	45	32	70	40	30
16 to 17	125	65	60	112	58	54	141	67	74	149	77	72
18 to 19	108	54	54	87	43	44	88	37	51	96	47	49
20 to 24	259	149	110	164	87	77	198	93	105	226	120	106
25 to 29	257	133	124	183	92	91	152	77	75	245	117	128
30 to 34	192	107	85	191	101	90	153	65	88	190	101	89
35 to 39	187	91	96	189	93	96	147	71	76	131	65	66
40 to 44	199	99	100	165	87	78	171	93	78	151	72	79
45 to 49	211	115	96	150	77	73	160	77	83	142	63	79
50 to 54	161	92	69	139	67	72	134	75	59	166	91	75
55 to 59	128	68	60	145	78	67	135	58	77	131	61	70
60 to 64	136	83	53	105	64	41	134	63	71	123	64	59
65 to 69	102	59	43	101	53	48	119	61	58	94	46	48
70 to 74	58	32	26	87	46	41	79	42	37	100	40	60
75 to 84	44	27	17	68	38	30	86	40	46	113	54	59
85 and over	11	6	5	11	6	5	16	8	8	16	6	10

Source: U.S. Census

Bon Homme

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	202	104	98	207	100	107	104	49	55	137	65	72
1 & 2	393	209	184	383	192	191	221	95	60	225	111	114
3 & 4	362	200	162	348	199	149	253	124	129	215	105	110
5	159	77	82	183	73	110	132	63	69	108	59	49
6	160	79	81	167	93	74	139	74	65	94	46	48
7 & 9	448	229	219	544	266	278	473	237	236	313	162	151
10 to 14	754	398	356	843	458	385	801	410	391	584	268	316
15	150	79	71	146	65	81	171	83	88	138	54	84
16 to 17	296	151	145	294	153	141	341	182	159	290	136	154
18 to 19	289	138	151	337	188	149	557	348	209	468	323	145
20 to 24	684	359	325	552	316	236	700	437	263	694	451	243
25 to 29	620	333	287	481	248	233	364	184	180	483	246	237
30 to 34	585	294	291	484	243	241	336	175	161	428	236	192
35 to 39	626	320	306	522	268	254	368	165	203	335	159	176
40 to 44	599	313	286	507	256	251	432	213	219	324	161	163
45 to 49	573	276	297	544	271	273	460	224	236	375	176	199
50 to 54	522	276	246	520	267	253	470	242	228	434	210	224
55 to 59	490	248	242	458	229	229	451	226	225	440	205	235
60 to 64	496	272	224	464	235	229	463	231	232	459	228	231
65 to 69	425	208	217	430	209	221	383	174	209	424	193	231
70 to 74	266	144	122	362	170	192	388	180	208	397	189	208
75 to 84	281	131	150	396	211	185	461	200	261	510	212	298
85 and over	60	23	37	57	29	28	109	42	67	184	66	118

Source: U.S. Census

Brookings

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	414	214	200	513	262	251	340	174	166	398	210	188
1 & 2	862	414	448	927	497	430	609	307	302	683	330	353
3 & 4	696	351	345	865	435	430	570	300	270	634	318	316
5	314	156	158	420	228	192	341	186	155	312	169	143
6	308	164	144	375	195	180	326	173	153	255	130	125
7 & 9	857	446	411	1095	553	542	1043	524	519	857	452	405
10 to 14	1238	634	604	1696	846	850	1949	1032	917	1405	711	694
15	248	113	135	283	144	139	362	193	169	343	174	169
16 to 17	476	239	237	553	288	265	707	356	351	704	360	344
18 to 19	915	498	417	1194	712	482	2484	1333	1151	2364	1142	1222
20 to 24	2040	1255	785	2193	1326	867	3637	2130	1507	4728	2547	2181
25 to 29	1415	781	634	1284	743	541	1188	653	535	2132	1145	987
30 to 34	1131	590	541	1017	519	498	882	429	453	1380	753	627
35 to 39	995	496	499	1063	541	522	837	398	439	1049	535	514
40 to 44	957	484	473	1025	512	513	907	457	450	879	443	436
45 to 49	918	462	456	977	481	496	1016	517	499	834	399	435
50 to 54	932	480	452	883	431	452	973	486	487	916	452	464
55 to 59	869	461	408	849	429	420	894	432	462	964	483	481
60 to 64	771	391	380	817	406	411	793	370	423	890	461	429
65 to 69	589	312	277	748	368	380	690	334	356	774	370	404
70 to 74	388	206	182	578	283	295	630	309	321	614	249	365
75 to 84	423	224	199	558	281	277	786	315	471	921	372	549
85 and over	95	44	51	133	57	76	194	73	121	296	85	211

Source: U.S. Census

Brown

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	770	396	374	896	437	459	659	349	310	600	300	300
1 & 2	1667	861	806	1601	821	780	1206	597	609	1159	573	586
3 & 4	1367	670	697	1607	815	792	1172	587	585	1049	527	522
5	565	295	270	807	429	378	677	338	339	517	266	251
6	619	325	294	769	387	382	693	348	345	486	242	244
7 & 9	1598	834	764	2232	1157	1078	2343	1197	1146	1647	842	805
10 to 14	2502	1239	1263	3300	1653	1647	3808	1945	1863	2876	1457	1419
15	506	264	242	502	257	245	729	384	345	693	354	339
16 to 17	968	461	507	1088	562	526	1470	743	727	1432	718	714
18 to 19	1137	476	661	1185	444	741	2237	920	1317	1814	755	1059
20 to 24	2826	1307	1519	2175	973	1202	3669	1640	2029	4030	1830	2200
25 to 29	2626	1340	1286	1929	955	974	2084	1076	1008	3028	1491	1537
30 to 34	2189	1126	1063	2077	1036	1041	1792	889	903	2594	1302	1292
35 to 39	2080	1029	1051	2062	1025	1037	1669	797	872	1928	948	980
40 to 44	1879	912	967	1928	968	960	1942	968	974	1661	819	842
45 to 49	1792	870	922	1796	863	933	1904	938	966	1633	772	861
50 to 54	1885	941	944	1565	726	839	1811	911	900	1798	888	910
55 to 59	1664	866	798	1577	752	825	1620	768	852	1729	820	909
60 to 64	1477	737	740	1493	723	770	1432	643	789	1574	763	811
65 to 69	1027	560	467	1375	685	690	1281	564	717	1426	633	793
70 to 74	698	343	355	1079	498	581	1113	491	622	1136	461	675
75 to 84	641	314	327	892	402	490	1344	530	814	1602	557	1045
85 and over	134	58	76	168	69	99	265	101	164	550	178	372

Source: U.S. Census

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	151	70	81	180	92	88	108	54	54	107	49	58
1 & 2	296	158	138	328	157	171	182	97	85	187	91	96
3 & 4	259	134	125	310	151	159	208	104	104	179	93	86
5	133	71	62	130	70	60	126	69	57	83	43	40
6	97	51	46	156	75	81	132	75	57	66	33	33
7 & 9	342	161	181	435	211	224	391	194	197	233	119	114
10 to 14	498	244	254	626	322	304	727	357	370	459	237	222
15	76	44	32	124	70	54	124	71	53	116	58	58
16 to 17	181	99	82	210	91	119	259	133	126	219	117	102
18 to 19	154	81	73	128	64	64	139	74	65	140	66	74
20 to 24	407	214	193	344	161	183	269	131	138	341	167	174
25 to 29	495	264	231	305	150	155	271	125	146	388	206	182
30 to 34	426	239	187	376	189	187	292	140	152	319	171	148
35 to 39	379	204	175	388	205	183	274	133	141	262	106	156
40 to 44	356	190	166	399	223	176	343	161	182	252	134	118
45 to 49	311	151	160	328	174	154	380	204	176	238	110	128
50 to 54	315	169	146	314	157	157	338	181	157	298	136	162
55 to 59	336	178	158	269	140	129	291	144	147	311	164	147
60 to 64	286	153	133	251	121	130	262	125	137	285	145	140
65 to 69	234	118	116	272	143	129	219	115	104	243	124	119
70 to 74	150	79	71	196	98	98	192	90	102	189	80	109
75 to 84	164	91	73	200	95	105	264	106	158	239	97	142
85 and over	30	14	16	50	23	27	79	30	49	91	23	68

Source: U.S. Census

Buffalo

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	45	20	25	54	33	21	53	26	27	48	24	24
1 & 2	71	41	30	90	45	45	93	46	47	106	58	48
3 & 4	97	51	46	83	45	38	97	51	46	92	57	35
5	37	23	14	47	23	24	42	20	22	51	24	27
6	45	20	25	38	22	16	53	24	29	48	27	21
7 & 9	131	69	62	128	72	56	155	68	87	125	65	60
10 to 14	180	98	82	164	83	81	225	111	114	213	106	107
15	34	17	17	36	22	14	30	17	13	46	22	24
16 to 17	54	31	23	74	40	34	81	46	35	94	50	44
18 to 19	58	33	25	49	33	16	42	18	24	63	33	30
20 to 24	109	51	58	104	50	54	100	47	53	164	78	86
25 to 29	117	62	55	92	48	44	115	57	58	102	48	54
30 to 34	116	56	60	76	33	43	107	60	47	109	57	52
35 to 39	92	58	34	87	48	39	85	45	40	86	40	46
40 to 44	72	40	32	85	41	44	60	30	30	94	47	47
45 to 49	71	35	36	82	52	30	82	45	37	68	34	34
50 to 54	69	34	35	65	39	26	86	39	47	52	27	25
55 to 59	61	34	27	46	25	21	65	39	26	68	34	34
60 to 64	64	39	25	45	21	24	49	28	21	59	25	34
65 to 69	34	16	18	44	23	21	39	17	22	43	25	18
70 to 74	35	19	16	35	19	16	31	14	17	25	13	12
75 to 84	18	12	6	18	8	10	43	26	17	26	10	16
85 and over	5	4	1	5	2	3	6	4	2	13	4	9

Source: U.S. Census

Butte

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	180	99	81	220	84	136	138	66	72	162	84	78
1 & 2	369	201	168	385	201	184	198	106	92	299	156	143
3 & 4	356	184	172	401	208	193	241	134	107	256	139	117
5	147	67	80	248	121	127	132	64	68	125	62	63
6	173	94	79	206	107	99	150	78	72	133	71	62
7 & 9	460	244	216	551	272	279	479	233	246	398	214	184
10 to 14	748	383	365	882	456	426	897	422	475	692	346	346
15	154	77	77	151	77	74	218	103	115	154	71	83
16 to 17	281	138	143	327	165	162	371	196	175	316	166	150
18 to 19	249	120	129	174	89	85	238	126	112	267	145	122
20 to 24	522	253	269	431	220	211	436	216	220	627	305	322
25 to 29	572	305	267	448	214	234	348	168	180	668	343	325
30 to 34	581	295	286	498	234	264	385	184	201	594	311	283
35 to 39	569	284	285	529	282	247	410	201	209	403	190	213
40 to 44	568	297	271	513	251	262	451	206	245	407	210	197
45 to 49	434	236	198	546	273	273	461	248	213	398	195	203
50 to 54	387	208	179	473	255	218	424	211	213	410	199	211
55 to 59	389	205	184	387	209	178	453	231	222	447	237	210
60 to 64	318	178	140	327	177	150	396	205	191	425	191	234
65 to 69	269	155	114	318	152	166	277	135	142	380	174	206
70 to 74	217	119	98	265	122	143	242	111	131	296	145	151
75 to 84	188	102	86	268	139	129	371	146	225	353	151	202
85 and over	30	17	13	44	25	19	109	51	58	162	58	104

Source: U.S. Census

Campbell

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	90	40	50	91	52	39	48	19	29	33	14	19
1 & 2	204	103	101	176	85	91	95	53	42	51	30	21
3 & 4	200	93	107	169	82	87	117	61	56	49	34	15
5	76	38	38	96	56	40	49	24	25	31	16	15
6	88	42	46	88	53	35	60	37	23	20	11	9
7 & 9	236	127	109	253	122	131	186	105	81	71	45	26
10 to 14	398	217	181	382	180	202	347	170	177	199	103	96
15	82	44	38	70	35	35	81	47	34	44	22	22
16 to 17	178	80	98	142	82	60	149	84	65	115	72	43
18 to 19	150	86	64	79	35	44	63	39	24	56	30	26
20 to 24	330	178	152	175	97	78	106	42	64	125	71	54
25 to 29	325	175	150	197	107	90	147	73	74	143	81	62
30 to 34	265	152	113	208	100	108	142	75	67	96	45	51
35 to 39	238	112	126	228	117	111	147	79	68	109	56	53
40 to 44	246	130	116	224	123	101	162	73	89	118	58	60
45 to 49	224	114	110	195	91	104	208	107	101	143	71	72
50 to 54	204	109	95	192	106	86	166	89	77	148	70	78
55 to 59	196	109	87	163	91	72	140	62	78	177	88	89
60 to 64	110	60	50	150	77	73	144	79	65	135	67	68
65 to 69	66	27	39	130	71	59	123	70	53	114	45	69
70 to 74	59	28	31	66	30	36	93	50	43	113	57	56
75 to 84	64	33	31	47	19	28	85	45	40	132	65	67
85 and over	17	11	6	10	4	6	8	5	3	21	5	16

Source: U.S. Census

Charles Mix

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	407	230	177	327	171	156	167	83	84	213	113	100
1 & 2	889	443	446	595	292	303	327	158	169	243	169	174
3 & 4	727	380	347	580	290	290	369	180	189	352	191	161
5	336	172	164	296	149	147	207	108	99	157	76	81
6	380	183	197	275	141	134	196	92	104	155	76	79
7 & 9	943	483	460	869	429	440	686	358	328	506	238	268
10 to 14	1308	695	613	1308	656	652	1209	604	605	914	445	469
15	229	121	108	243	126	117	238	111	127	191	103	88
16 to 17	463	268	195	466	227	239	442	249	193	425	196	229
18 to 19	473	255	218	261	131	130	226	119	107	255	150	105
20 to 24	1249	657	592	589	276	313	448	220	228	670	348	322
25 to 29	1281	705	576	583	297	286	493	249	244	619	310	309
30 to 34	1145	610	535	627	316	311	474	242	232	523	263	260
35 to 39	1116	616	500	646	340	306	500	249	251	499	246	253
40 to 44	908	498	410	671	342	329	538	279	259	436	215	221
45 to 49	844	490	354	677	360	317	530	268	262	483	240	243
50 to 54	708	398	310	599	299	300	567	288	279	476	257	219
55 to 59	612	330	282	529	296	233	563	283	280	474	237	237
60 to 64	504	265	239	472	260	212	498	242	256	482	239	243
65 to 69	413	227	186	437	207	230	436	230	206	480	225	255
70 to 74	304	155	149	324	166	158	343	173	170	367	163	204
75 to 84	265	148	117	340	176	164	427	185	242	492	202	290
85 and over	54	25	29	71	30	41	110	53	57	168	51	117

Source: U.S. Census

Clark

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	189	89	100	136	64	72	49	24	25	93	52	41
1 & 2	377	182	195	308	167	141	120	59	61	171	95	76
3 & 4	360	177	183	302	161	141	143	71	72	145	79	66
5	188	85	103	146	87	59	74	37	37	74	42	32
6	169	82	87	143	73	70	120	60	60	82	42	40
7 & 9	493	257	236	458	244	214	313	150	163	196	99	97
10 to 14	735	363	372	739	366	373	602	287	315	307	149	158
15	160	84	76	151	66	85	130	75	55	88	51	37
16 to 17	305	141	164	250	128	122	232	122	110	187	95	92
18 to 19	213	133	80	126	72	54	131	72	59	125	72	53
20 to 24	522	272	250	256	120	136	210	122	88	277	146	131
25 to 29	585	310	275	325	160	165	193	99	94	338	186	152
30 to 34	570	305	265	375	181	194	257	117	140	259	134	125
35 to 39	556	289	267	440	219	221	271	133	138	206	106	100
40 to 44	465	239	226	490	268	222	316	158	158	222	106	116
45 to 49	476	259	217	436	230	206	366	180	186	268	131	137
50 to 54	448	239	209	369	193	176	391	209	182	289	144	145
55 to 59	467	265	202	407	222	185	360	185	175	314	154	160
60 to 64	384	199	185	344	189	155	296	149	147	332	174	158
65 to 69	300	165	135	369	206	163	296	158	138	292	142	150
70 to 74	178	93	85	280	144	136	255	124	131	222	98	124
75 to 84	196	116	80	248	134	114	323	155	168	329	145	184
85 and over	33	17	16	36	24	12	67	27	40	78	29	49

Source: U.S. Census

Clay

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	249	135	114	236	123	113	204	108	96	207	97	110
1 & 2	509	260	249	438	235	203	390	191	199	369	187	182
3 & 4	425	218	207	425	224	201	320	164	156	318	162	156
5	167	76	91	192	111	81	176	76	100	131	62	69
6	167	89	78	200	96	104	186	90	96	162	74	88
7 & 9	464	253	211	576	292	284	567	289	278	447	235	212
10 to 14	666	311	355	876	447	429	948	505	443	752	385	367
15	142	68	74	126	53	73	194	116	78	155	74	81
16 to 17	259	136	123	304	159	145	376	174	202	358	175	183
18 to 19	605	321	284	831	480	351	1528	823	705	1623	750	873
20 to 24	1533	946	587	1292	780	512	2795	1583	1212	3125	1688	1437
25 to 29	934	578	356	718	418	300	799	450	349	1186	645	541
30 to 34	686	370	316	526	282	244	484	243	241	789	396	393
35 to 39	603	299	304	536	274	262	504	242	262	600	313	287
40 to 44	534	268	266	559	271	288	496	247	249	414	199	215
45 to 49	494	259	235	530	276	254	492	260	232	429	205	224
50 to 54	539	277	262	444	224	220	491	236	255	445	223	222
55 to 59	526	251	275	393	200	193	456	225	231	479	238	241
60 to 64	486	257	229	450	218	232	392	191	201	429	211	218
65 to 69	394	203	191	421	186	235	314	151	163	371	181	190
70 to 74	277	130	147	332	172	160	301	128	173	312	136	176
75 to 84	260	132	128	330	166	164	410	160	250	427	153	274
85 and over	74	30	44	75	29	46	100	37	63	161	56	105

Source: U.S. Census

Codington

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	471	239	232	478	241	237	323	167	156	411	198	213
1 & 2	1036	523	513	977	510	467	598	315	283	761	400	361
3 & 4	914	468	446	1013	503	510	617	308	309	686	356	330
5	351	178	173	492	247	245	355	190	165	329	165	164
6	383	210	173	526	282	244	401	208	193	321	163	158
7 & 9	1092	546	546	1483	786	697	1179	611	568	946	473	473
10 to 14	1513	766	747	2175	1096	1079	2122	1089	1033	1552	791	761
15	280	127	153	326	162	164	409	210	199	359	188	171
16 to 17	578	311	267	685	340	345	886	476	410	795	401	394
18 to 19	507	238	269	399	170	229	712	348	364	907	456	451
20 to 24	1284	580	704	907	400	507	1080	459	621	1922	939	983
25 to 29	1404	695	709	997	467	530	1016	494	522	1743	910	833
30 to 34	1359	670	689	1209	590	619	944	448	496	1346	680	666
35 to 39	1216	613	603	1218	616	602	861	422	439	1042	515	527
40 to 44	1102	564	538	1197	587	610	1017	483	534	987	477	510
45 to 49	1060	525	535	1092	526	566	1076	541	535	892	436	456
50 to 54	1021	528	493	1013	497	516	1061	513	548	986	470	516
55 to 59	927	471	456	947	468	479	969	469	500	1017	485	532
60 to 64	818	410	408	851	431	420	912	418	494	971	457	514
65 to 69	637	324	313	812	385	427	813	378	435	827	366	461
70 to 74	442	238	204	631	293	338	684	311	373	735	305	430
75 to 84	477	240	237	650	300	350	900	371	529	994	379	615
85 and over	72	34	38	142	67	75	205	69	136	356	102	254

Source: U.S. Census

Corson

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	171	84	87	182	87	95	90	46	44	139	68	71
1 & 2	339	170	169	354	190	164	211	114	97	110	103	107
3 & 4	341	183	158	353	192	161	227	119	108	250	133	117
5	172	97	75	163	73	90	137	70	67	98	48	50
6	152	86	66	162	91	71	141	67	74	125	69	56
7 & 9	423	224	199	452	226	226	413	210	203	303	155	148
10 to 14	675	347	328	663	336	327	698	353	345	517	283	234
15	146	75	71	121	62	59	138	66	72	116	55	61
16 to 17	246	120	126	227	129	98	211	110	101	273	140	133
18 to 19	230	123	107	149	69	80	131	68	63	232	118	114
20 to 24	493	252	241	336	155	181	259	126	133	450	231	219
25 to 29	411	210	201	316	152	164	269	124	145	325	180	145
30 to 34	399	222	177	348	178	170	253	122	131	312	156	156
35 to 39	366	190	176	326	168	158	248	131	117	257	140	117
40 to 44	294	164	130	314	172	142	268	149	119	232	106	126
45 to 49	291	151	140	278	149	129	241	111	130	256	140	116
50 to 54	229	132	97	231	131	100	252	144	108	255	128	127
55 to 59	250	138	112	228	125	103	233	118	115	235	122	113
60 to 64	189	112	77	164	94	70	180	94	86	182	85	97
65 to 69	172	115	57	178	89	89	155	79	76	198	92	106
70 to 74	82	50	32	119	66	53	97	51	46	98	65	33
75 to 84	87	53	34	118	78	40	125	64	61	97	38	59
85 and over	10	7	3	16	13	3	17	7	10	36	11	25

Source: U.S. Census

Custer

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	106	51	55	105	56	49	65	30	35	123	51	72
1 & 2	228	117	111	209	102	107	140	75	65	196	106	90
3 & 4	250	134	116	209	101	108	153	80	73	165	100	65
5	107	51	56	106	52	54	100	50	50	68	40	28
6	115	57	58	112	50	62	83	39	44	103	45	58
7 & 9	348	173	175	334	161	173	279	123	156	245	138	107
10 to 14	484	262	222	432	226	206	469	241	228	462	240	222
15	87	37	50	102	49	53	113	62	51	147	83	64
16 to 17	180	89	91	187	85	102	216	117	99	275	151	124
18 to 19	135	73	62	117	60	57	128	67	61	204	113	91
20 to 24	300	153	147	244	111	133	248	114	134	399	188	211
25 to 29	372	183	189	239	128	111	285	135	150	576	317	259
30 to 34	389	188	201	264	136	128	244	123	121	438	234	204
35 to 39	392	213	179	289	135	154	239	121	118	349	157	192
40 to 44	382	205	177	323	164	159	260	128	132	342	180	162
45 to 49	293	149	144	289	170	119	250	121	129	305	155	150
50 to 54	317	178	139	273	131	142	292	129	163	313	164	149
55 to 59	270	140	130	263	130	133	273	149	124	311	161	150
60 to 64	265	143	122	246	137	109	265	132	133	271	128	143
65 to 69	191	110	81	209	102	107	231	121	110	235	124	111
70 to 74	140	84	56	171	85	86	163	81	82	188	101	87
75 to 84	141	79	62	160	83	77	170	71	99	214	89	125
85 and over	25	10	15	23	13	10	32	14	18	71	22	49

Source: U.S. Census

Davison

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	432	218	214	367	197	170	280	150	130	355	169	186
1 & 2	793	418	375	750	369	381	543	291	252	613	323	290
3 & 4	675	367	308	783	384	399	528	259	269	532	273	259
5	307	154	153	404	203	201	324	163	161	221	110	111
6	273	141	132	384	198	186	319	175	144	263	143	120
7 & 9	768	384	384	1113	585	528	1046	532	514	779	403	376
10 to 14	1201	596	605	1616	811	805	1839	932	907	1281	617	664
15	219	116	103	254	140	114	367	189	178	301	152	149
16 to 17	526	254	272	484	241	243	710	362	348	645	338	307
18 to 19	602	237	365	465	185	280	798	382	416	851	443	408
20 to 24	1337	607	730	921	397	524	1288	599	689	1781	900	881
25 to 29	1267	613	654	855	415	440	883	427	456	1442	723	719
30 to 34	1091	536	555	944	457	487	776	384	392	1105	571	534
35 to 39	1028	511	517	1011	497	514	814	390	424	832	391	441
40 to 44	929	445	484	890	429	461	860	404	456	751	359	392
45 to 49	931	429	502	909	441	468	916	451	465	784	386	398
50 to 54	872	430	442	836	395	441	875	419	456	852	415	437
55 to 59	869	431	438	805	360	445	857	400	457	863	407	456
60 to 64	759	364	395	718	343	375	776	331	445	805	349	456
65 to 69	631	315	316	747	348	399	738	322	416	741	317	424
70 to 74	451	213	238	635	287	348	587	247	340	675	265	410
75 to 84	461	216	245	648	278	370	909	339	570	983	353	630
85 and over	100	44	56	142	57	85	286	87	199	365	110	255

Source: U.S. Census

Day

Age Category	1950 Total	1950 Male	1950 Female	1960 Total	1960 Male	1960 Female	1970 Total	1970 Male	1970 Female	1980 Total	1980 Male	1980 Female
Under 1	279	146	133	221	116	105	123	59	64	148	75	73
1 & 2	547	277	270	421	215	206	231	130	101	265	127	138
3 & 4	554	292	262	452	243	209	271	136	135	201	104	97
5	245	129	116	215	114	101	161	73	88	119	63	56
6	248	129	119	254	124	130	166	82	84	131	73	58
7 & 9	676	344	332	704	357	347	503	270	233	337	165	172
10 to 14	1098	567	531	1088	536	552	978	529	449	642	318	324
15	197	88	109	190	98	92	208	100	108	168	89	79
16 to 17	460	234	226	382	198	184	413	192	221	332	171	161
18 to 19	317	171	146	183	101	82	203	100	103	188	114	74
20 to 24	761	416	345	357	186	171	376	187	189	507	283	224
25 to 29	833	450	383	442	211	231	345	175	170	534	293	241
30 to 34	798	406	392	552	277	275	324	173	151	436	225	211
35 to 39	783	411	372	597	315	282	363	167	196	348	170	178
40 to 44	695	366	329	626	298	328	468	228	240	331	169	162
45 to 49	703	379	324	619	333	286	553	293	260	373	176	197
50 to 54	728	402	326	571	299	272	525	250	275	457	220	237
55 to 59	722	388	334	572	313	259	515	261	254	520	272	248
60 to 64	597	344	253	598	302	296	439	233	206	480	219	261
65 to 69	410	228	182	567	305	262	443	238	205	459	224	235
70 to 74	275	147	128	444	248	196	423	210	213	373	180	193
75 to 84	302	157	145	377	191	186	565	258	307	581	265	316
85 and over	66	35	31	84	37	47	117	41	76	203	78	125

Source: U.S. Census

Deuel

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	173	98	75	157	79	78	94	53	41	85	49	36
1 & 2	364	203	161	313	169	144	139	84	55	146	75	71
3 & 4	340	175	165	311	174	137	160	83	77	150	85	65
5	144	75	69	140	64	76	107	52	55	79	43	36
6	153	69	84	136	70	66	107	55	52	88	56	32
7 & 9	443	235	208	426	229	197	360	188	172	248	127	121
10 to 14	714	356	358	736	399	337	676	356	320	422	229	193
15	133	68	65	123	58	65	132	64	68	106	50	56
16 to 17	280	138	142	251	126	125	225	127	98	242	118	124
18 to 19	192	112	80	142	78	64	132	69	63	161	92	69
20 to 24	505	271	234	279	138	141	234	112	122	342	200	142
25 to 29	513	284	229	317	161	156	231	117	114	317	167	150
30 to 34	496	257	239	376	191	185	234	119	115	302	154	148
35 to 39	487	248	239	389	199	190	277	142	135	257	130	127
40 to 44	501	257	244	407	213	194	321	155	166	242	122	120
45 to 49	408	224	184	384	196	188	347	180	167	264	137	127
50 to 54	401	227	174	429	215	214	356	195	161	302	146	156
55 to 59	417	219	198	333	189	144	320	162	158	287	146	141
60 to 64	324	182	142	306	161	145	354	180	174	303	152	151
65 to 69	286	159	127	301	153	148	263	136	127	276	135	141
70 to 74	180	104	76	247	140	107	239	117	122	261	128	133
75 to 84	193	110	83	245	122	123	305	154	151	302	139	163
85 and over	42	17	25	34	19	15	73	27	46	107	40	67

Source: U.S. Census

Dewey

Age Category	1950 Total	1950 Male	1950 Female	1960 Total	1960 Male	1960 Female	1970 Total	1970 Male	1970 Female	1980 Total	1980 Male	1980 Female
Under 1	133	63	70	178	90	88	129	62	67	152	76	76
1 & 2	282	141	141	319	162	157	227	117	110	257	115	142
3 & 4	266	142	124	306	175	131	232	113	119	237	125	112
5	108	64	44	136	54	82	155	88	67	118	66	52
6	120	53	67	150	80	70	152	79	73	118	62	56
7 & 9	354	159	195	385	192	193	474	240	234	312	144	168
10 to 14	537	275	262	589	323	266	704	367	337	557	271	286
15	97	37	60	88	50	38	122	67	55	146	74	72
16 to 17	201	98	103	210	100	110	225	121	104	273	138	135
18 to 19	150	69	81	132	70	62	148	71	77	221	117	104
20 to 24	375	208	167	338	164	174	281	128	153	453	223	230
25 to 29	339	193	146	302	148	154	271	138	133	382	188	194
30 to 34	300	151	149	326	166	160	265	127	138	314	158	156
35 to 39	256	134	122	289	167	122	242	107	135	244	130	114
40 to 44	270	147	123	251	123	128	284	150	134	248	118	130
45 to 49	255	123	132	244	118	126	226	124	102	224	94	130
50 to 54	207	113	94	240	128	112	231	107	124	254	142	112
55 to 59	186	107	79	225	109	116	217	107	110	217	124	93
60 to 64	156	89	67	175	99	76	185	106	79	178	86	92
65 to 69	152	88	64	141	83	58	147	65	82	166	75	91
70 to 74	92	63	29	105	58	47	107	55	52	129	68	61
75 to 84	65	31	34	112	59	53	121	64	57	141	55	86
85 and over	15	7	8	16	8	8	25	10	15	25	14	11

Source: U.S. Census

Douglas

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	135	65	70	113	55	58	78	46	32	74	41	33
1 & 2	291	150	141	222	120	102	149	76	73	134	70	64
3 & 4	247	125	122	253	134	119	156	86	70	127	76	51
5	116	60	56	133	72	61	84	44	40	64	33	31
6	121	68	53	115	65	50	109	53	56	68	33	35
7 & 9	381	195	186	360	160	200	289	154	135	186	88	98
10 to 14	544	287	257	546	273	273	528	284	244	381	199	182
15	85	47	38	95	50	45	102	55	47	83	43	40
16 to 17	202	92	110	222	118	104	215	117	98	179	81	98
18 to 19	171	80	91	112	58	54	106	50	56	134	87	47
20 to 24	399	214	185	222	111	111	198	88	110	294	162	132
25 to 29	362	174	188	243	115	128	207	100	107	230	129	101
30 to 34	376	190	186	301	159	142	206	105	101	226	112	114
35 to 39	379	186	193	271	134	137	213	89	124	203	94	109
40 to 44	340	181	159	304	146	158	264	143	121	185	93	92
45 to 49	291	148	143	307	152	155	253	128	125	200	90	110
50 to 54	288	144	144	270	146	124	255	121	134	227	125	102
55 to 59	260	134	126	246	117	129	268	130	138	225	107	118
60 to 64	206	102	104	236	119	117	222	118	104	217	99	118
65 to 69	180	85	95	201	101	100	208	94	114	245	117	128
70 to 74	123	68	55	155	79	76	178	82	96	189	92	97
75 to 84	119	57	62	161	76	85	215	88	127	227	84	143
85 and over	20	5	15	25	10	15	66	20	46	83	34	49

Source: U.S. Census

Edmunds

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	181	82	99	144	69	75	83	40	43	74	37	37
1 & 2	356	182	174	276	143	133	199	95	104	150	81	69
3 & 4	322	167	155	273	151	122	199	100	99	154	75	79
5	160	83	77	136	68	68	121	59	62	73	41	32
6	169	86	83	150	78	72	108	57	51	70	42	28
7 & 9	425	234	191	396	206	190	369	188	181	253	125	128
10 to 14	730	371	359	660	332	328	658	336	322	469	228	241
15	133	78	55	110	62	48	127	67	60	121	61	60
16 to 17	294	162	132	233	118	115	256	134	122	218	115	103
18 to 19	241	128	113	132	86	46	122	71	51	140	86	54
20 to 24	572	303	269	235	118	117	203	96	107	258	137	121
25 to 29	508	281	227	292	144	148	256	127	129	308	163	145
30 to 34	437	214	223	344	174	170	239	125	114	260	138	122
35 to 39	467	232	235	387	209	178	286	138	148	254	116	138
40 to 44	450	245	205	364	177	187	309	158	151	222	113	109
45 to 49	403	200	203	352	179	173	314	167	147	269	130	139
50 to 54	378	214	164	332	173	159	320	162	158	309	161	148
55 to 59	327	191	136	332	164	168	331	165	166	315	164	151
60 to 64	270	138	132	309	161	148	271	141	130	289	145	144
65 to 69	175	89	86	258	150	108	259	117	142	290	139	151
70 to 74	125	70	55	184	91	93	214	112	102	227	103	124
75 to 84	130	64	66	161	91	70	247	122	125	316	142	174
85 and over	22	9	13	19	8	11	57	25	32	120	35	85

Source: U.S. Census

Fall River

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	229	109	120	204	111	93	77	42	35	170	81	89
1 & 2	485	243	242	394	184	210	166	86	80	260	132	128
3 & 4	417	208	209	459	242	217	202	103	99	264	130	134
5	187	96	91	215	113	102	101	52	49	115	60	55
6	218	107	111	239	121	118	124	73	51	124	53	71
7 & 9	525	264	261	644	329	315	341	173	168	361	193	168
10 to 14	757	396	361	1082	538	544	674	351	323	624	335	289
15	141	79	62	181	86	95	148	69	79	127	61	66
16 to 17	304	152	152	344	179	165	300	155	145	277	136	141
18 to 19	233	108	125	157	65	92	131	73	58	206	110	96
20 to 24	627	301	326	374	160	214	320	146	174	560	269	291
25 to 29	701	342	359	487	227	260	275	135	140	628	327	301
30 to 34	659	318	341	598	283	315	294	137	157	564	296	268
35 to 39	611	310	301	670	345	325	303	158	145	375	192	183
40 to 44	585	291	294	622	320	302	426	225	201	402	214	188
45 to 49	568	281	287	605	313	292	517	294	223	397	214	183
50 to 54	702	451	251	557	305	252	551	319	232	468	261	207
55 to 59	791	549	242	567	305	262	480	278	202	584	351	233
60 to 64	685	495	190	655	428	227	484	273	211	483	277	206
65 to 69	410	223	187	657	450	207	414	213	201	407	220	187
70 to 74	276	174	102	515	352	163	446	254	192	343	168	175
75 to 84	279	150	129	364	193	171	631	397	234	491	202	289
85 and over	49	24	25	98	49	49	100	47	53	209	106	103

Source: U.S. Census

Faulk

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	111	61	50	90	47	43	64	35	29	57	27	30
1 & 2	244	107	137	209	108	101	119	64	55	93	50	43
3 & 4	193	101	92	229	124	105	128	58	70	97	49	48
5	83	43	40	115	48	67	69	31	38	35	18	17
6	91	45	46	115	57	58	79	43	36	48	28	20
7 & 9	254	132	122	313	166	147	280	135	145	131	81	50
10 to 14	377	193	184	449	226	223	491	259	232	300	153	147
15	76	34	42	66	32	34	94	39	55	69	33	36
16 to 17	173	88	85	163	83	80	180	99	81	160	82	78
18 to 19	142	68	74	65	36	29	94	52	42	95	52	43
20 to 24	358	204	154	189	97	92	129	60	69	169	95	74
25 to 29	315	162	15	220	102	118	173	89	84	199	105	94
30 to 34	314	176	138	261	137	124	167	80	87	182	88	94
35 to 39	310	163	147	262	133	129	213	94	119	162	80	82
40 to 44	251	126	125	225	127	98	231	124	107	150	77	73
45 to 49	257	132	125	245	128	117	233	125	108	195	82	113
50 to 54	318	168	150	198	83	115	195	106	89	213	112	101
55 to 59	261	142	119	215	109	106	221	104	117	208	120	88
60 to 64	243	144	99	243	125	118	163	72	91	170	88	82
65 to 69	157	87	70	194	101	93	160	74	86	189	85	104
70 to 74	111	67	44	169	101	68	158	74	84	139	59	80
75 to 84	96	47	49	139	69	70	210	103	107	202	82	120
85 and over	17	12	5	23	14	9	42	18	24	64	30	34

Source: U.S. Census

Grant

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	251	133	118	242	111	131	138	72	66	140	75	65
1 & 2	511	281	230	467	231	236	283	151	132	319	169	150
3 & 4	476	254	222	455	233	222	296	143	153	290	165	125
5	217	110	107	228	130	98	169	80	89	158	79	79
6	221	116	105	226	114	112	195	106	89	134	64	70
7 & 9	544	266	278	685	366	319	607	314	293	462	257	205
10 to 14	822	431	391	1037	534	503	1037	525	512	789	403	386
15	161	80	81	182	94	88	205	110	95	167	71	96
16 to 17	340	193	147	366	182	184	393	198	195	389	205	184
18 to 19	268	132	136	193	84	109	231	127	104	276	152	124
20 to 24	661	335	326	435	220	215	449	209	240	623	314	309
25 to 29	683	351	332	485	230	255	418	210	208	616	315	301
30 to 34	668	352	316	522	276	246	406	197	209	592	297	295
35 to 39	676	357	319	522	259	263	409	193	216	458	219	239
40 to 44	612	303	309	569	276	293	445	223	222	405	211	194
45 to 49	587	308	279	561	295	266	501	248	253	400	190	210
50 to 54	580	309	271	555	276	279	517	264	253	424	210	214
55 to 59	562	302	260	525	273	252	481	241	240	469	231	238
60 to 64	479	256	223	455	235	220	454	217	237	469	236	233
65 to 69	375	217	158	412	216	196	412	210	202	432	207	225
70 to 74	227	123	104	366	190	176	341	159	182	340	150	190
75 to 84	260	130	130	341	170	171	487	230	257	482	188	294
85 and over	52	27	25	84	31	53	131	51	80	179	68	111

Source: U.S. Census

Gregory

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	198	110	88	165	82	83	89	56	33	114	60	54
1 & 2	412	225	187	299	147	152	180	91	89	182	91	91
3 & 4	394	188	206	337	180	157	219	119	100	177	76	101
5	172	79	93	179	100	79	113	61	52	99	46	53
6	182	90	92	152	79	73	130	53	77	88	54	34
7 & 9	501	250	251	483	255	228	432	225	207	280	140	140
10 to 14	783	387	396	796	407	389	711	367	344	448	235	213
15	154	83	71	139	60	79	165	88	77	108	59	49
16 to 17	299	162	137	252	133	119	285	147	138	232	101	131
18 to 19	239	124	115	130	66	64	161	95	66	173	103	70
20 to 24	550	293	257	318	151	167	300	147	153	402	228	174
25 to 29	594	299	295	364	196	168	308	158	150	405	199	206
30 to 34	529	280	249	374	188	186	291	136	155	342	190	152
35 to 39	539	277	262	439	214	225	327	166	161	271	132	139
40 to 44	540	296	244	423	223	200	339	173	166	268	131	137
45 to 49	490	260	230	432	226	206	414	202	212	287	144	143
50 to 54	434	233	201	422	217	205	370	177	193	281	128	153
55 to 59	361	190	171	395	219	176	392	201	191	363	178	185
60 to 64	336	174	162	358	192	166	394	204	190	332	148	184
65 to 69	321	140	181	304	151	153	342	180	162	349	173	176
70 to 74	252	141	111	273	129	144	314	149	165	288	129	159
75 to 84	233	142	91	306	149	157	326	146	180	415	177	238
85 and over	43	25	18	59	33	26	108	47	61	111	45	66

Source: U.S. Census

Haakon

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	64	31	33	89	40	49	58	33	25	85	42	43
1 & 2	188	116	72	211	101	110	104	57	47	126	66	60
3 & 4	135	78	57	149	83	66	101	48	53	108	60	48
5	84	38	46	91	49	42	44	23	21	58	23	35
6	57	30	27	80	47	33	50	28	22	49	23	26
7 & 9	172	86	86	250	122	128	184	102	82	155	81	74
10 to 14	245	134	111	334	189	145	393	204	189	229	126	103
15	56	30	26	67	31	36	65	38	27	43	24	19
16 to 17	109	47	62	108	55	53	138	76	62	106	55	51
18 to 19	90	48	42	71	41	30	66	36	30	76	43	33
20 to 24	221	125	96	158	76	82	150	76	74	240	118	122
25 to 29	225	123	102	183	84	99	137	71	66	224	116	108
30 to 34	194	107	87	196	102	94	156	76	80	192	109	83
35 to 39	216	107	109	196	107	89	135	61	74	125	59	66
40 to 44	191	103	88	163	91	72	149	77	72	133	71	62
45 to 49	180	99	81	183	99	84	153	81	72	109	47	62
50 to 54	150	91	59	168	83	85	143	81	62	130	75	55
55 to 59	124	63	61	156	90	66	131	67	64	123	61	62
60 to 64	123	65	58	111	62	49	132	58	74	124	68	56
65 to 69	130	79	51	105	51	54	112	65	47	102	42	60
70 to 74	107	59	48	85	39	46	80	42	38	108	48	60
75 to 84	90	52	38	122	64	58	94	36	58	109	47	62
85 and over	16	9	7	27	13	14	27	15	12	40	12	28

Source: U.S. Census

Hamlin

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	142	75	67	131	62	69	78	38	40	100	47	53
1 & 2	304	150	154	252	140	112	150	72	78	155	85	70
3 & 4	294	143	151	270	140	130	148	76	72	158	79	79
5	116	57	59	122	67	55	89	46	43	76	45	31
6	125	69	56	132	74	58	90	46	44	77	51	26
7 & 9	383	181	202	377	187	190	300	159	141	238	115	123
10 to 14	604	304	300	633	329	304	569	277	292	431	212	219
15	120	60	60	95	46	49	115	61	54	97	46	51
16 to 17	234	135	99	232	105	127	229	126	103	203	114	89
18 to 19	174	101	73	107	66	41	103	60	43	121	74	47
20 to 24	429	217	212	238	111	127	216	117	99	296	159	137
25 to 29	493	258	235	257	140	117	201	99	102	295	160	135
30 to 34	469	261	208	313	154	159	198	98	100	286	145	141
35 to 39	450	252	198	376	187	189	217	112	105	235	117	118
40 to 44	440	230	210	371	200	171	266	129	137	193	89	104
45 to 49	405	213	192	383	210	173	316	154	162	233	113	120
50 to 54	403	214	189	369	188	181	323	177	146	283	137	146
55 to 59	395	224	171	341	177	164	309	167	142	339	164	175
60 to 64	354	175	179	367	192	175	320	163	157	330	174	156
65 to 69	296	170	126	336	179	157	269	132	137	311	139	172
70 to 74	194	112	82	257	119	138	255	124	131	283	135	148
75 to 84	195	96	99	282	167	115	333	159	174	381	165	216
85 and over	39	23	16	62	26	36	78	37	41	140	49	91

Source: U.S. Census

Hand

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	197	101	96	182	96	86	73	33	40	78	30	48
1 & 2	358	203	155	346	169	177	173	86	87	142	64	78
3 & 4	320	150	170	337	181	156	202	108	94	144	87	57
5	142	71	71	161	99	62	101	53	48	80	37	43
6	157	84	73	184	80	104	145	81	64	70	37	33
7 & 9	397	214	183	503	278	225	413	225	188	207	120	97
10 to 14	622	321	301	696	376	320	781	394	387	406	218	188
15	129	69	60	125	61	64	124	70	54	84	42	42
16 to 17	238	122	116	241	122	119	313	155	158	231	126	105
18 to 19	228	128	100	148	82	66	131	87	44	121	63	58
20 to 24	524	287	237	283	148	135	211	96	115	303	162	141
25 to 29	553	280	273	347	157	190	26	134	132	327	180	147
30 to 34	472	241	231	409	210	199	275	130	145	288	145	143
35 to 39	422	232	190	437	228	209	318	153	165	239	118	121
40 to 44	407	210	197	388	199	189	363	185	178	228	110	118
45 to 49	432	233	199	353	188	165	381	203	178	274	125	149
50 to 54	409	222	187	338	178	160	318	156	162	330	170	160
55 to 59	328	188	140	327	170	157	274	160	114	330	172	158
60 to 64	310	162	148	290	146	144	284	145	139	266	124	142
65 to 69	197	112	85	236	128	108	249	117	132	227	121	106
70 to 74	137	80	57	194	87	107	199	98	101	206	99	107
75 to 84	139	76	63	161	87	74	232	93	139	278	119	159
85 and over	31	14	17	26	17	9	57	28	29	89	24	65

Source: U.S. Census

Hanson

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	121	57	64	107	55	52	66	32	34	62	32	30
1 & 2	254	118	136	232	112	120	146	85	61	121	71	50
3 & 4	239	111	128	232	115	117	141	78	63	140	78	62
5	100	53	47	106	46	60	77	33	44	59	28	31
6	101	59	42	103	54	49	67	38	29	59	32	27
7 & 9	322	152	170	317	159	158	250	127	123	176	83	93
10 to 14	443	207	236	503	240	263	492	245	247	303	168	135
15	78	35	43	95	58	37	99	44	55	68	34	34
16 to 17	177	92	85	198	101	97	163	89	74	149	79	70
18 to 19	140	71	69	112	59	53	89	46	43	109	63	46
20 to 24	326	176	150	192	89	103	151	70	81	217	124	93
25 to 29	318	153	165	210	105	105	175	97	78	217	110	107
30 to 34	319	170	149	240	122	118	171	78	93	167	86	81
35 to 39	291	160	131	240	114	126	169	90	79	172	90	82
40 to 44	271	130	141	270	145	125	215	106	109	174	74	100
45 to 49	281	140	141	232	125	107	196	103	93	156	85	71
50 to 54	275	149	126	227	106	121	207	103	104	195	96	99
55 to 59	252	137	115	222	111	111	171	93	78	184	97	87
60 to 64	206	118	88	225	120	105	175	83	92	175	90	85
65 to 69	138	80	58	206	106	100	164	69	95	134	72	62
70 to 74	109	52	57	162	85	77	163	90	73	126	48	78
75 to 84	112	54	58	137	72	65	204	95	109	190	89	101
85 and over	23	7	16	16	6	10	30	16	14	62	21	41

Source: U.S. Census

Harding

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	45	24	21	66	27	39	23	14	9	32	14	18
1 & 2	110	65	45	127	72	55	62	36	26	43	19	24
3 & 4	100	49	51	125	69	56	61	38	23	56	31	25
5	46	24	22	76	31	45	24	11	13	26	15	11
6	46	18	28	57	31	26	26	13	13	26	13	13
7 & 9	110	64	46	174	77	97	127	64	63	73	43	30
10 to 14	208	100	108	229	117	112	231	125	106	138	80	58
15	34	19	15	40	25	15	69	40	29	34	22	12
16 to 17	80	45	35	68	41	27	103	64	39	90	50	40
18 to 19	69	31	38	64	41	23	31	16	15	62	35	27
20 to 24	138	78	60	140	73	67	93	52	41	134	75	59
25 to 29	147	84	63	127	64	63	91	45	46	156	83	73
30 to 34	166	90	76	126	76	50	92	42	50	99	58	41
35 to 39	165	96	69	140	75	65	82	40	42	90	42	48
40 to 44	147	92	55	151	79	72	99	62	37	80	39	41
45 to 49	122	69	53	141	80	61	109	55	54	76	36	40
50 to 54	116	71	45	124	71	53	132	68	64	83	47	36
55 to 59	89	53	36	101	52	49	114	62	52	78	40	38
60 to 64	117	76	41	84	56	28	100	61	39	101	49	52
65 to 69	119	73	46	57	36	21	75	37	38	89	48	41
70 to 74	60	33	27	62	39	23	48	32	16	60	29	31
75 to 84	46	30	16	85	47	38	46	23	23	57	27	30
85 and over	9	5	4	7	5	2	17	7	10	17	5	12

Source: U.S. Census

Hughes

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	218	111	107	356	176	180	200	98	102	296	162	134
1 & 2	398	193	205	704	352	352	384	198	186	505	251	254
3 & 4	347	173	174	694	342	352	401	189	212	461	221	240
5	164	89	75	337	164	173	217	117	100	258	132	126
6	156	82	74	340	167	173	255	113	142	212	115	97
7 & 9	482	238	244	902	468	434	856	407	449	736	389	347
10 to 14	778	397	381	1324	658	666	1398	704	694	1264	610	654
15	147	87	60	208	111	97	284	142	142	271	139	132
16 to 17	239	104	135	384	180	204	520	271	249	532	263	269
18 to 19	219	80	139	343	139	204	360	143	217	370	163	207
20 to 24	618	286	332	807	375	432	705	267	438	1119	506	613
25 to 29	622	315	307	815	380	435	751	358	393	1337	629	708
30 to 34	533	255	278	895	460	435	655	316	339	1250	628	622
35 to 39	560	280	280	772	408	364	650	311	339	951	476	475
40 to 44	508	254	254	748	354	394	636	327	309	734	374	360
45 to 49	395	189	206	697	365	332	662	324	338	663	315	348
50 to 54	369	175	194	577	287	290	647	300	347	644	340	304
55 to 59	399	202	197	482	250	232	554	251	303	666	320	346
60 to 64	320	159	161	387	194	193	452	203	249	567	258	309
65 to 69	273	136	137	340	164	176	332	160	172	442	195	247
70 to 74	174	97	77	285	143	142	270	115	155	363	150	213
75 to 84	157	81	76	260	127	133	345	133	212	410	141	269
85 and over	35	10	25	68	24	44	98	42	56	169	44	125

Source: U.S. Census

Hutchinson

Age Category	1950 Total	1950 Male	1950 Female	1960 Total	1960 Male	1960 Female	1970 Total	1970 Male	1970 Female	1980 Total	1980 Male	1980 Female
Under 1	244	129	115	248	114	134	155	87	68	128	66	62
1 & 2	532	267	265	483	254	229	277	151	126	239	121	118
3 & 4	463	241	222	467	220	247	320	161	159	270	141	129
5	206	105	101	234	106	128	188	102	86	119	54	65
6	226	123	103	222	99	123	182	88	94	117	66	51
7 & 9	600	308	292	658	338	320	606	318	288	417	238	179
10 to 14	969	472	497	1079	565	514	1143	561	582	693	351	342
15	207	111	96	190	105	85	216	97	119	159	76	83
16 to 17	378	189	189	381	197	184	445	209	236	396	199	197
18 to 19	330	158	172	231	109	122	243	113	130	242	124	118
20 to 24	820	424	396	488	228	260	434	215	219	501	289	212
25 to 29	822	424	398	537	267	270	414	202	212	557	274	283
30 to 34	763	375	388	610	317	293	448	218	230	517	272	245
35 to 39	736	375	361	671	328	343	495	244	251	407	202	205
40 to 44	710	369	341	666	327	339	566	295	271	419	186	233
45 to 49	646	304	342	642	319	323	623	303	320	477	243	234
50 to 54	676	351	325	668	347	321	646	332	314	517	267	250
55 to 59	612	304	308	591	281	310	601	282	319	574	280	294
60 to 64	523	271	252	597	309	288	585	276	309	558	265	293
65 to 69	392	186	206	532	258	274	587	267	320	532	247	285
70 to 74	267	133	134	442	219	223	499	248	251	514	236	278
75 to 84	258	122	136	375	176	199	588	272	316	764	316	448
85 and over	43	21	22	73	33	40	118	40	78	233	91	142

Source: U.S. Census

Hyde

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	67	34	33	59	29	30	29	18	11	29	14	15
1 & 2	153	76	77	139	66	73	73	40	33	71	35	36
3 & 4	119	60	59	117	57	60	79	29	50	64	24	40
5	56	35	21	68	34	34	56	23	33	30	15	15
6	44	18	26	71	40	31	51	16	35	25	11	14
7 & 9	139	66	73	186	90	96	177	98	79	98	50	48
10 to 14	249	114	135	249	114	135	321	153	168	167	79	88
15	48	23	25	32	20	12	64	34	30	46	21	25
16 to 17	91	47	44	62	36	26	119	61	58	96	44	52
18 to 19	87	46	41	38	22	16	62	24	38	60	40	20
20 to 24	218	103	115	124	61	63	109	59	50	120	63	57
25 to 29	189	104	85	142	69	73	88	45	43	134	66	68
30 to 34	171	93	78	163	76	87	112	50	62	89	49	40
35 to 39	185	96	89	146	84	62	141	70	71	75	37	38
40 to 44	167	93	74	147	82	65	146	68	78	119	49	70
45 to 49	150	78	72	153	78	75	132	71	61	122	65	57
50 to 54	160	92	68	142	75	67	125	68	57	127	58	69
55 to 59	137	77	60	134	69	65	140	67	73	101	52	49
60 to 64	115	71	44	124	65	59	136	76	60	110	61	49
65 to 69	101	51	50	118	62	56	100	53	47	104	47	57
70 to 74	78	42	36	82	39	43	100	53	47	113	54	59
75 to 84	67	38	29	95	50	45	130	65	65	116	55	61
85 and over	20	9	11	11	7	4	25	9	16	53	17	36

Source: U.S. Census

Jackson

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	82	42	40	101	46	55	62	35	27	69	37	32
1 & 2	186	108	78	164	88	76	114	56	58	142	72	70
3 & 4	155	79	76	153	87	66	136	60	76	156	82	74
5	70	28	42	73	35	38	67	32	35	62	19	43
6	65	35	30	76	40	36	77	44	33	70	30	40
7 & 9	195	99	96	216	105	111	200	105	95	249	113	136
10 to 14	339	159	180	308	170	138	351	191	160	374	177	197
15	64	38	26	42	24	18	69	29	40	75	40	35
16 to 17	117	48	69	105	59	46	116	56	60	149	84	65
18 to 19	100	47	53	82	38	44	82	39	43	115	62	53
20 to 24	251	146	105	159	73	86	180	89	91	228	118	110
25 to 29	214	121	93	173	78	95	176	78	98	235	110	125
30 to 34	209	102	107	186	114	72	148	73	75	232	116	116
35 to 39	241	128	113	170	83	87	140	69	71	193	96	97
40 to 44	187	99	88	182	86	96	148	86	62	148	76	72
45 to 49	158	96	62	186	100	86	140	64	76	152	73	79
50 to 54	155	89	66	142	81	61	157	78	79	150	86	64
55 to 59	148	79	69	127	75	52	159	85	74	127	59	68
60 to 64	124	57	67	107	56	51	118	66	52	146	75	71
65 to 69	116	69	47	109	57	52	86	46	40	137	62	75
70 to 74	70	41	29	71	36	35	81	34	47	103	52	51
75 to 84	64	37	27	81	41	40	87	36	51	94	35	59
85 and over	9	3	6	14	4	10	26	12	14	31	14	17

Source: U.S. Census

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	92	53	39	88	41	47	36	16	20	55	27	28
1 & 2	210	102	108	155	77	78	90	41	49	99	49	50
3 & 4	190	89	101	169	86	83	97	55	42	101	60	41
5	81	37	44	100	49	51	51	27	24	48	26	22
6	96	53	43	84	44	40	52	26	26	39	21	18
7 & 9	234	121	113	281	154	127	192	104	88	135	67	68
10 to 14	371	178	193	422	207	215	388	191	197	206	110	96
15	65	29	36	64	26	38	80	41	39	51	29	22
16 to 17	128	66	62	153	82	71	158	85	73	108	58	50
18 to 19	144	74	70	90	44	46	74	44	30	87	43	44
20 to 24	297	156	141	164	76	88	135	65	70	148	80	68
25 to 29	299	156	143	183	94	89	136	65	71	212	116	96
30 to 34	305	147	158	229	117	112	153	72	81	150	76	74
35 to 39	285	156	129	226	119	107	125	63	62	136	63	73
40 to 44	267	137	130	247	123	124	190	94	96	149	70	79
45 to 49	233	127	106	199	97	102	193	99	94	125	67	58
50 to 54	232	111	121	223	114	109	207	110	97	160	78	82
55 to 59	259	138	121	189	98	91	183	94	89	147	78	69
60 to 64	232	125	107	187	82	105	189	83	106	186	87	99
65 to 69	191	107	84	213	118	95	153	79	74	158	75	83
70 to 74	141	74	67	176	92	84	159	66	93	155	64	91
75 to 84	105	50	55	184	97	87	218	105	113	204	91	113
85 and over	19	8	11	22	9	13	51	22	29	70	27	43

Source: U.S. Census

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	53	23	30	51	23	28	27	14	13	28	13	15
1 & 2	139	75	64	89	38	51	69	36	33	47	31	16
3 & 4	96	56	40	105	58	47	63	32	31	33	17	16
5	56	31	25	74	36	38	42	13	29	19	9	10
6	56	22	34	47	27	20	31	14	17	21	8	13
7 & 9	129	67	62	152	78	74	124	69	55	74	34	40
10 to 14	204	111	93	220	121	99	216	108	108	126	58	68
15	32	16	16	42	21	21	55	24	31	35	15	20
16 to 17	74	37	37	69	36	33	79	41	38	58	26	32
18 to 19	65	33	32	45	26	19	63	35	28	32	16	16
20 to 24	169	91	78	87	42	45	93	47	46	92	42	50
25 to 29	160	90	70	105	45	60	95	47	48	114	67	47
30 to 34	158	74	84	114	56	58	100	48	52	82	41	41
35 to 39	143	81	62	136	76	60	92	41	51	75	34	41
40 to 44	140	75	65	115	62	53	105	52	53	82	39	43
45 to 49	127	71	56	123	68	55	112	62	50	77	38	39
50 to 54	116	69	47	116	58	58	88	44	44	95	48	47
55 to 59	100	47	53	109	64	45	116	68	48	83	46	37
60 to 64	70	36	34	87	52	35	105	52	53	68	35	33
65 to 69	73	49	24	78	35	43	81	45	36	82	48	34
70 to 74	57	32	25	40	20	20	60	32	28	63	31	32
75 to 84	59	35	24	51	27	24	55	23	32	66	35	31
85 and over	5	2	3	11	6	5	11	4	7	11	3	8

Source: U.S. Census

Kingsbury

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	244	128	116	212	104	108	93	52	41	114	70	44
1 & 2	474	261	213	399	222	177	179	99	80	214	110	104
3 & 4	408	183	225	407	215	192	182	84	98	172	87	85
5	195	99	96	241	127	114	110	57	53	81	41	40
6	198	99	99	219	110	109	120	61	59	91	40	51
7 & 9	505	257	248	642	300	342	450	227	223	248	132	116
10 to 14	816	416	400	946	475	471	872	467	405	482	243	239
15	146	70	76	150	78	72	214	114	100	90	53	37
16 to 17	310	163	147	316	156	160	393	179	214	259	121	138
18 to 19	261	146	115	155	77	78	172	93	79	178	95	83
20 to 24	671	341	330	352	183	169	291	151	140	414	227	187
25 to 29	672	366	306	451	210	241	285	143	142	426	223	203
30 to 34	680	362	318	515	265	250	284	143	141	335	180	155
35 to 39	620	301	319	532	276	256	386	173	213	281	146	135
40 to 44	594	294	300	510	277	233	434	228	206	301	145	156
45 to 49	587	323	264	480	217	263	449	220	229	348	159	189
50 to 54	574	312	262	528	269	259	492	266	226	399	200	199
55 to 59	546	283	263	482	261	221	422	204	218	405	207	198
60 to 64	495	279	216	465	259	206	442	202	240	428	220	208
65 to 69	404	217	187	470	237	233	371	201	170	370	163	207
70 to 74	224	123	101	370	196	174	380	181	199	335	137	198
75 to 84	285	157	128	327	165	162	509	244	265	497	214	283
85 and over	53	25	28	58	26	32	127	49	78	211	81	130

Source: U.S. Census

Lake

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	263	140	123	278	152	126	156	75	81	183	103	80
1 & 2	544	285	259	559	270	289	297	151	146	333	163	170
3 & 4	470	235	235	530	261	269	312	160	152	303	159	144
5	237	102	135	252	126	126	202	105	97	147	79	68
6	223	112	111	266	136	130	176	98	78	143	78	65
7 & 9	612	306	306	735	400	335	653	325	328	440	216	224
10 to 14	1068	525	543	1151	585	566	1201	609	592	695	348	347
15	207	98	109	210	104	106	209	105	104	178	91	87
16 to 17	380	194	186	388	203	185	495	259	236	352	178	174
18 to 19	342	161	181	351	158	193	687	357	330	549	250	299
20 to 24	750	383	367	707	356	351	1065	558	507	1191	594	597
25 to 29	763	388	375	571	295	276	497	264	233	779	412	367
30 to 34	786	379	407	624	319	305	468	220	248	622	325	297
35 to 39	763	387	376	631	306	325	496	233	263	402	208	194
40 to 44	756	383	373	679	325	354	608	307	301	437	213	224
45 to 49	657	340	317	666	341	325	570	273	297	456	230	226
50 to 54	654	339	315	640	316	324	630	291	339	545	266	279
55 to 59	629	312	317	545	271	274	587	307	280	527	252	275
60 to 64	560	290	270	550	274	276	578	297	281	564	265	299
65 to 69	481	266	215	512	258	254	461	217	244	517	252	265
70 to 74	314	167	147	421	200	221	430	200	230	483	220	263
75 to 84	287	150	137	423	222	201	539	229	310	630	241	389
85 and over	46	23	23	75	32	43	139	52	87	248	78	170

Source: U.S. Census

Lawrence

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	439	232	207	406	208	198	301	179	122	347	182	165
1 & 2	872	452	420	809	420	389	514	283	231	598	305	293
3 & 4	751	398	353	830	415	415	537	272	265	545	274	271
5	288	149	139	409	217	192	294	148	146	232	122	110
6	337	171	166	428	201	227	297	159	138	237	108	129
7 & 9	902	442	460	1161	587	574	1045	551	494	724	375	349
10 to 14	1287	651	636	1765	895	870	1841	913	928	1301	684	617
15	263	137	126	269	147	122	376	186	190	285	139	146
16 to 17	482	217	265	510	267	243	819	457	362	742	411	331
18 to 19	473	222	251	500	221	279	1089	543	546	1038	496	542
20 to 24	1357	707	650	976	473	503	1668	883	785	2115	1013	1102
25 to 29	1245	656	589	988	497	491	883	481	402	1617	859	758
30 to 34	1194	601	593	1051	535	516	744	350	394	1195	641	554
35 to 39	1145	564	581	998	498	500	868	409	459	858	439	419
40 to 44	1039	505	534	1004	506	498	924	480	444	747	360	387
45 to 49	973	497	476	940	462	478	880	447	433	786	374	412
50 to 54	784	400	384	832	389	443	899	435	464	867	445	422
55 to 59	712	367	345	791	380	411	842	420	422	880	439	441
60 to 64	704	381	323	642	312	330	745	339	406	831	392	439
65 to 69	535	258	277	597	299	298	630	269	361	776	361	415
70 to 74	379	200	179	547	262	285	478	215	263	617	251	366
75 to 84	411	196	215	499	223	276	622	249	373	746	284	462
85 and over	76	29	47	123	45	78	157	61	96	255	63	192

Source: U.S. Census

Lincoln

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	258	120	138	264	131	133	164	86	78	309	147	162
1 & 2	601	302	299	533	283	250	314	152	162	494	278	216
3 & 4	525	265	260	535	276	259	352	187	165	488	257	231
5	259	111	148	251	121	130	166	78	88	241	109	132
6	262	140	122	285	150	135	199	105	94	218	102	116
7 & 9	679	356	323	798	412	386	727	367	360	699	342	357
10 to 14	993	516	477	1240	619	621	1393	723	670	1095	572	523
15	190	88	102	253	120	133	252	118	134	236	120	116
16 to 17	414	206	208	431	236	195	556	298	258	575	287	288
18 to 19	293	160	133	217	126	91	313	152	161	395	206	189
20 to 24	764	390	374	498	244	254	516	239	277	998	528	470
25 to 29	884	463	421	551	281	270	578	313	265	1105	553	552
30 to 34	854	436	418	639	303	336	505	238	267	993	512	481
35 to 39	856	428	428	726	374	352	564	268	296	794	413	381
40 to 44	803	428	375	766	386	380	646	321	325	641	317	324
45 to 49	726	367	359	748	368	380	680	358	322	621	296	325
50 to 54	736	384	352	681	365	316	666	327	339	638	323	315
55 to 59	663	347	316	630	326	304	696	327	369	656	336	320
60 to 64	602	311	291	617	307	310	627	318	309	626	317	309
65 to 69	554	312	242	561	276	285	519	270	249	597	269	328
70 to 74	363	194	169	479	235	244	486	217	269	529	252	277
75 to 84	413	223	190	531	270	261	677	288	389	694	275	419
85 and over	75	35	40	137	63	74	165	68	97	300	85	215

Source: U.S. Census

Lyman

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	113	59	54	122	56	66	92	39	53	81	37	44
1 & 2	219	108	111	244	132	112	124	63	61	173	88	85
3 & 4	241	112	129	240	124	116	142	77	65	163	84	79
5	94	49	45	127	70	57	85	49	36	68	40	28
6	127	67	60	103	44	59	96	56	40	64	30	34
7 & 9	248	128	120	323	153	170	294	155	139	226	114	112
10 to 14	434	220	214	479	223	256	495	259	236	348	175	173
15	78	39	39	77	40	37	95	46	49	78	39	39
16 to 17	151	85	66	142	69	73	194	89	105	165	87	78
18 to 19	137	76	61	91	49	42	97	53	44	108	68	40
20 to 24	296	163	133	198	91	107	194	95	99	306	172	134
25 to 29	317	170	147	268	138	130	234	114	120	279	144	135
30 to 34	333	178	155	242	117	125	204	111	93	239	110	129
35 to 39	290	173	117	259	150	109	233	112	121	195	93	102
40 to 44	265	140	125	236	126	110	219	112	107	170	85	85
45 to 49	229	133	96	238	143	95	199	108	91	189	96	93
50 to 54	198	110	88	224	117	107	228	127	101	194	101	93
55 to 59	169	90	79	207	126	81	219	133	86	181	98	83
60 to 64	187	93	94	167	90	77	183	92	91	168	82	86
65 to 69	188	108	80	132	66	66	170	94	76	155	90	65
70 to 74	125	75	50	128	61	67	109	58	51	126	61	65
75 to 84	119	71	48	153	90	63	113	54	59	140	65	75
85 and over	14	7	7	28	11	17	41	16	25	48	20	28

Source: U.S. Census

McCook

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	230	120	110	186	97	89	113	61	52	128	65	63
1 & 2	460	243	217	362	195	167	212	118	94	183	98	85
3 & 4	371	180	191	390	192	198	251	121	130	185	94	91
5	174	87	87	206	96	110	156	83	73	95	43	52
6	180	90	90	181	80	101	144	77	67	84	43	41
7 & 9	488	270	218	603	304	299	450	228	222	292	141	151
10 to 14	758	389	369	923	474	449	850	425	425	550	300	250
15	149	75	74	154	78	76	172	93	79	134	65	69
16 to 17	309	168	141	287	148	139	332	168	164	305	153	152
18 to 19	251	146	105	135	77	58	176	104	72	172	104	68
20 to 24	542	292	250	253	125	128	291	160	131	392	227	165
25 to 29	553	287	266	382	191	191	302	151	151	392	205	187
30 to 34	593	282	311	464	236	228	268	140	128	340	176	164
35 to 39	586	328	258	424	218	206	306	141	165	321	156	165
40 to 44	523	274	249	549	251	298	411	217	194	247	130	117
45 to 49	469	226	243	498	269	229	377	186	191	295	138	157
50 to 54	490	264	226	442	225	217	486	225	261	386	196	190
55 to 59	478	243	235	431	221	210	437	227	210	349	166	183
60 to 64	407	206	201	387	200	187	360	180	180	411	193	218
65 to 69	323	187	136	388	193	195	314	149	165	356	164	192
70 to 74	234	120	114	287	142	145	308	158	150	280	130	150
75 to 84	220	106	114	282	134	148	412	177	235	381	148	233
85 and over	40	20	20	54	27	27	118	54	64	166	53	113

Source: U.S. Census

McPherson

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	126	55	71	126	65	61	66	36	30	61	25	36
1 & 2	350	163	187	266	120	146	126	56	70	96	47	47
3 & 4	328	171	157	252	137	115	135	75	60	92	49	43
5	123	69	54	146	73	73	88	53	35	49	29	20
6	155	76	79	118	48	70	93	52	41	38	23	15
7 & 9	388	192	196	390	190	200	302	149	153	173	88	85
10 to 14	668	332	336	594	293	301	620	316	304	287	147	140
15	133	75	58	95	54	41	134	63	71	80	48	32
16 to 17	269	148	121	227	121	106	246	106	140	163	83	80
18 to 19	211	113	98	101	55	46	130	71	59	111	61	50
20 to 24	574	295	279	243	117	126	174	84	90	211	114	97
25 to 29	540	278	262	297	148	149	167	80	87	223	117	106
30 to 34	544	294	250	376	169	207	213	107	106	196	106	90
35 to 39	451	237	214	412	218	194	273	125	148	165	84	81
40 to 44	380	184	196	380	205	175	330	150	180	202	98	104
45 to 49	414	199	215	334	186	148	344	191	153	226	109	117
50 to 54	423	224	199	295	138	157	316	164	152	281	130	151
55 to 59	324	176	148	291	137	154	284	145	139	286	149	137
60 to 64	252	142	110	323	173	150	254	116	138	275	139	136
65 to 69	157	87	70	235	119	116	230	101	129	237	114	123
70 to 74	100	50	50	155	77	78	233	120	113	211	90	121
75 to 84	125	64	61	141	65	76	234	109	125	289	123	166
85 and over	36	15	21	24	9	15	30	11	19	75	26	49

Source: U.S. Census

Marshall

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	182	81	101	152	81	71	94	48	46	80	34	46
1 & 2	403	199	204	257	131	126	176	76	100	154	66	88
3 & 4	349	188	161	296	161	135	183	101	82	144	79	65
5	178	93	85	168	95	73	115	54	61	66	30	36
6	157	69	88	144	73	71	136	73	63	79	39	40
7 & 9	472	257	215	453	235	218	382	190	192	211	106	105
10 to 14	706	368	338	692	354	338	667	337	330	465	250	215
15	129	59	70	133	73	60	154	92	62	129	56	73
16 to 17	290	155	135	265	125	140	258	131	127	253	129	124
18 to 19	221	130	91	114	66	48	127	57	70	138	81	57
20 to 24	527	288	239	271	137	134	243	120	123	300	168	132
25 to 29	511	278	233	296	146	150	259	119	140	324	177	147
30 to 34	518	289	229	359	180	179	285	135	150	300	157	143
35 to 39	468	221	247	368	198	170	290	150	140	279	138	141
40 to 44	463	256	207	413	218	195	310	155	155	293	143	150
45 to 49	431	233	198	388	190	198	324	176	148	274	137	137
50 to 54	445	240	205	359	199	160	364	184	180	299	154	145
55 to 59	404	226	178	359	188	171	343	161	182	281	147	134
60 to 64	334	192	142	383	215	168	293	156	137	317	161	156
65 to 69	237	137	100	302	164	138	300	144	156	300	126	174
70 to 74	191	107	84	222	129	93	292	147	145	256	121	135
75 to 84	181	104	77	233	123	110	312	173	139	360	164	196
85 and over	38	21	17	36	21	15	58	27	31	102	43	59

Source: U.S. Census

Meade

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	215	112	103	293	156	137	246	137	109	473	247	226
1 & 2	504	262	242	541	278	263	507	270	237	845	462	383
3 & 4	449	228	221	553	283	270	570	300	270	811	400	411
5	195	111	84	287	158	129	356	168	188	411	213	198
6	193	94	99	256	122	134	383	188	195	383	192	191
7 & 9	549	286	263	735	413	322	1186	592	594	1137	596	541
10 to 14	881	445	436	1122	557	565	2131	1078	1053	1684	841	843
15	153	78	75	190	107	83	382	193	189	391	187	204
16 to 17	308	144	164	401	219	182	621	318	303	765	397	368
18 to 19	520	379	141	356	247	109	482	342	140	947	666	281
20 to 24	1249	905	344	755	477	278	1854	1463	391	2099	1197	902
25 to 29	877	532	345	679	347	332	975	508	467	2161	1116	1045
30 to 34	824	484	340	743	395	348	1021	481	540	1744	935	809
35 to 39	724	431	293	717	415	302	1226	671	555	1285	697	588
40 to 44	615	361	254	731	410	321	885	499	386	917	478	439
45 to 49	527	281	246	712	401	311	735	408	327	829	429	400
50 to 54	610	353	257	594	338	256	655	345	310	788	419	369
55 to 59	632	428	204	480	261	219	584	308	276	705	371	334
60 to 64	514	348	166	524	305	219	511	272	239	637	326	311
65 to 69	430	241	189	504	325	179	406	194	212	621	312	309
70 to 74	281	165	116	414	270	144	362	181	181	429	221	208
75 to 84	223	141	82	399	209	190	431	241	190	494	217	277
85 and over	43	23	20	58	28	30	109	49	60	161	74	87

Source: U.S. Census

Mellette

Age Category	1950 Total	1950 Male	1950 Female	1960 Total	1960 Male	1960 Female	1970 Total	1970 Male	1970 Female	1980 Total	1980 Male	1980 Female
Under 1	64	33	31	78	39	39	57	33	24	56	24	32
1 & 2	156	79	77	158	91	67	99	44	55	79	40	39
3 & 4	172	100	72	145	65	80	110	59	51	105	54	51
5	69	43	26	70	45	25	59	36	23	49	29	20
6	70	26	44	62	33	29	51	28	23	52	22	30
7 & 9	179	97	82	206	96	110	173	89	84	113	60	53
10 to 14	319	159	160	296	161	135	298	160	138	221	116	105
15	54	32	22	52	33	19	51	26	25	66	37	29
16 to 17	117	62	55	103	45	58	110	58	52	96	51	45
18 to 19	103	52	51	61	38	23	63	30	33	66	32	34
20 to 24	229	114	115	157	81	76	146	79	67	200	113	87
25 to 29	173	91	82	157	88	69	162	85	77	157	88	69
30 to 34	191	102	89	147	71	76	111	56	55	130	64	66
35 to 39	169	89	80	122	63	59	135	75	60	106	49	57
40 to 44	169	88	81	133	63	70	119	60	59	107	54	53
45 to 49	148	91	57	143	71	72	140	72	68	100	51	49
50 to 54	127	64	63	133	71	62	93	48	45	116	60	56
55 to 59	129	72	57	112	69	43	109	52	57	99	54	45
60 to 64	117	59	58	82	48	34	102	55	47	71	41	30
65 to 69	117	68	49	94	50	44	76	46	30	79	38	41
70 to 74	81	57	24	61	27	34	65	42	23	77	33	44
75 to 84	77	46	31	69	42	27	73	36	37	81	44	37
85 and over	16	9	7	23	12	11	18	8	10	23	10	13

Source: U.S. Census

Miner

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	142	73	69	103	46	57	59	29	30	64	38	26
1 & 2	332	177	155	246	124	122	105	49	56	115	57	58
3 & 4	284	161	123	220	105	115	135	55	80	114	51	63
5	141	68	73	113	55	58	63	35	28	61	25	36
6	129	62	67	126	65	61	73	38	35	38	15	23
7 & 9	356	175	181	362	168	194	256	126	130	136	67	69
10 to 14	537	277	260	596	326	270	500	237	263	275	126	149
15	78	51	27	105	41	64	113	53	60	63	35	28
16 to 17	197	104	93	205	102	103	223	113	110	154	79	75
18 to 19	166	85	81	105	56	49	129	73	56	90	52	38
20 to 24	375	187	188	146	71	75	170	89	81	220	110	110
25 to 29	442	232	210	201	94	107	165	83	82	234	140	94
30 to 34	422	228	194	268	128	140	133	59	74	168	92	76
35 to 39	389	204	185	327	156	171	179	79	100	149	80	69
40 to 44	372	197	175	328	169	159	256	120	136	119	48	71
45 to 49	340	182	158	333	168	165	270	140	130	167	70	97
50 to 54	368	190	178	306	169	137	290	142	148	238	108	130
55 to 59	347	176	171	304	158	146	306	154	152	253	128	125
60 to 64	307	171	136	269	134	135	253	138	115	259	128	131
65 to 69	245	131	114	275	134	141	222	108	114	242	128	114
70 to 74	148	81	67	228	118	110	212	98	114	206	101	105
75 to 84	128	76	52	201	105	96	266	114	152	258	109	149
85 and over	23	9	14	31	15	16	76	32	44	116	35	81

Source: U.S. Census

Minnehaha

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	1783	914	869	2230	1148	1082	1624	835	789	1894	975	919
1 & 2	3528	1791	1737	4463	2287	2176	3124	1573	1551	3437	1690	1747
3 & 4	3004	1566	1438	4419	2203	2216	3329	1654	1675	3391	1777	1614
5	1300	638	662	2208	1120	1088	1877	924	953	1619	808	811
6	1333	691	642	2021	1035	986	2075	1022	1053	1546	770	776
7 & 9	3372	1641	1731	6026	3098	2928	6455	3268	3187	5034	2543	2491
10 to 14	4843	2411	2432	8151	4093	4058	10640	5433	5207	8426	4254	4172
15	937	475	462	1206	587	619	2125	1070	1055	1876	933	943
16 to 17	1805	894	911	2481	1206	1275	3925	2002	1923	4221	2116	2105
18 to 19	2242	921	1321	2463	1005	1458	3899	1588	2311	4646	2010	2636
20 to 24	5802	2682	3120	5357	2357	3000	7441	3216	4225	11807	5403	6404
25 to 29	6055	3088	2967	5360	2591	2769	5990	2909	3081	10604	5210	5394
30 to 34	5669	2882	2787	5702	2897	2805	5040	2461	2579	8599	4370	4229
35 to 39	4947	2404	2543	5693	2849	2844	5051	2437	2614	6133	3051	3082
40 to 44	4362	2178	2184	5264	2674	2590	5262	2607	2655	5126	2469	2657
45 to 49	3982	1992	1990	4554	2222	2332	5246	2607	2639	5026	2472	2554
50 to 54	4006	2034	1972	3985	1940	2045	4897	2411	2486	5058	2509	2549
55 to 59	3462	1743	1719	3620	1765	1855	4090	1934	2156	4971	2415	2556
60 to 64	2940	1480	1460	3430	1628	1802	3563	1651	1912	4425	2074	2351
65 to 69	2220	1106	1114	3011	1396	1615	2988	1319	1669	3571	1552	2019
70 to 74	1523	764	759	2287	1028	1259	2625	1087	1538	2821	1159	1662
75 to 84	1464	709	755	2189	973	1216	3184	1277	1907	3822	1383	2439
85 and over	331	134	197	455	197	258	759	264	495	1382	378	1004

Source: U.S. Census

Moody

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	184	103	81	188	96	92	102	55	47	110	44	66
1 & 2	437	210	227	375	191	184	233	95	138	220	115	105
3 & 4	419	201	218	389	206	183	232	111	121	235	131	104
5	171	90	81	186	96	90	140	79	61	98	48	50
6	192	106	86	193	109	84	129	66	63	100	46	54
7 & 9	490	261	229	571	273	298	426	212	214	307	150	157
10 to 14	829	400	429	944	478	466	862	467	395	595	293	302
15	218	99	119	219	95	124	251	106	145	133	73	60
16 to 17	479	219	260	546	279	267	572	265	307	265	129	136
18 to 19	292	170	122	263	143	120	266	130	136	175	100	75
20 to 24	469	271	198	329	178	151	374	201	173	420	233	187
25 to 29	552	267	285	368	185	183	309	156	153	467	227	240
30 to 34	613	291	322	379	192	187	271	130	141	418	208	210
35 to 39	606	334	272	509	244	265	286	141	145	346	166	180
40 to 44	534	277	257	504	237	267	345	169	176	304	153	151
45 to 49	531	270	261	508	274	234	446	211	235	313	160	153
50 to 54	505	254	251	457	240	217	439	215	224	301	157	144
55 to 59	453	253	200	430	224	206	431	225	206	384	186	198
60 to 64	430	233	197	415	200	215	415	207	208	395	197	198
65 to 69	331	178	153	354	170	184	334	160	174	340	162	178
70 to 74	249	144	105	314	170	144	307	149	158	269	125	144
75 to 84	229	117	112	304	159	145	358	149	209	377	180	197
85 and over	39	19	20	65	33	32	94	42	52	120	33	87

Source: U.S. Census

Pennington

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	1026	534	492	1970	1023	947	1208	600	608	1517	758	759
1 & 2	1911	981	930	3594	1808	1786	2179	1069	1110	2607	1315	1292
3 & 4	1589	810	779	3335	1693	1642	2108	1065	1043	2294	1174	1120
5	691	366	325	1540	773	767	1228	637	591	1098	574	524
6	657	329	328	1512	774	738	1304	667	637	1080	514	566
7 & 9	1630	797	833	3876	1988	1888	4022	2072	1950	3286	1604	1682
10 to 14	2247	1112	1135	5197	2638	2559	6650	3355	3295	5277	2726	2551
15	410	211	199	759	398	361	1216	616	600	1286	661	625
16 to 17	894	419	475	1507	694	813	2348	1202	1146	2668	1362	1306
18 to 19	1126	596	530	1953	1093	860	2539	1265	1274	3276	1628	1648
20 to 24	3208	1670	1538	5499	3129	2370	5917	2987	2930	8809	4779	4030
25 to 29	3510	1767	1743	4589	2380	2209	4038	1987	2051	7100	3731	3369
30 to 34	2822	1526	1296	4239	2183	2056	3452	1633	1819	5360	2790	2570
35 to 39	2244	1153	1091	3878	2014	1864	3389	1670	1719	3936	1918	2018
40 to 44	1988	989	999	3298	1715	1583	3265	1652	1613	3340	1596	1744
45 to 49	1775	917	858	2617	1333	1284	2983	1466	1517	3260	1625	1635
50 to 54	1510	771	739	2252	1145	1107	2615	1268	1347	3069	1474	1595
55 to 59	1305	637	668	1839	927	912	2332	1119	1213	2824	1395	1429
60 to 64	1203	611	592	1427	702	725	2038	977	1061	2353	1097	1256
65 to 69	894	477	417	1187	562	625	1612	752	860	2031	924	1107
70 to 74	699	365	334	967	477	490	1150	496	654	1623	732	891
75 to 84	593	309	284	943	438	505	1369	598	771	1715	652	1063
85 and over	121	51	70	217	92	125	387	168	219	552	179	373

Source: U.S. Census

Perkins

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	175	96	79	139	62	77	64	28	36	89	53	36
1 & 2	405	205	200	274	147	127	137	67	70	139	62	77
3 & 4	331	182	149	297	153	144	156	91	65	128	62	66
5	116	68	48	165	87	78	78	45	33	60	34	26
6	125	77	48	147	84	63	101	49	52	68	40	28
7 & 9	390	190	200	388	209	179	300	153	147	196	104	92
10 to 14	531	282	249	653	351	302	539	277	262	370	197	173
15	115	66	49	84	40	44	118	62	56	76	38	38
16 to 17	221	111	110	212	121	91	240	135	105	198	95	103
18 to 19	219	115	104	112	53	59	118	60	58	140	72	68
20 to 24	503	256	247	276	135	141	225	120	105	343	184	159
25 to 29	530	291	239	308	149	159	228	115	113	323	169	154
30 to 34	545	309	236	331	163	168	199	96	103	301	159	142
35 to 39	448	249	199	366	189	177	264	123	141	232	116	116
40 to 44	396	207	189	382	213	169	275	135	140	213	103	110
45 to 49	355	194	161	337	185	152	296	144	152	265	123	142
50 to 54	266	149	117	335	171	164	324	178	146	255	120	135
55 to 59	229	123	106	360	176	184	285	165	120	289	145	144
60 to 64	306	158	148	204	110	94	240	129	111	303	161	142
65 to 69	265	164	101	165	78	87	193	89	104	246	133	113
70 to 74	148	91	57	210	99	111	141	63	78	192	89	103
75 to 84	136	79	57	199	117	82	180	88	92	215	84	131
85 and over	21	13	8	33	16	17	68	27	41	59	15	44

Source: U.S. Census

Potter

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	124	67	57	142	72	70	76	38	38	63	24	39
1 & 2	254	133	121	287	149	138	166	87	79	104	52	52
3 & 4	207	102	105	269	139	130	163	80	83	136	63	73
5	81	47	34	119	53	66	74	35	39	54	31	23
6	96	43	53	115	61	54	102	49	53	63	30	33
7 & 9	277	140	137	328	168	160	318	166	152	152	75	77
10 to 14	430	219	211	465	251	214	573	272	301	318	163	155
15	70	39	31	74	35	39	106	50	56	77	31	46
16 to 17	164	83	81	159	83	76	199	111	88	159	88	71
18 to 19	139	77	62	111	67	44	90	46	44	101	50	51
20 to 24	358	178	180	359	205	154	209	91	118	196	97	99
25 to 29	310	183	127	295	159	136	203	102	101	242	130	112
30 to 34	336	164	172	306	146	160	220	104	116	215	106	109
35 to 39	309	158	151	262	154	108	220	113	107	181	100	81
40 to 44	247	132	115	231	112	119	263	122	141	184	88	96
45 to 49	264	138	126	288	134	154	234	136	98	173	87	86
50 to 54	239	134	105	230	127	103	210	106	104	200	91	109
55 to 59	207	104	103	207	110	97	238	108	130	209	121	88
60 to 64	207	115	92	204	104	100	200	108	92	199	97	102
65 to 69	137	80	57	165	82	83	186	100	86	202	91	111
70 to 74	105	50	55	151	81	70	152	69	83	144	71	73
75 to 84	108	48	60	130	67	63	201	90	111	222	97	125
85 and over	19	9	10	29	14	15	46	21	25	80	27	53

Source: U.S. Census

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	363	176	187	291	141	150	212	113	99	223	119	104
1 & 2	713	340	373	619	305	314	389	208	181	342	173	169
3 & 4	703	361	342	601	313	288	434	217	217	351	188	163
5	357	184	173	293	131	162	241	102	139	183	98	85
6	342	188	154	303	161	142	274	132	142	149	74	75
7 & 9	965	491	474	920	457	463	783	426	357	556	281	275
10 to 14	1466	777	689	1449	710	739	1345	670	675	1039	553	486
15	281	135	146	266	133	133	239	127	112	246	114	132
16 to 17	492	250	242	502	259	243	537	278	259	489	262	227
18 to 19	416	218	198	266	152	114	283	145	138	323	178	145
20 to 24	883	462	421	575	301	274	494	246	248	651	358	293
25 to 29	915	469	446	643	310	333	514	256	258	657	330	327
30 to 34	896	472	424	664	349	315	489	256	233	623	323	300
35 to 39	962	515	447	647	319	328	529	236	293	555	273	282
40 to 44	929	490	439	718	375	343	577	297	280	467	259	208
45 to 49	921	516	405	807	417	390	615	315	300	482	223	259
50 to 54	785	442	343	754	387	367	618	316	302	545	274	271
55 to 59	661	370	291	736	415	321	679	346	333	532	266	266
60 to 64	588	326	262	656	356	300	658	339	319	541	269	272
65 to 69	462	248	214	510	257	253	592	327	265	573	283	290
70 to 74	337	178	159	436	235	201	478	248	230	494	241	253
75 to 84	423	244	179	429	216	213	537	238	299	678	310	368
85 and over	69	42	27	105	50	55	161	59	102	212	76	136

Source: U.S. Census

Sanborn

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	138	70	68	102	56	46	38	23	15	53	30	23
1 & 2	237	127	110	209	105	104	89	45	44	105	51	54
3 & 4	212	113	99	223	109	114	98	48	50	97	48	49
5	106	51	55	118	57	61	59	38	21	56	30	26
6	87	38	49	100	46	54	67	38	29	39	18	21
7 & 9	281	143	138	325	162	163	248	133	115	110	55	55
10 to 14	395	201	194	476	251	225	470	240	230	219	112	107
15	97	51	46	84	43	41	92	48	44	57	33	24
16 to 17	162	84	78	147	72	75	192	96	96	146	78	68
18 to 19	135	67	68	79	46	33	83	34	49	119	77	42
20 to 24	309	182	127	156	79	77	151	89	62	200	105	95
25 to 29	327	175	152	230	109	121	143	70	73	236	122	114
30 to 34	333	162	171	253	131	122	126	56	70	154	92	62
35 to 39	352	184	168	276	149	127	199	84	115	129	60	69
40 to 44	313	160	153	304	145	159	220	125	95	128	59	69
45 to 49	314	165	149	285	152	133	228	122	106	198	83	115
50 to 54	293	175	118	245	123	122	224	108	116	200	114	86
55 to 59	270	147	123	256	137	119	231	121	110	199	108	91
60 to 64	255	156	99	216	123	93	189	92	97	182	85	97
65 to 69	186	94	92	214	99	115	198	96	102	175	90	85
70 to 74	151	82	69	159	94	65	154	82	72	145	68	77
75 to 84	163	91	72	156	79	77	169	70	99	202	93	109
85 and over	26	18	8	28	14	14	29	18	11	64	22	42

Source: U.S. Census

Shannon

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	163	80	83	227	106	121	227	106	121	391	183	208
1 & 2	332	169	163	387	191	196	489	234	255	561	278	283
3 & 4	332	167	165	337	179	158	521	282	239	606	324	282
5	154	78	76	169	87	82	268	136	132	277	145	132
6	144	72	72	173	81	92	248	136	112	281	125	156
7 & 9	399	203	196	470	230	240	734	384	350	942	509	433
10 to 14	684	331	353	717	358	359	1130	551	579	1430	762	668
15	106	55	51	121	59	62	182	86	96	292	136	156
16 to 17	245	108	137	222	110	112	348	157	191	571	308	263
18 to 19	197	105	92	187	104	83	294	147	147	520	261	259
20 to 24	461	211	250	396	189	207	552	234	318	1053	506	547
25 to 29	347	180	167	399	198	201	483	241	242	864	418	446
30 to 34	312	161	151	358	171	187	382	173	209	716	363	353
35 to 39	315	160	155	284	143	141	409	204	205	481	235	246
40 to 44	248	122	126	261	135	126	362	180	182	437	201	236
45 to 49	288	152	136	264	132	132	317	159	158	403	201	202
50 to 54	254	148	106	215	102	113	292	133	159	359	178	181
55 to 59	204	113	91	237	122	115	251	134	117	321	154	167
60 to 64	157	99	58	187	117	70	203	105	98	243	111	132
65 to 69	162	82	80	159	92	67	188	91	97	205	107	98
70 to 74	85	45	40	104	64	40	138	82	56	139	70	69
75 to 84	61	37	24	103	53	50	141	79	62	188	87	101
85 and over	19	8	11	23	14	9	39	20	19	43	23	20

Source: U.S. Census

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	282	132	150	221	111	110	140	78	62	148	79	69
1 & 2	527	263	264	464	220	244	280	157	123	260	133	127
3 & 4	504	258	246	533	287	246	299	155	144	250	121	129
5	242	125	117	235	121	114	171	83	88	130	70	60
6	241	119	122	258	134	124	161	87	74	141	70	71
7 & 9	650	311	339	733	367	366	636	338	298	384	187	197
10 to 14	986	553	433	1187	621	566	1191	617	574	660	347	313
15	206	96	110	209	110	99	221	116	105	158	71	87
16 to 17	382	203	179	417	212	205	473	253	220	374	208	166
18 to 19	334	166	168	245	122	123	296	160	136	271	132	139
20 to 24	878	459	419	554	294	260	545	277	268	705	338	367
25 to 29	859	457	402	583	289	294	522	265	257	702	366	336
30 to 34	812	419	393	749	365	384	514	264	250	544	281	263
35 to 39	767	394	373	691	366	325	542	267	275	436	237	199
40 to 44	715	357	358	709	360	349	651	321	330	449	220	229
45 to 49	689	356	333	629	317	312	646	335	311	497	237	260
50 to 54	691	372	319	633	313	320	618	305	313	548	258	290
55 to 59	705	386	319	586	303	283	569	285	284	536	281	255
60 to 64	626	330	296	574	291	283	559	247	312	510	245	265
65 to 69	443	250	193	544	283	261	454	215	239	430	199	231
70 to 74	351	172	179	469	233	236	410	193	217	363	139	224
75 to 84	274	135	139	401	193	208	553	241	312	502	202	300
85 and over	40	13	27	82	38	44	144	52	92	203	64	139

Source: U.S. Census

Stanley

Age Category	1950			1960			1970			1980		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	53	28	25	135	55	80	44	18	26	44	16	28
1 & 2	124	63	61	309	162	147	109	57	52	117	51	66
3 & 4	93	43	50	293	160	133	85	39	46	93	41	52
5	45	26	19	116	58	58	57	29	28	47	22	25
6	47	19	28	108	57	51	57	26	31	41	18	23
7 & 9	120	55	65	319	169	150	161	80	81	143	80	63
10 to 14	182	78	104	444	227	217	299	160	139	219	110	109
15	35	12	23	57	25	32	65	31	34	51	23	28
16 to 17	64	27	37	126	61	65	108	60	48	108	47	61
18 to 19	48	24	24	89	49	40	76	39	37	100	50	50
20 to 24	153	94	59	286	126	160	154	72	82	189	87	102
25 to 29	164	84	80	289	139	150	136	63	73	239	128	111
30 to 34	132	70	62	295	162	133	145	78	67	185	89	96
35 to 39	156	79	77	255	137	118	117	56	61	164	80	84
40 to 44	133	75	58	214	122	92	138	74	64	126	65	61
45 to 49	107	62	45	215	127	88	131	67	64	107	58	49
50 to 54	90	51	39	164	93	71	136	79	57	108	60	48
55 to 59	84	52	32	112	66	46	131	69	62	101	44	57
60 to 64	73	41	32	77	54	23	117	61	56	101	57	44
65 to 69	67	36	31	67	39	28	75	37	38	93	46	47
70 to 74	58	35	23	40	22	18	43	30	13	83	39	44
75 to 84	76	46	30	61	26	35	58	27	31	60	34	26
85 and over	3	2	1	14	9	5	15	8	7	14	8	6

Source: U.S. Census

Sully

Age Category	1950 Total	1950 Male	1950 Female	1960 Total	1960 Male	1960 Female	1970 Total	1970 Male	1970 Female	1980 Total	1980 Male	1980 Female
Under 1	68	30	38	69	39	30	38	20	18	45	19	26
1 & 2	142	77	65	147	85	62	66	37	29	58	25	33
3 & 4	115	59	56	163	83	80	83	33	50	68	35	33
5	64	27	37	66	35	31	56	31	25	27	14	13
6	53	30	23	68	30	38	59	32	27	36	15	21
7 & 9	153	74	79	204	101	103	195	85	110	94	52	42
10 to 14	259	130	129	221	111	110	328	178	150	165	93	72
15	38	23	15	52	22	30	59	33	26	47	22	25
16 to 17	95	47	48	79	44	35	110	56	54	106	53	53
18 to 19	114	61	53	45	20	25	49	31	18	63	35	28
20 to 24	206	119	87	133	52	81	89	44	45	140	64	76
25 to 29	195	96	99	196	91	105	126	59	67	135	74	61
30 to 34	189	101	88	160	97	63	121	60	61	119	67	52
35 to 39	175	95	80	141	69	72	172	83	89	106	49	57
40 to 44	159	80	79	146	74	72	125	70	55	115	55	60
45 to 49	157	101	56	131	74	57	127	67	60	132	67	65
50 to 54	139	82	57	113	53	60	103	54	49	87	49	38
55 to 59	130	70	60	126	80	46	109	66	43	97	53	44
60 to 64	104	58	46	113	69	44	88	38	50	86	41	45
65 to 69	70	42	28	92	46	46	86	54	32	85	47	38
70 to 74	45	27	18	79	48	31	70	39	31	67	25	42
75 to 84	36	19	17	54	26	28	89	39	50	90	50	40
85 and over	7	4	3	9	6	3	14	9	5	22	8	14

Source: U.S. Census

Todd

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	120	65	55	154	77	77	217	98	119	237	115	122
1 & 2	283	153	130	289	149	140	347	173	174	379	193	186
3 & 4	269	134	135	272	130	142	367	186	181	397	199	198
5	137	75	62	153	83	70	185	94	91	207	99	108
6	117	63	54	124	71	53	200	105	95	168	73	95
7 & 9	324	162	162	383	193	190	532	289	243	532	247	285
10 to 14	517	257	260	513	262	251	929	483	446	832	416	416
15	85	43	42	100	58	42	158	93	65	198	97	101
16 to 17	196	103	93	168	90	78	333	175	158	314	159	155
18 to 19	172	90	82	119	61	58	229	98	131	239	110	129
20 to 24	343	161	182	259	122	137	424	207	217	639	307	332
25 to 29	345	166	179	289	140	149	383	181	202	657	306	351
30 to 34	298	174	124	265	128	137	321	158	163	496	248	248
35 to 39	266	137	129	287	138	149	329	153	176	365	191	174
40 to 44	234	130	104	231	130	101	314	154	160	266	130	136
45 to 49	205	112	93	206	103	103	297	152	145	287	139	148
50 to 54	215	111	104	178	93	85	248	142	106	283	134	149
55 to 59	234	131	103	170	93	77	203	103	100	268	137	131
60 to 64	143	84	59	167	95	72	175	91	84	181	89	92
65 to 69	121	69	52	136	74	62	143	73	70	140	70	70
70 to 74	73	50	23	94	53	41	127	67	60	99	46	53
75 to 84	52	25	27	89	54	35	127	69	58	111	50	61
85 and over	9	5	4	15	8	7	18	4	14	33	14	19

Source: U.S. Census

Tripp

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	209	107	102	205	103	102	114	63	51	129	63	66
1 & 2	452	218	234	437	226	211	251	119	132	280	132	148
3 & 4	460	251	209	461	232	229	264	123	141	251	123	128
5	188	91	97	195	89	106	163	71	92	111	59	52
6	232	119	113	209	105	104	177	87	90	112	62	50
7 & 9	545	281	264	654	342	312	535	269	266	374	205	169
10 to 14	800	409	391	915	467	448	1000	521	479	566	293	273
15	152	89	63	158	83	75	190	87	103	145	72	73
16 to 17	303	165	138	310	147	163	394	203	191	290	154	136
18 to 19	252	123	129	178	91	87	213	103	110	215	107	108
20 to 24	642	329	313	405	195	210	415	194	221	536	285	251
25 to 29	651	329	322	472	233	239	405	196	209	492	257	235
30 to 34	653	351	302	514	242	272	378	189	189	414	195	219
35 to 39	638	331	307	562	285	277	413	210	203	357	189	168
40 to 44	527	289	238	545	293	252	489	244	245	339	171	168
45 to 49	440	239	201	502	261	241	504	252	252	375	187	188
50 to 54	434	222	212	421	228	193	505	269	236	390	179	211
55 to 59	377	207	170	359	187	172	464	243	221	427	218	209
60 to 64	387	189	198	304	157	147	364	191	173	398	210	188
65 to 69	357	205	152	316	171	145	299	152	147	365	185	180
70 to 74	209	122	87	275	125	150	212	97	115	267	125	142
75 to 84	195	112	83	311	168	143	318	133	185	309	137	172
85 and over	36	21	15	53	25	28	104	51	53	126	38	88

Source: U.S. Census

Turner

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	242	123	119	194	97	97	108	58	50	140	80	60
1 & 2	533	283	250	464	228	236	223	114	109	247	133	114
3 & 4	517	269	248	461	225	236	252	131	121	246	140	126
5	219	117	102	259	134	125	166	77	89	109	45	64
6	215	111	104	235	122	113	153	74	79	118	60	58
7 & 9	597	312	285	721	380	341	552	265	287	384	207	177
10 to 14	934	469	465	1073	565	508	1056	520	536	686	354	332
15	190	97	93	186	100	86	247	132	115	157	70	87
16 to 17	385	196	189	350	169	181	477	244	233	336	178	158
18 to 19	326	165	161	150	80	70	226	120	106	226	124	102
20 to 24	786	402	384	413	180	233	390	205	185	532	290	242
25 to 29	828	425	403	517	246	271	374	170	204	615	321	294
30 to 34	744	378	366	651	334	317	395	190	205	547	299	248
35 to 39	786	391	395	695	351	344	472	224	248	421	197	224
40 to 44	781	400	381	646	328	318	587	296	291	416	204	212
45 to 49	778	412	366	647	318	329	616	301	315	471	233	238
50 to 54	720	350	370	658	331	327	605	314	291	548	271	277
55 to 59	655	336	319	634	319	315	605	303	302	531	246	285
60 to 64	599	330	269	616	293	323	575	299	276	558	291	267
65 to 69	518	253	265	530	265	265	530	252	278	531	272	259
70 to 74	362	200	162	454	234	220	491	229	262	519	237	282
75 to 84	318	166	152	523	250	273	604	281	323	675	282	393
85 and over	67	32	35	82	40	42	168	63	105	222	94	128

Source: U.S. Census

Union

Age Category	1950 Total	1950 Male	1950 Female	1960 Total	1960 Male	1960 Female	1970 Total	1970 Male	1970 Female	1980 Total	1980 Male	1980 Female
Under 1	280	154	126	219	111	108	153	75	78	226	102	124
1 & 2	521	269	252	464	238	226	298	160	138	399	204	195
3 & 4	495	257	238	421	227	194	293	151	142	414	203	211
5	228	109	119	244	122	122	139	73	66	175	99	76
6	207	107	100	222	110	112	213	106	107	189	102	87
7 & 9	562	298	264	710	356	354	603	306	297	552	281	271
10 to 14	778	406	372	1072	562	510	1008	548	460	825	445	380
15	175	84	91	174	86	88	239	116	123	173	79	94
16 to 17	323	165	158	351	175	176	418	220	198	432	203	229
18 to 19	262	134	128	208	115	93	288	142	146	309	144	165
20 to 24	704	351	353	394	194	200	502	257	245	823	425	398
25 to 29	801	419	382	466	222	244	479	243	236	948	505	443
30 to 34	688	339	349	581	281	300	412	196	216	744	393	351
35 to 39	655	349	306	629	330	299	442	213	229	553	278	275
40 to 44	650	326	324	602	293	309	561	266	295	458	212	246
45 to 49	646	334	312	580	298	282	612	318	294	469	227	242
50 to 54	627	330	297	553	284	269	562	281	281	583	281	302
55 to 59	588	323	265	528	275	253	524	267	257	597	319	278
60 to 64	507	278	229	496	252	244	462	224	238	509	239	270
65 to 69	430	203	227	449	241	208	446	209	237	482	233	249
70 to 74	327	193	134	377	204	173	373	166	207	358	164	194
75 to 84	285	151	134	396	178	218	468	222	246	539	203	336
85 and over	53	27	26	61	30	31	148	56	92	181	73	108

Source: U.S. Census

Walworth

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	190	93	97	212	116	96	128	70	58	130	69	61
1 & 2	347	164	183	404	192	212	259	127	132	212	117	95
3 & 4	316	138	178	404	193	211	294	151	143	210	104	106
5	140	83	57	202	91	111	159	76	83	109	54	55
6	156	73	83	204	94	110	162	73	89	86	36	50
7 & 9	415	211	204	583	293	290	559	267	292	308	143	165
10 to 14	684	327	357	787	380	407	856	433	423	563	280	283
15	126	60	66	139	82	57	181	83	98	127	64	63
16 to 17	284	144	140	280	136	144	375	183	192	285	131	154
18 to 19	249	124	125	193	103	90	174	84	90	213	113	100
20 to 24	609	311	298	430	194	236	367	170	197	437	221	216
25 to 29	556	294	262	440	207	233	387	187	200	506	242	264
30 to 34	531	277	254	538	282	256	397	194	203	399	204	195
35 to 39	486	253	233	452	237	215	381	174	207	340	161	179
40 to 44	464	225	239	490	255	235	450	218	232	379	188	191
45 to 49	436	211	225	439	218	221	443	235	208	334	163	171
50 to 54	414	220	194	426	206	220	405	206	199	397	194	203
55 to 59	376	210	166	398	203	195	403	200	203	377	198	179
60 to 64	324	176	148	352	176	176	405	187	218	346	176	170
65 to 69	224	127	97	302	170	132	371	178	193	343	155	188
70 to 74	144	74	70	202	105	97	282	135	147	325	134	191
75 to 84	146	67	79	178	82	96	320	148	172	432	174	258
85 and over	31	15	16	42	19	23	84	38	46	153	71	82

Source: U.S. Census

Yankton

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	368	197	171	374	174	200	290	151	139	310	167	143
1 & 2	697	357	340	723	372	351	611	313	298	607	313	294
3 & 4	586	287	299	715	401	314	633	314	319	546	278	268
5	262	128	134	322	165	157	327	176	151	240	128	112
6	273	144	129	354	165	189	365	186	179	248	127	121
7 & 9	724	380	344	981	488	493	1061	535	526	816	410	406
10 to 14	1112	572	540	1502	759	743	1843	980	863	1461	748	713
15	216	109	107	242	110	132	363	168	195	313	171	142
16 to 17	470	230	240	525	253	272	702	346	356	710	363	347
18 to 19	583	242	341	624	214	410	817	339	478	741	337	404
20 to 24	1300	608	692	998	398	600	1642	746	896	2003	950	1053
25 to 29	1177	577	600	860	400	460	1109	528	581	1651	810	841
30 to 34	1073	557	516	1000	509	491	883	439	444	1248	634	614
35 to 39	1137	576	561	966	484	482	835	390	445	1074	521	553
40 to 44	1045	546	499	996	503	493	1052	528	524	878	419	459
45 to 49	1038	513	525	1023	486	537	1036	497	539	799	388	411
50 to 54	1027	522	505	1041	527	514	1076	538	538	954	466	488
55 to 59	925	444	481	1002	497	505	1023	489	534	908	439	469
60 to 64	881	469	412	943	465	478	889	442	447	903	451	452
65 to 69	714	387	327	748	342	406	776	333	443	794	357	437
70 to 74	546	284	262	697	360	337	668	272	396	643	268	375
75 to 84	533	272	261	747	357	390	806	295	511	865	314	551
85 and over	117	52	65	168	61	107	232	74	158	240	63	177

Source: U.S. Census

	1950			1960			1970			1980		
Age Category	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Under 1	103	60	43	108	56	52	44	21	23	72	38	34
1 & 2	136	68	68	162	68	94	100	49	51	123	62	61
3 & 4	138	64	74	155	81	74	117	56	61	162	59	63
5	73	40	33	68	46	22	56	28	28	58	33	25
6	64	29	35	67	33	34	61	24	37	51	26	25
7 & 9	187	111	76	189	100	89	203	115	88	140	60	80
10 to 14	270	138	132	286	150	136	311	151	160	256	115	141
15	43	26	17	39	19	20	56	36	20	41	20	21
16 to 17	87	44	43	90	42	48	101	60	41	141	81	60
18 to 19	72	45	27	60	33	27	66	33	33	89	47	42
20 to 24	200	116	84	151	79	72	138	75	63	190	85	105
25 to 29	198	111	87	145	67	78	115	63	52	158	82	76
30 to 34	152	89	63	167	91	76	99	49	50	117	62	55
35 to 39	142	71	71	173	93	80	108	53	55	121	69	52
40 to 44	134	67	67	124	70	54	130	74	56	100	51	49
45 to 49	125	69	56	100	57	43	126	69	57	102	51	51
50 to 54	112	60	52	92	45	47	90	51	39	106	59	47
55 to 59	89	44	45	92	48	44	67	39	28	110	59	51
60 to 64	105	74	31	75	43	32	66	30	36	68	38	30
65 to 69	83	52	31	54	24	30	67	30	37	39	22	17
70 to 74	51	32	19	58	38	20	46	22	24	48	21	27
75 to 84	34	18	16	36	23	13	46	23	23	45	21	24
85 and over	8	5	3	4	1	3	8	6	2	11	5	6

Source: U.S. Census

Regression Analysis

Regression Analysis

For this study, a multiple linear regression analysis using the stepwise approach was implemented. In this case, the analysis determined which of the selected demographic, socioeconomic and geo-ecological variables were related to the patterns of age-specific net migration. For this regression analysis, the independent variables were defined as the following:

X_1: Educational Opportunities

X_{1a}: Number of education grades within county
X_{1b}: 12 years of formal education available within county
X_{1c}: 14 years of formal education available within county
X_{1d}: 16 years of formal education available within county
X_{1e}: 20 years of formal education available within county

X_2: Employment Opportunities

X_{2a}: Number change associated with total employment during appropriate decade.

X_3: Natural Amenity Opportunities

X_{3a}: Acres of water area located within county
X_{3b}: Acres of forest area located within county
X_{3c}: Acres of hills located within county

16-19 Age Category

Net In-Migration 1950-60

A regression equation was constructed using the

above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_6 variable. This variable was statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 70% of the total variation in net in-migration was accounted for by X_6. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = 125.05 + .1557(X_6).$$

Net In-Migration 1960-70

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_5 variable. This variable was statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 82% of the total variation in net in-migration was accounted for by X_5. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = 138.1 + 1096.23(X_5).$$

Net In-Migration 1970-80

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_5 variable. This variable was statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 54% of the total variation in net in-migration was accounted for by X_5. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = 192.93 + 886.73(X_5).$$

Net Out-Migration 1950-60

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_6

variable. This variable was statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 35.3% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_6. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = -99.9 + .18(X_6).$$

Net Out-Migration 1960-70

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_2 variable. This variable was statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 11.9% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_2. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = -277.333 + 103.716(X_2).$$

Net Out-Migration 1970-80

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_2 variable. This variable was statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 15% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_2. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = -160.8 + 69.03(X_2).$$

20-24 Age Category

Net In-Migration 1950-60

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_9 and

the X_1 variables. The regression equation and all variables included in the equation were statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 93% of the total variation in net in-migration was accounted for by X_9 and 6.5% of the total variation in net in-migration was accounted for by X_1. The lowest tolerance level in the stepwise equation was .98. This indicates that there was no significant intercorrelation between variables found statistically significant. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = -1020.48 + .037(X_9) + 86.64(X_1).$$

Net In-Migration 1960-70

From the independent variables tested, no variables were found to be statistically significant at the .05 level. Consequently, no regression equation was developed.

Net In-Migration 1970-80

From the independent variables tested, no variables were found to be statistically significant at the .05 level. Consequently, no regression equation was developed.

Net Out-Migration 1950-60

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_6, X_5 and the X_3 variables. The regression equation and all variables included in the equation were statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 28.5% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_6, 9.5% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_5 and 5.1% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_3. The lowest tolerance level in the stepwise equation was .65. This indicates that

there was no significant intercorrelation between variables found statistically significant. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$Y = -186.03 + .323(X_6) - 531.22(X_5) - 110.95(X_3)$.

Net Out-Migration 1960-70

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_8 variable. This variable was statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 11.5% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_8. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$Y = -420.29 + 66505.7(X_8)$.

Net Out-Migration 1970-80

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_8 variable. This variable was statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 25% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_8. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$Y = -330.15 + 68397(X_8)$.

25-29 Age Category

Net In-Migration 1950-60

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_9 variable. This variable was statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically

significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 98% of the total variation in net in-migration was accounted for by X_9. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = 217.72 + 0266(X_9).$$

Net In-Migration 1960-70

From the independent variables tested, no variables were found to be statistically significant at the .05 level. Consequently, no regression equation was developed.

Net In-Migration 1970-80

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_1 variable. This variable was statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 84% of the total variation in net in-migration was accounted for by X_1. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = -2403.14 + 205.95(X_1).$$

Net Out-Migration 1950-60

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_1, X_6 and the X_8 variables. The regression equation and all variables included in the equation were statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 12.5% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_1, 26% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_6 and 4.2% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_8. The lowest tolerance level in the stepwise equation was .52. This indicates that there was no significant intercorrelation

between variables found statistically significant. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = 400.82 - 47.04(X_1) + .1745(X_6) + 24435(X_8).$$

Net Out-Migration 1960-70

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_1, X_6 and the X_8 variables. The regression equation and all variables included in the equation were statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 28.7% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_1, 29.88% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_6 and 3.4% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_8. The lowest tolerance level in the stepwise equation was .44. This indicates that there was no significant intercorrelation between variables found statistically significant. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = 690.1078 - 72.691(X_1) + .1677(X_6) + 25308.4(X_8).$$

Net Out-Migration 1970-80

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_1, X_5 and the X_8 variables. The regression equation and all variables included in the equation were statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 77% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_1, 3.5% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_5 and 2.2% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_8. The lowest tolerance level in the stepwise equation

was .37. This indicates that there was no significant intercorrelation between variables found statistically significant. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = 744.6 - 77.58(X_1) - 356.42(X_5) + 499.2(X_8).$$

30-34 Age Category

Net In-Migration 1950-60

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_9 variable. This variable was statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 84% of the total variation in net in-migration was accounted for by X_9. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = 250.89 + .012(X_9).$$

Net In-Migration 1960-70

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_2 variable. This variable was statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 42% of the total variation in net in-migration was accounted for by X_2. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = 125 + -108.5(X_2).$$

Net In-Migration 1970-80

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_6 and the X_4 variables. The regression equation and all variables included in the equation were statistically significant at the .05 level. No

other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 85% of the total variation in net in-migration was accounted for by X_6 and 3.8% of the total variation in net in-migration was accounted for by X_4. The lowest tolerance level in the stepwise equation was .86. This indicates that there was no significant intercorrelation between variables found statistically significant. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = 33.04 + .172(X_6) - 1427.76(X_4).$$

Net Out-Migration 1950-60

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_5, X_6 and the X_1 variables. The regression equation and all variables included in the equation were statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 68% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_5, 4.8% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_6 and 7.1% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_1. The lowest tolerance level in the stepwise equation was .34. This indicates that there was no significant intercorrelation between variables found statistically significant. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = 445.54 - 635.027(X_5) + .1515(X_6) - 40.637(X_1).$$

Net Out-Migration 1960-70

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_1, X_8 and the X_2 variables. The regression equation and all variables included in the equation were statistically significant at the .05 level. No

other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 40.7% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_1, 24.6% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_8 and 4.7% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_2. The lowest tolerance level in the stepwise equation was .24. This indicates that there was no significant intercorrelation between variables found statistically significant. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = 2000.804 - 137.827(X_1) - .001298(X_8) - 296.8737(X_2)$$

Net Out-Migration 1970-80

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_5 and the X_4 variables. The regression equation and all variables included in the equation were statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 83.6% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_5 and 3.7% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_4. The lowest tolerance level in the stepwise equation was .9. This indicates that there was no significant intercorrelation between variables found statistically significant. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = -36.1 - 1630.23(X_5) - 254.19(X_4).$$

55 and Over Age Category

Net In-Migration 1950-60

From the independent variables tested, no variables were found to be statistically significant at the .05 level. Consequently, no regression equation was developed.

Net In-Migration 1960-70

From the independent variables tested, no variables were found to be statistically significant at the .05 level. Consequently, no regression equation was developed.

Net In-Migration 1970-80

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_2 variable. This variable was statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 30% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_2. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = 135.5 - 87.38(X_2).$$

Net Out-Migration 1950-60

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_7 variable. This variable was statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 28.9% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_7. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = 110.1735 - .01595(X_7).$$

Net Out-Migration 1960-70

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_6 variable. This variable was statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 7.6% of the total variation in net out-migration was

accounted for by X_6. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = -151.4806 - .032897(X_6).$$

Net Out-Migration 1970-80

A regression equation was constructed using the above independent variables. This equation, using the stepwise approach, included the X_4 and the X_6 variables. The regression equation and all variables included in the equation were statistically significant at the .05 level. No other independent variables were found statistically significant in this analysis. Using the coefficient of determination value, 23% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_4 and 10.3% of the total variation in net out-migration was accounted for by X_6. The lowest tolerance level in the stepwise equation was .61. This indicates that there was no significant intercorrelation between variables found statistically significant. The stepwise regression equation for this analysis is illustrated as

$$Y = -116.62 - 318.96(X_4) + .033(X_6).$$